

U.S. Department of Transportation

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA)

Program Information

State Damage Prevention (SDP) Program Grants

Applicant must be registered at www.grants.gov to apply for the grant. It is highly recommended that applicants begin the registration process as soon as possible to avoid delays in submission. Additionally, applicants must maintain a valid Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number and an active registration in the System for Award Management (SAM) at www.SAM.gov

Furthermore, applicants must register with FedConnect at https://www.fedconnect.net for an account before submitting an application. Your organization's Marketing Partner ID number (MPIN), which can be retrieved from SAM, is required to create an account. For instructions on how to register in FedConnect and how to use the portal, view the *FedConnect: Ready, Set, Go! Tutorial* under the *Need Help?* section on the FedConnect home page.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction
Background and Funding Limit, Period of Performance4
Application Requirements
Proposed Expenditures
Performance Reporting7
Financial Reporting
Additional Information
Continuing Projects
Educational Items8
Contracts and Pass-through Costs9
Equipment
Unallowable Costs
Re-allocation of Funding11
APPENDIX A: PROJECT PRIORITIES
Priority 112
Priority 212
Priority 312
APPENDIX B: SAMPLE GOVERNOR'S LETTER13
APPENDIX C: PERFORMANCE REPORTS TEMPLATE
APPENDIX D: SF 425 (FOR MIDTERM AND FINAL FINANCIAL REPORTS)

INTRODUCTION

This program information is intended to provide additional details about the State Damage Prevention Grant program for organizations that have received and are managing grants or that may wish to apply for the grant. The information contained herein is meant to provide clarity on the program requirements, but all applicants and recipients should refer to the Notice of Funding Opportunity and the grant award documents as their primary source of information about the grants.

The U.S. Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), administers a State Damage Prevention grant program designed to support state excavation damage prevention efforts. The State Damage Prevention Grant program is established under 49 USC §60134, which states in part:

- (a) In General, the Secretary may make a grant to a State authority (including a municipality with respect to intrastate gas pipeline transportation) to assist in improving the overall quality and effectiveness of a damage prevention program of the State authority under subsection (e) if the State authority-
 - (1) has in effect an annual certification under section 60105 or an agreement under section 60106;
 - (2) (A) has in effect an effective damage prevention program that meets the requirements of subsection (b); or

(B) demonstrates that it has made substantial progress toward establishing such a program, and that such program will meet the requirements of subsection (b); and

- (3) does not provide any exemptions to municipalities, State agencies, or their contractors from the one-call notification system requirements of the program.
- (b) Damage Prevention Program Elements. An effective damage prevention program includes the following elements:
 - (1) Participation by operators, excavators, and other stakeholders in the development and implementation of methods for establishing and maintaining effective communications between stakeholders from receipt of an excavation notification until successful completion of the excavation, as appropriate.
 - (2) A process for fostering and ensuring the support and partnership of stakeholders, including excavators, operators, locators, designers, and local government in all phases of the program.
 - (3) A process for reviewing the adequacy of a pipeline operator's internal performance measures regarding persons performing locating services and quality assurance programs.
 - (4) Participation by operators, excavators, and other stakeholders in the development and implementation of effective employee training programs to ensure that operators, the one-call center, the enforcing agency, and the excavators have partnered to design and implement training for the employees of operators, excavators, and locators.
 - (5) A process for fostering and ensuring active participation by all stakeholders in public education for damage prevention activities.
 - (6) A process for resolving disputes that defines the State authority's role as a partner and facilitator to resolve issues.

- (7) Enforcement of State damage prevention laws and regulations for all aspects of the damage prevention process, including public education, and the use of civil penalties for violations assessable by the appropriate State authority.
- (8) A process for fostering and promoting the use, by all appropriate stakeholders, of improving technologies that may enhance communications, underground pipeline locating capability, and gathering and analyzing information about the accuracy and effectiveness of locating programs.
- (9) A process for review and analysis of the effectiveness of each program element, including a means for implementing improvements identified by such program reviews.

In addition, under 49 §60134(d), the applicant must demonstrate that the Governor (or chief executive) of the State has designated it as the appropriate State authority to receive the grant. To implement this condition, PHMSA requires that the applicant submit a letter from the Governor (or chief executive) with the application that designates the organization as the eligible applicant for the grant for the year.

An amendment to Section 60134 by the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2006, *effective 01/03/2014*, also affects a State's eligibility to apply for a State Damage Prevention Grant. Under this requirement, to be eligible for the State Damage Prevention grant, the state damage prevention law must require that the one-call notification system requirements are applicable to state or local government or their contractors.

Applicants are reminded that the State Damage Prevention Grants are contingent upon Congressional appropriations, and the amount or continuing availability of such grants in the future is not assured. The possibility that grant funding may not be available in future years should be considered before any continuing or long-term project is initiated.

