

## FR Q MAILERS BALTO MD

*366.4 ADC Tray Preparation and Labeling*

Mail left over after preparing all 3-digit and SCF trays must be placed in the appropriate Area Distribution Center (ADC) tray. Mail for each 3-digit destination within a tray must be physically separated by visible index tabs or separator cards. Only those authorized 3-digit ZIP Code prefixes in Exhibit 122.63m can be mailed under the provisions of 366. ADC trays must be labeled in accordance with the requirements in Exhibit 122.63o, in the following manner:

Line 1: Area Distribution Center, Code

Line 2: Class, Contents

Line 3: Mailer, Mailer Location

Sample:

DIS ORLANDO FL 327

FCM ZIP +4 PRESORT

FR Q MAILERS BALTO MD

*366.5 Postage Payment*

Mailings under this section at the time of acceptance must be accompanied by documentation supporting mailing statements which are required by 382.4. The types of documents required to support mailing statements for mailings presented under the provisions of 366 are listed in 365.4. Required documentation depends on whether postage is affixed to each piece in the mailing and whether the ZIP+4 rate pieces are physically separated from other pieces in the mailing.

*366.6 Mailing Statements*

The mailer must submit a mailing statement for each mailing. When pieces for a mailing list or mailing cycle as defined by the mailer are mailed over a period of more than one day, each day the mailer must indicate on each mailing statement submitted under this procedure the mailing cycle or mailing list to which the pieces belong, and the final mailing statement for the mailing list or mailing cycle must accurately account for the full list or cycle. Under this procedure, a mailing statement may not be submitted for more than one mailing cycle or one mailing list.

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111.3 to reflect these changes will be published when the final rule is adopted.

Fred Eggleston,

*Assistant General Counsel, Legislative Division.*

[FR Doc. 86-1467 Filed 1-22-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Research and Special Programs Administration

## 49 CFR Parts 171, 172, 173, 176, 177, 178, and 180

[Docket Nos. HM-183, 183A; Notice No. 85-4]

## Requirements for Cargo Tanks; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Office of Hazardous Materials Transportation, Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; Extension of Comment Period.

**SUMMARY:** On September 17, 1985, RSPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the *Federal Register* (50 FR 37766). The NPRM proposed to amend the Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 171-179) pertaining to the manufacture of cargo tanks and the operation, maintenance, repair and requalification of all specification cargo tanks. A document correcting typographical errors, omissions, minor discrepancies and clarifying certain requirements in the NPRM was published on December 5, 1985 (50 FR 49866).

Several petitioners—including the American Petroleum Institute, the Compressed Gas Association, Inc., the National Tank Truck Carriers, Inc., the Truck Trailer Manufacturers Association and the Petroleum Marketers Association of America—have requested additional time to evaluate the proposals contained in the NPRM. RSPA agrees that some additional time should be allowed and is extending the closing date for comments on Notice No. 85-4.

**DATE:** By this notice, RSPA extends the comment period from February 11, 1986, to May 22, 1986.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hattie Mitchell (202) 426-2075, Office of Hazardous Materials Transportation, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C. 20590.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on January 16, 1986 under the authority delegated in 49 CFR Part 106, Appendix A.

Alan I. Roberts,

*Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Transportation.*

[FR Doc. 86-1384 Filed 1-22-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-M

## 49 CFR Part 192

[Docket No. PS-76; Notice 2]

## Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline; Monitoring of External Corrosion Control

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) DOT.

**ACTION:** Withdrawal of Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This Notice withdraws a proposal to provide alternate methods of compliance with the requirements for monitoring cathodic protection systems where pipelines are located beneath paving or in areas of stray currents. Comments to the ANPRM indicated that, with few exceptions, the required monitoring of cathodic protection of pipelines located beneath paving or in stray current areas can be done effectively, although with difficulty.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul J. Cory, (202) 426-2082, regarding the content of this notice, or the Dockets Branch, (202) 426-3148, regarding copies of this notice or other information in the docket.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** RSPA has reviewed the requirements of § 192.465(a) which provide that each pipeline under cathodic protection must be tested at least once each calendar year, but with intervals not exceeding 15 months, to determine whether the cathodic protection meets the criteria of § 192-463. The main focus of the review was the technical feasibility of making the necessary electrical tests in areas where cathodically protected pipelines are located beneath continuous paving.

The review considered a 1976 petition from the American society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Gas Piping Standards Committee (Pet. 76-5) to permit the use of annual leakage surveys and corrosion and leak history studies to monitor the effectiveness of cathodic protection.

The ASME contended that where cathodically protected pipelines are beneath paving, the reference electrode often cannot be placed in intimate electrical contact with the soil, and readings taken with contact on the paving surface are often found to be invalid.

The review also considered that in 1978 the Technical Pipeline Safety Standards Committee (TPSSC) had recommended the use of annual leakage surveys and corrosion and leak history studies to verify and monitor the effectiveness of cathodic protection where electrical methods are