



U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Research and
Special Programs
Administration**

OCT 6 2004

400 Seventh St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590

Ms. Cynthia Harrell
P.O. Box 1397
Eatonville, WA 98328

Reference No. 04-0043

Dear Ms. Harrell:

This is in response to your letter asking what the requirements are for transporting unfrozen blood specimens to a personal residence under the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; 49 CFR Parts 171-180). We apologize for the delay in responding and any inconvenience this may have caused.

The HMR govern the packaging, handling, and transportation, including incidental storage thereto, of hazardous materials in commerce. Based on the information we received from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and our Office of Hazardous Materials Enforcement, the shipper of the specimen, the Division of Public Health, Office of the Medical Examiner, Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, determined that the specimen was non-infectious and did not ship it with any other substance that meets the definition of a hazardous material under the HMR. Therefore, we can find no indication that the sample shipment you received was subject to the HMR or that its transportation caused any violation of the HMR to occur.

I hope this information is helpful.

Sincerely,

Hattie L. Mitchell, Chief
Regulatory Review and Reinvention
Office of Hazardous Materials Standards



040043

173.199

Edmonson
S173.199
Diagnostic Specimens
04-0043

MR. Edward T. Mazzullo
Director Office of Hazardous
Materials Standards
U.S. DOT/RSPA (PHM-10)
400 7th Street SW,
Washington D.C. 20590-0001

Dear Sir Edward T. Mazzullo

I am asking for clarification
- interpretation of the Regulations
that permitted this blood specimen
to be mailed to me, by

1-800 call D.H.L. / UNFROZEN

In reviewing these papers I've
sent you, you may see our
family dilemma,

In given verbally the
test results / CARBON MONOXIDE

but cannot be given written
test results according to Alaska
S.M.E.O.

yet Medtox's letter Feb 5/04
is interesting as this is possible,
by S.M.E.O. authorization,

Personally I would think that

written tests results would come before 'Verbally'

The Certifier Form reflects nothing in fact contra's The July 23, 03 Condolences Letter

Opposes
each
other

OF "After his examination of John"
✓ Certification of sign out only
NO examination of Body

Opposes
each
other

JAN 13/04 is CANCEL of my status
of who I AM, AS THE FAX in
June states exactly who I AM.

SAM PETTIF, Letter Feb 2/04

Immediate
Family
Member

He requested I write The Letter
He proof read sent A copy of
His drivers license

Brother
Stated
3
Times
Clearly

MAY I receive
clarification / interpretation

THANK YOU

CYNTHIA HARRELL
P.O. BOX 1397
EATONVILLE WASH
98328