Applicants are also reminded that, depending on the extent to which requests exceed available funds, it may be that the grant funds allocated to their state may be less than the amount requested. Projects that require a certain absolute level of funding to be accomplished may not receive a grant if that level of funding is not available.

BACKGROUND AND PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The program has proven very popular since its inception in 2008. Historical award information for all SDP grants can be found at <u>https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/sdp/</u>.

State Damage Prevention Grant Program requests for funds may exceed the funds available. The maximum of funding for a single SDP applicant is \$100,000.00. Actual award is contingent on the current fiscal year appropriation and enacted budget.

The Nine Elements have been prioritized for grant project purposes. The Priority List (Appendix A) explains the project priorities. The order in which Elements are listed under each Priority level is not significant (*Proposals will be assigned to Priority One, Two, or Three.*).

States receiving funding under the State Damage Prevention grant are not precluded from seeking additional funding through the One Call) grant (49 USC 6106), or vice versa, for projects that are eligible under both programs. However, an applicant cannot receive funding under both programs for projects that are identical in scope and separate accounting records must be kept showing the disposition of the funds from each grant. The grant review process will examine such projects to verify that activity costs would not be double-funded.

The period of performance for the State Damage Prevention grant will be twelve months from the date of the award, and this will be noted in the Notice of Award. PHMSA may consider requests for extension of the period of performance on a case-by-case basis, upon written request from the grantee that includes a detailed explanation for the reason for the request. Such requests should be submitted via email to Agreement Administrator and the Agreement Officer's Representative (Program Manager) as shown in the grant award documents and should be submitted as soon as possible after the grantee becomes aware that additional time is needed, but no less than 30 days from the end of the period of performance.

APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

To apply for the State Damage Prevention grant, the applicant must be designated in writing as the State Authority eligible to receive the grant. The applicant is required to submit a letter from the Governor of the state indicating that the organization is the designated authority for the State to receive State Damage Prevention grant funding for that state. This is an annual requirement and must be submitted with the application. In extraordinary circumstances, PHMSA will consider accepting an application without a Governor's letter, but will not review or consider the application for funding until such letter is received by PHMSA. An example of a Governor's letter is found in Appendix B.

The applicant is required to answer a series of questions and provide sufficient detail(s) that clearly demonstrates compliance with program requirements. Failure to provide the requested information may void the application. Determination of a State's eligibility to apply for a State Damage Prevention Grant will be made by PHMSA separately from the application review and grant allocation process, which is conducted jointly with the NAPSR Grant Allocation and Strategic Planning Committee and damage prevention stakeholder representatives.

The application must include a clear and concise description of the work to be performed under the proposed project or projects. For each of the Nine Elements of Effective Damage Prevention Programs, the applicant must answer the following questions. Note that this is true regardless of whether funding is requested for the Element. All questions must be answered.

- Does the proposed project address this element? (Required Yes or No)
- Describe any existing state-wide initiatives that support this element: (Required)
- Describe how the proposed project will enhance or continue implementation of this element.
- Estimated budget for this element:
- Budget Description

In addition, each applicant must provide the following information: A description of any legislative or regulatory actions (including legislative/regulatory studies) taken by the State within the past five years pertaining to damage prevention program improvement, even if those actions were not completely successful.

PHMSA's application process guides the applicant through the required questions. Applicants may submit an application with more than one project but should select one element per project. If a project fits into more than one element, the applicant should select the element that most closely aligns with the project. Funding requests should be as detailed as possible on how the money would be spent on each project, and why. The application should contain details on how funding will be used, how it would be applied and what otherwise unmet need the funding would help resolve.

Project descriptions should provide the following information:

- Narrative detail providing who will be performing work, expected cost to perform the project (with a detailed expense breakdown) and detailed narrative of project itself.
- If PHMSA funding was previously used for the project requested, detail should be provided on past use of grant funds. The statement "refer to past progress reports" (or similar) is not an acceptable alternative. The grant application will be approved on its own merit.
- Contracts for sub-grantees, pending pre-approval, should be clearly defined in scope and objective. Contracts should provide definitive information as to starting and ending dates, who is performing work along with detailed costs and requirements on reporting either incremental or final progress of the project funded. More information concerning contracts is found below.

PROPOSED EXPENDITURES

The application must include a breakdown of proposed expenditures. This is to assure that projects, do not include items not eligible for funding; or which contain elements that should be funded at a different priority level.

Proposed projects must be divided into discrete elements. the expense breakdown shall be shown in the format provided on the application form. For <u>each</u> project requested, a separate budget breakdown must be provided. The budget breakdown must include the following categories: a. Personnel: List individually all personnel and include for each, the requested hours to

be funded and the respective compensation rates (salary/wages and fringe benefits). This section of the Budget Narrative must include the following information:

i. Supplemental schedule identifying the number of hours, hourly rates, and cost by labor classification;

ii. Basis/explanation for the proposed number of hours and hourly rates (for instance, number of hours could be based on past experience, an engineering estimate, etc. and hourly rates could be actual rates for the individuals who will perform the work, an average labor rate for the labor classification, or a departmental average rate).

