

proposed settlement agreements in the proceeding, it has been determined to defer the submission of the requested information pending further action by the Joint Board.

**DATES:** Comments and replies deferred indefinitely.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Francis L. Young, Common Carrier Bureau (202) 632-3772.

IN the matter of Integration of Rates and Services for the Provision of Communications by Authorized Common Carriers Between the United States Mainland, and Hawaii, Alaska and Puerto Rico/Virgin Islands, Docket No. 21263.

#### Order

Adopted: April 29, 1980.  
Released: May 5, 1980.

1. A *Supplemental Information Request* 45 FR 24212, April 9, 1980, ordered the parties to submit a memorandum of law and responses to detailed data requests no later than thirty days after publication of the Request in the Federal Register. On April 25, 1980, American Telephone and Telegraph Company (AT&T) and Alascom, Inc. (Alascom) filed a proposed agreement looking to a settlement of the outstanding issues between them and also of the issues in this proceeding relating to Alaska. AT&T and Alascom further requested withdrawal or deferral pending action on their proposed agreement of the Request.

2. It appearing, that favorable action on the AT&T and Alascom agreement by the Federal-State Joint Board would moot the requested Supplemental Information Request;

3. It further appearing, that deferral of the submission of the requested information pending Joint Board action would alleviate a burden on all parties and would not unduly delay expeditious resolution of this proceeding;

4. It is ordered, pursuant to delegated authority, that the requirement to file comments and replies to Supplemental Information Request, 45 FR 24212, is deferred pending further action by the Federal-State Joint Board.

Federal Communications Commission.

Phillip L. Verveer,

Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc. 80-15870 Filed 5-21-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Research and Special Programs Administration

#### 49 CFR Parts 173 and 177

[Docket HM-164; Notice No. 80-1]

### Highway Routing of Radioactive Materials; Additional Public Hearings; Extension of Time for Public Comment

#### Correction

In FR Doc. 80-14870 appearing on page 32030 in the issue for Thursday, May 15, 1980, in the third column, the sixth line from the bottom reads "Police Headquarters Auditorium." but should be corrected to read "Police Headquarters Auditorium, 1 Police Plaza."

BILLING CODE: 1505-01-M

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

### 49 CFR Part 1048

[Ex Parte No. MC-37 (Sub-No. 31)]

### Commercial Zones and Terminal Areas; Tacoma, Wash., Commercial Zone

**AGENCY:** Interstate Commerce Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission has been requested to consider whether to amend its existing regulations set forth in 49 CFR 1048, et seq., to expand the Tacoma, WA, commercial zone to include a specified area adjacent to the present zone. The proposed special determination of the Tacoma commercial zone (now defined by application of the population-mileage formula set forth in 49 CFR 1048.101) would expand the zone within which interstate motor carrier operations would be exempt from Federal economic regulation.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed with the Commission on or before June 23, 1980.

**ADDRESS:** Send comments (an original and 15 copies where possible) to: Ex Parte No. MC-37 (Sub-No. 31), Room 5416, Office of Proceedings, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Barbara Reideler, 202-275-5682, or Donald J. Shaw, Jr., 202-275-7292.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The commercial zone of Tacoma, WA as

defined by application of the population-mileage formula set forth in 49 CFR 1048.101, includes all points within 8 miles of the municipal limits of Tacoma. The Square D Company, which operates a facility near Orting, WA, known as the Nelco Plant, requests that the limits of the Tacoma commercial zone be individually determined to include a specified area adjacent to the boundary of the present zone. Petitioner proposes that 49 CFR 1048 be supplemented by addition of the following:

#### § 1048.18 Tacoma, Washington.

The zone adjacent to, and commercially a part of Tacoma, WA, within which transportation by motor vehicle, in interstate or foreign commerce, not under control, management, or arrangement for shipment to or from points beyond such zone, is partially exempt from regulation under section 49 U.S.C. 10526(b)(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act includes and is comprised of all points as follows:

(a) The municipality of Tacoma, WA, itself;

(b) All points within a line drawn 8 miles beyond the municipal limits of Tacoma;

(c) Those points within that area more than 8 miles beyond the municipal limits of Tacoma, bounded by a line as follows: From the intersection of WA Hwy 162 and 128th St., East, extending along 128th St., East, to the Puyallup River, then south along the Puyallup River .8 miles to the fork of the Carbon River, then south along the Carbon River 3.8 miles to its intersection with Wade Creek, then southeast along Wade Creek 2.3 miles to the Bonneville Power Line, then southwest along the Bonneville Power Line 3 miles to the Puyallup River, then north along the Puyallup River 7 miles to its intersection with WA Hwy 162, then along WA Hwy 162.7 miles to its intersection with 128th St., East.

Petitioner is essentially seeking the redefinition of the limits of the Tacoma commercial zone to include wholly the city of Orting, WA, which has a population of 1,680 persons and is located 4 miles outside the limits of the present zone, and the Nelco Plant of the Square D Company, which is situated approximately 2 miles south of Orting.

It is Commission policy to describe specifically defined zones along readily identifiable boundaries. The use of such designations as streets, rights-of-way, and small waterways is to be avoided. We, therefore, suggest that interested persons submit comments suggesting a more feasible way to describe the