

a quarterly basis, and should provide reliable cost data for planning and budgeting for future operations as well as for determining the reasonable costs and per capita incurred costs of providing health services to Medicare enrollees. The HMO is also required to furnish comprehensive reports on the scope of its financial operations.

(b) *Standard: Bonding and insurance.* The HMO must have policies which safeguard and insure its assets against fire, theft, fraud, embezzlement, malpractice, and other wastage and risks normally insurable and insured by reasonably prudent businessmen.

(c) *Standard: Solvency.* In addition to meeting any cash reserve or similar requirements imposed by State law or regulation, the HMO may be required to assure the Secretary that it can reasonably anticipate a flow of cash through its normal operations or that it has reserves of cash and marketable securities or other resources which will presumptively warrant the ability of the HMO to fulfill its contractual obligations, and, as may be required by the Secretary in the absence of the above warranty, to pledge cash or collateral.

[FR Doc. 74-19782 Filed 8-26-74; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

[29 CFR Parts 613, 657, 673, 675, 678, 720]

[Administrative Order No. 634]

INDUSTRY COMMITTEES FOR VARIOUS INDUSTRIES IN PUERTO RICO

New Dates for Hearings

Minimum wage hearings under the Fair Labor Standards Act provided for in Administrative Order No. 629 (39 FR 5329) were postponed for six industries in Puerto Rico by Administrative Order No. 630 (39 FR 13284). The Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259, approved April 8, 1974) provides for increases in minimum rates and includes a provision that no minimum wage rate shall be less than 60 per centum of the otherwise applicable wage rates under sec. 6(a). The maximum rates shall not exceed the maximum rates in sec. 6(a), namely, \$2.00 an hour for the period ending December 31, 1974; \$2.10 an hour during the year beginning January 1, 1975; and \$2.30 an hour after December 31, 1975.

The industry committees and industries affected are as follows:

Committee No.	Industry
118	Food and kindred products.
119-A	Rubber and plastic products.
119-B	Jewelry and miscellaneous products.
120-A	Furniture and wood products.
120-B	Stone, clay and glass products.
121	Tobacco products.

The dates and times of investigations and hearings are changed as follows:

Industry Committee No. 118 will meet

in executive session to commence its investigation at 9 a.m. and begin its public hearing at 10:30 a.m. on Monday, September 9, 1974.

Industry Committee No. 119-A will meet in executive session to commence its investigation at 9 a.m. and begin its public hearing at 10:30 a.m. on Monday, September 30, 1974. Following this hearing, Industry Committee No. 119-B will immediately convene to conduct its investigation and hold its hearing.

Industry Committee No. 120-A will meet in executive session to commence its investigation at 9 a.m. and begin its public hearing at 10:30 a.m. on Monday, November 4, 1974. Following this hearing, Industry Committee No. 120-B will immediately convene to conduct its investigation and hold its hearing.

Industry Committee No. 121 will meet in executive session at 9 a.m. and begin its public hearing at 10:30 a.m. on Wednesday, November 3, 1974.

All meetings will be held in the offices of the Wage and Hour Division in San-turce, Puerto Rico.

The 1974 amendments provide that each committee shall recommend to the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor the highest minimum wage rate or rates for the industry which it determines, having due regard to economic and competitive conditions, will not substantially curtail employment in the industry, and will not give such industry a competitive advantage over any industry in the United States outside of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands or American Samoa; except that each committee shall recommend the minimum wage prescribed in section 6(a), unless there is substantial documentary evidence, including pertinent unabridged profit and loss statements and balance sheets for a representative period of years or in the case of employees of public agencies other appropriate information, in the record which establishes that the industry, or a predominant portion thereof, is unable to pay that wage.

The procedure of industry committees shall be governed by 29 CFR Part 511, as amended (39 FR 17948). Interested parties wishing to participate in any of the hearings are required to file prehearing statements, as provided in 29 CFR 511.8, containing the data specified in that section not later than 10 days before the hearing date set for each committee, namely, August 30, 1974 for Industry Committee No. 118; September 20, 1974, for Industry Committees Nos. 119-A and 119-B; October 25, 1974, for Industry Committees Nos. 120-A and 120-B, and November 3, 1974 for Industry Committee No. 121. However, in view of the need to give adequate time to prepare such statements for Industry Committee No. 118 prehearing statements received on or before September 5, 1974, shall be considered just as if they were received on August 30, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 22d day of August, 1974.