- b. Fringe Benefits: Applicants must provide a copy of their organization's policy stating the appropriate fringe rates for each labor classification or a fringe rate agreement.
- c. Travel: Proposed travel must be directly related to the performance of the grant

project. In the Budget Narrative, state the reason(s) for travel, the type and duration of the travel, and its relation to the project. Provide an itemized list of associated travel costs.

- d. Equipment/Supplies: Provide an itemized list of proposed equipment or supplies. Include the basis for estimating the cost (e.g., vendor quotes, catalog prices, prior invoices, etc.).
- e. Contractual (Consultants/Subcontractors): List the names of consultants and describe the activities to be performed, duration of the service, and the compensation involved. Provide the hourly or daily rate along with the basis for the rate. Furnish resumes or similar information regarding qualifications and experience. Provide at least two invoices reflecting hourly or daily rates charged to customers other than the Government. A statement signed by the consultant certifying his or her availability and salary must be provided. Include a statement describing why the applicant believes the costs associated with this category is reasonable
- f. Other Direct Costs: Provide an itemized list with associated costs for any other item proposed as a direct cost that is not included in another category. State the basis for each proposed item.
- g. Indirect Charges: Provide a copy of/or a web link to the entity's negotiated indirect rate agreement. Explain/demonstrate how the total indirect charges were calculated.

Additional information about expenditures, including funding restrictions is found below.

PERFORMANCE REPORTING

The grant funds must be disbursed within the prescribed period of performance indicated on the Payment Agreement (Notice of Grant Award).

State Damage Prevention Grant performance reports must provide enough detail to ensure the grant funding awarded accomplished the intent of the award. A template for Progress and Final reports is shown in Appendix C. The following bullets outline details needed in progress reports, both Midterm and Final, as applicable:

- Projects (including continuing projects) should outline specific progress made on each project and provide data to show effectiveness of the use of grant funds received.
- Contracts, which should have pre-approval and meet state procurement requirements, should include paid invoices for services along with confirmation that the services provided were performed.
- All performance reports should be specific on who completed work on project and what objectives outlined in the grant application were met.
- When grant funding is used to provide training or education to individuals there should be a summary of training activities, detail of who attended meetings (including sign-in sheets) and a general summary of information disseminated to those individuals.

The information provided should include measurable results and deliverables, and should include any information about tasks that were not completed or other challenges encountered during the grant period. A final performance report will be due within 90 days of the end of the period of performance, and this requirement will be included in the Executed Award documents. A

template for the Final Report is shown in Appendix C. If all funds are not spent by the end of the period of performance, the remaining amount must be returned to PHMSA (currently via check made out to DOT/PHMSA). PHMSA may consider requests for extension of the period of performance to allow funds to be expended and/or projects to be completed. Email your request for extension with an explanation to Agreement Administrator and the Agreement Officer's Representative (Program Manager) as shown in the grant award documents.

FINANCIAL REPORTING

Grantees are also required to submit a midterm and final Federal Financial Report, using the Standard Form 452 (SF 425), shown in Appendix D, and also available at <u>https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/post-award-reporting-forms.html</u>. In addition, grantees must provide a breakdown of the costs for each Object Class Category (Personnel, Fringe Benefits, Travel, Equipment, Supplies, Contractual, Other and Indirect Charges). The due date for these reports are included in the Notice of Award.

The disbursement of grant funds must be traceable and transparent. It is not required that grant funds be maintained in a separate account, although this is recommended. But there must be clear accounting records showing what disposition was made of the funds. It must also be clear from accounting records that there was no "double-dipping" or duplication of payments from the One Call, State Damage Prevention, Base, or any other federal grant for the same expense. The clarity of records in this area will be reviewed by the PHMSA Grant Review teams.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Continuing Projects

If new funding is requested for projects/activities initiated in or continuing from prior years, an activity report on use of funds to date must be included in the grant application. These reports should provide specific information on what has been accomplished, and why the project/activity has been a success and deserves continued funding. Evidence that the project/activity has made a notable difference in a damage prevention category is particularly compelling.

Example:

Last year our enforcement program investigated 42 dig-ins and launched 27 enforcement proceedings, which resulted in 20 findings of violation and \$8200 in civil penalties. Since this program was initiated 3 years ago, the number of reported dig-ins with no locate request has dropped 32%.

Due to limited funds, preference in allocation may be shown to projects or activities with demonstrable results over applications that cannot or do not provide such information.

Educational Items

A detailed description of how educational items to be purchased, their purpose, and how they will be distributed must be provided or the project will not receive approval.

