1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20590



Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

January 24, 2023

Mr. Jeffrey D. Shields Global Competency Leader Distribution, Emergency Response & Fire Protection DuPont EHS Center of Excellence 974 Centre Road CRP 730 / 2nd Floor Wilmington, DE 19805

Reference No. 22-0013

Dear Mr. Shields:

This letter is in response to your February 18, 2022, email requesting clarification of the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; 49 CFR Parts 171-180) applicable to leakproofness testing requirements for open head plastic drums under Special Provision (SP) 49 listed in § 172.102(c) (Special provisions).

We have paraphrased and answered your questions as follows:

- Q1. You ask whether an open head plastic drum (1H2)—which is used for transporting "UN3244, Solids containing corrosive liquid, n.o.s., 8, PG II"—must be subject to the leakproofness test specified in § 178.604.
- A1. SP 49 authorizes an open head drum that is designed for solids provided the drum design has been leakproofness tested at the packing group (PG) II level.
- Q2. You ask whether the provision specified in § 173.12—allowing the use of an open head drum for the shipment of a hazardous waste—includes an exception from the leakproofness test.
- A2. An equivalent (except for closure) open head drum may be used for the transportation of a hazardous waste under certain conditions described in § 173.12(a). An equivalent drum must be capable of passing the leakproofness test as specified in § 178.604.

- Q3. You ask whether the provision for the shipment of waste in § 173.12 applies to SP 49.
- A3. Provided the material being offered for transportation is subject to the Hazardous Waste Manifest Requirements of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency specified in 40 CFR part 262, the answer is yes. (See the definition of "hazardous waste" in § 171.8). As indicated above, this does not exclude the packaging from the leakproofness test.

Please note that a packaging utilized under SPs 47, 48, and 49 must correspond to a design type that has passed a leakproofness test at the PG II level. Authorized packagings are provided in §§ 173.212 and 173.240 for non-bulk and bulk packagings, respectively.

I hope this information is helpful. Please contact us if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

J. Alenn Foster

T. Glenn Foster Chief, Regulatory Review and Reinvention Branch Standards and Rulemaking Division

## Pollack

From: To: Cc:	INFOCNTR (PHMSA) Dodd, Alice (PHMSA)
•••	Hazmat Interps
Subject:	FW: DuPont - Request for LOI
Date:	Friday, February 25, 2022 2:31:46 PM
Attachments:	image002.png image003.png image004.png DuPont LOI Request Feb 18 2022.pdf image005.png

22-0013

Hi Alice,

Please see the attached interpretation request.

Let us know if you have any questions.

Regards,

-Breanna

From: SHIELDS, JEFFREY D <Jeffrey.D.Shields-1@dupont.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 8:40 AM
To: INFOCNTR (PHMSA) <INFOCNTR.INFOCNTR@dot.gov>; Kelley, Shane (PHMSA)
<shane.kelley@dot.gov>
Subject: DuPont - Request for LOI

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the Department of Transportation (DOT). Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

Please find a request for a letter of interpretation for 49 CFR. Please let me know if any questions.

Best Regards,

## Jeffrey D. Shields

Global Competency Leader Distribution, Emergency Response & Fire Protection DuPont EHS Center of Excellence

## **OUPONT**

Cell number: +1.302.319.1288 974 Centre Road CRP 730 / 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Wilmington, DE 19805 www.dupont.com



This communication is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be Privileged, confidential or copyrighted under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby formally notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-mail, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your system. Unless explicitly and conspicuously designated as "E-Contract Intended", this e-mail does not constitute a contract offer, a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer. This e-mail does not constitute a consent to the use of sender's contact information for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties.

Francais Deutsch Italiano Espanol Portugues Japanese Chinese Korean

https://www.dupont.com/email-disclaimer.html

**Operations & Engineering** EHS Center of Excellence 974 Centre Road Wilmington, DE 19850 302.319.1288

< OUPONT >

February 18, 2022

Mr. Shane Kelley Director, Standards and Rulemaking Division U.S. DOT/PHMSA (PHH-10) 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE East Building, 2nd Floor Washington, DC 20590 Shane.Kelley@dot.gov infocntr@dot.gov

Dear Mr. Kelley:

Could you please respond to the following with a letter of interpretation?

A hazardous waste with proper shipping name, "UN3244 Waste Solids containing corrosive liquid, N.O.S. (Sulfuric Acid), 8, PG II" has an approved container of 1H2 listed for a non-bulk solid/liquid shipment (49 CFR 173.212/173.202). Special Provision 49 states that for a single package it must correspond to a design type that has passed the leakproofness test at the PG II level. UN rated 1H2 open head drums typically do not have this leakproofness test completed and are mainly UN rated to contain solids. This hazardous waste is mostly a solid at time of packaging but could contain liquid. Exceptions for shipment of waste materials (49 CFR 173.12) allow for the usage of open head drums for solid materials when a closed head drum is impractical, however, it requires the drum to be "equivalent". "UN3244 Waste Solids containing a corrosive liquid, N.O.S." are mainly a solid, so a practical method would be to use a single package open-head poly drum 1H2, however, this appears to not be allowed by Special Provision 49 due to the fact that open-head drums are not tested to the leakproofness test.

Question 1: Can an open head poly drum (1H2) be used for "UN3244 Waste Solids containing corrosive liquid, N.O.S." if they are not tested for leakproofness test?

Question 2: Does the exception for the shipment of waste materials (49 CFR 173.12) in an open head drum exclude the "leakproofness test"?

Question 3: Does the exception for the shipment of waste materials (49 CFR 173.12) apply to Special Provision 49 in the classification of the waste as a Class 8 or exclude it from the "leakproofness" test?

Sincerely,

Jeffry Destrikelis

Jeffrey D Shields EHS Competency Manager Fire Protection, Distribution Safety & Emergency Response Jeffrey.D.Shields-1@dupont.com (302)319-1288