PETER J. BRENNAN,
Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 74-19808 Filed 8-26-74; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration

[24 CFR Part 1710]

[Docket No. R-74-274]

LAND REGISTRATION

Extension of Comment Period

On June 27, 1974 (39 FR 23270) it was proposed to amend Chapter IX of 24 CFR. Under this proposal certain technical and substantive changes would be made in Part 1710. Comments with respect to the changes were invited and a public hearing was held.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (15 U.S.C. 1710 et seq.) that the period of comment has been extended from July 30, 1974 to August 31, 1974 in order to give interested parties an opportunity to respond further to the issues raised at the public hearing.

GEORGE K. BERNSTEIN,
Interstate Land
Sales Administrator.

[FR Doc. 74-19787 Filed 8-26-74; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Hazardous Materials Regulations Board

[49 CFR Parts 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177]

[Docket No. HM-103; Notice 73-10; Docket No. HM-112; Notice 73-9]

HAZARD INFORMATION SYSTEM AND MISCELLANEOUS PROPOSALS

CONSOLIDATION OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REGULATIONS AND MISCELLANEOUS PROPOSALS

Extension of Time To File Comments

On January 24, 1974, the Hazardous Materials Regulations Board ("the Board") published Docket HM-103; Notice 73-10 (39 FR 3164) and Docket HM-112; Notice 73-9 (39 FR 3022). The closing date for submission of comments by interested persons was specified to be May 28, 1974; however, the Board extended the time for submission of comments to August 31, 1974 (39 FR 16481) in response to several petitions requesting extensions for various lengths of time.

The Board has received additional petitions for extension of time to file comments on these dockets. One petitioner, the Hazardous Materials Advisory Committee of the Transportation Association of America ("hmac"), requested that the Board hold in abeyance any further activity on the subject dockets for a period of not less than 18 months from August 31st (except for the consolidation proposed, but without substantive changes) while it undertakes a study. Concerning the proposed study, "hmac" stated the following (along with other comments) in its petition:

As the Board well knows, however, the subject dockets propose innumerable changes in both the form and the substance of present regulations and could, indeed, be con-

PROPOSED RULES

sidered revolutionary in their impact on affected industries and the public. "Intensive and coordinated study," therefore, would absorb the time, efforts and energies of many individuals and organizations and would require sufficient time to afford the deliberate and considered analysis which the proposals clearly deserve. The objective of such coordinated study would be the development of a consolidated statement representing, to the maximum extent possible, the views of the transportation community including all interested persons, whether members of HMA or otherwise. It is contemplated that such a statement would include a detailed critique of the subject dockets as well as alternative proposals, which would then be presented to the Board "as objectively as possible, with dissents and affirmative votes, and reflecting all of the positions in between."

The Board has studied carefully the comments and recommendations presented by each petitioner and has decided that adequate time has been provided for review, analyses, and preparation of comments on these rulemaking proposals, both by organized groups and individuals, and that a further lengthy extension of time to submit comments is not warranted. However, the Board has decided to grant approximately 30 days additional time for the submission of comments thus allowing final review and coordination which may have been difficult during the summer months.

In accordance with the foregoing decision, the Hazardous Materials Regulations Board has extended the time to file comments on Notices 73-9 (Docket HM-112) and 73-10 (Docket HM-103) from August 31, 1974 to October 3, 1974 and has denied all petitions it has received as of August 20, 1974 requesting extensions beyond October 3, 1974.

AUTHORITY: Transportation of Explosives Act (18 U.S.C. 831-835), section 6 of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655); Title VI and section 902(h) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1421-1430, 1472(h), and 1655(c)); Dangerous Cargo Act, as amended (46 U.S.C. 170); Tank Vessel Act of 1936 (46 U.S.C. 391a), (46 U.S.C. 375), (46 U.S.C. 416) (49 U.S.C. 1655(b)(1)); 49 CFR 1.46(b).

Issued in Washington, D.C. on August 21, 1974.

ALAN I. ROBERTS,
Secretary, Hazardous Materials
Regulations Board.

[FR Doc. 74-19773 Filed 8-26-74; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 52]

[FRL 252-5]

APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Proposed Amendments to Parking Management Regulations

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-18903, appearing at page 30440 of the issue of Thursday, August 22, 1974, the table on page 30461 was mistakenly published in incomplete form.

The entire table, including both published and omitted sections, appears below:

TABLE II—Vehicle miles traveled minimization measures

	Residential	Customer/ client	Employee	Recreation and intermittent use	Independent multipurpose
1. Measures to restrict usage:					
(a) Assigned spaces and/or decals	X		X		X
(b) Limited operating hours		X		X	X
(c) Parking fees and/or flat rate hourly per charges or other rates favoring short-term parking		X			X
(d) Ticket validation		X			X
(e) Posting of parking restriction/towing or fines for violators	X	X			
2. Measures to encourage mass transit usage:					
(a) Locating near existing mass transit and/or providing or coordinating with the transit agency to provide:					
1. adequate levels of transit service,	X	X	X	X	X
2. protected comfortable shelters at transit stops, and					
3. covered walkways to transit stops.					
(b) Publicity for mass transit usage including prominent display of mass transit schedules, maps, etc.	X	X	X	X	X
(c) Delivery service for customer's packages		X			
(d) Subscription to dial-a-ride service	X	X	X	X	X
(e) Private bus service provided by area businesses		X			
(f) Locator for mini-bus pool	X		X	X	
(g) Full or partial payment of transit fare		X	X	X	
(h) Provision of company vehicles for carpool commuting			X		
(i) Provision of company or chartered vehicles connecting with public transit lines or other park and ride facilities	X	X	X	X	
(j) Adapting company hours to be more compatible with bus schedules		X	X		
3. Measures to encourage carpooling:					
(a) Carpool locator	X	X	X	X	X
(b) Carpool publicity campaign	X	X	X	X	X
(c) Restricted priority spaces for carpoolers only	X	X	X	X	X
(d) Designated protected pickup and discharge areas		X	X	X	
(e) Reduced rates for carpools			X		X
(f) Use of company cars for carpooling			X		X
4. Measures to encourage use of bicycles:					
(a) Protected bicycle racks and storage areas	X	X	X	X	X
(b) Bicycle paths, and lanes	X	X	X	X	X
(c) Shower and locker facilities			X		
5. Measures to encourage walking:					
(a) Lighted and protected paths and sidewalks	X	X	X	X	X
(b) Ground security patrol	X	X	X	X	X
(c) Overpass, underpass and other grade separations	X	X	X	X	X
6. General:					
(a) Use of commercial rates or other pricing policies. ¹	X	X	X	X	X
(b) Daytime use of parking facilities as park and ride lots	X			X	

¹ Use of pricing policies designed to encourage use of carpools and mass transit, and to reduce VMT should also be considered. However, because of the ban on EPA-imposed "surcharges" contained in the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act, implementation of this measure is not mandatory, unless required by State or local governments.

[FRL 222-1]

KENTUCKY

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, and the implementing regulations of 40 CFR Part 51 require each State to submit a plan which provides for the attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards throughout the State. Each such plan is to contain legally enforceable compliance schedules setting forth the dates by which all sources must be in compliance with any applicable requirements of the plan.

On March 19, 1974 (39 FR 10277), the Administrator announced that the Ken-

tucky implementation plan had been resubmitted for the Agency's approval on December 5, 1973, following a decision of the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit vacating the Administrator's original approval (37 FR 10842). Included as part of the resubmitted plan were a number of compliance schedules. This publication identifies these schedules, offers them as proposed rulemaking and solicits public comment on this proposal.

Each of the proposed compliance schedules identified below establishes a date by which an individual air pollution source must attain compliance with an emission limitation of the State implementation plan. This date is indicated in the table under the heading "Final Compliance Date." In many cases the sched-