For example, items like clothing should be intended for wear in situations where the message it displays will be seen by an appropriate audience. Examples include t-shirts, safety gloves, coveralls, safety vests, hats and other safety related apparel likely to be worn by persons who engage in construction or excavation activities.

For this reason, it is required that applicants not only indicate the types of items that would be purchased, but also what the plans are for distributing them, what the target audience is, and why it is believed that distributing these items at those events and to this target audience will effectively provide education and enhanced awareness of damage prevention. Applications that do not provide a clear plan and rationale for distribution may not be funded. Items purchased for damage prevention awareness must expressly convey a message about preventing excavation damage.

Contracts and Pass-through Costs

Funds are intended for use by the grantee. If it is proposed that a contractor perform a function on the applicant's behalf, the terms and conditions of the contractual arrangement, and the qualifications of the contractor, are subject to review by PHMSA. If not provided with the application, this information must be provided to PHMSA before the grant can be awarded contract documents should be emailed to the Grant Agreement Administrator and the Agreement Officer's Representative (Program Manager) as shown in the grant award documents for review and approval. PHMSA will be in contact with the grantee pertaining to submitting the contract within thirty days after the announcement of the grant award. All contracts will need to be submitted to PHMSA prior to payment of the award. Payment may be denied if this information is not forthcoming, or if PHMSA finds the arrangements, or the contractor's qualifications, unacceptable. The contract must be made in accordance with the usual procurement procedures for obtaining outside services. Draft copies of proposed contracts can be included with the application; however, a final executed copy will also need to be sent to PHMSA upon award.

If approved projects include using contract services, one of the following comments will be applied to the project approval during the peer review:

It is required that the applicant under this activity provide a contract for this activity to USDOT/Office of Pipeline Safety, State Damage Prevention Grant program within 30 days of execution of the contract. This comment indicates grantees must provide PHMSA with a copy of the final signed contract being utilizing to perform this project. Electronic copies are to be forwarded to Agreement Administrator and the Agreement Officer's Representative (Program Manager) as shown in the grant award documents. The contract will then be reviewed by PHMSA to determine compliance with 2 CFR 200.

If a contractor is used to perform any of these functions, the terms and condition of the contractual arrangement, and the qualification of the contractor, are subject to review by PHMSA. If the grantee utilizes a contract for performance of the requested project, the grantee must provide PHMSA with a copy of the final contract. Electronic copies are to be forwarded to Grant Agreement Administrator and the Agreement Officer's Representative (Program Manager). The contract will then be reviewed by PHMSA State Damage Prevention Grant

program to determine compliance with 2 CFR 200 Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.

Examples of non-allowable items: non-educational gifts, door prizes, souvenirs, memorabilia. Use of the term 'promotional items' will be cause for denial of the requested items and/or project.

Equipment

Funding for equipment is limited to \$5000.00 per piece of equipment. Any equipment (*Examples: Cameras, vehicles, IT equipment, gas detection equipment*), facility, records system or database purchased using grant funds must be for primary use in damage prevention applications. Without an explanation of the intended use and user, funding may not be authorized for equipment. Equipment must be identified by description and cost for each item requested.

Costs associated with computer or software upgrades must be used exclusively for damage prevention activities to be eligible. Computer upgrades for agency-wide activities unrelated to damage prevention activities are not eligible for funding.

Unallowable Costs

The following costs are unallowable under this grant program:

- Costs billed to state pipeline safety or other grants.
- Funds provided under grant awards may not be used for lobbying, advocacy or in direct support of litigation.
- Funds may not be used to cover costs associated with regulatory compliance programs for pipeline operators.
- Construction is not an allowable activity under this announcement.
- Funds provided under this grant may not be used to fund membership dues, professional activity costs, and sponsorship of conferences or events organized by another entity.
- Funding may not be used to cover costs associated with entertainment, such as shows or sporting events, or the purchase of alcoholic beverages or meals.
- Funds should not be used to cover costs associated with the normal operations of the one-call center.
 - Note: Subsidizing usual and ordinary One Call center or pipeline operator functions or activities, or purchase of basic operating equipment, is not considered an appropriate use for these funds. Applications must demonstrate that proposed projects could not be accomplished without grant support, and that the project is a new initiate to improve damage prevention in a manner that aligns with one of the Nine Elements of Effective Damage Prevention.
- Funding for equipment, including vehicles, may not exceed \$5000.
- All PHMSA awards are subject to the terms and conditions in the grant agreement and cost principles in 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.

Refer to 2 CFR 200 Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards for further guidance on allowable vs. non-allowable purchases. A copy is available at https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=55a0d4cec7c456ec983633ab28233f0f&mc=true&node=pt2.1.200&rgn=div5.

Re-allocation of Funding

Permission to redistribute grant money among projects must be obtained from the Agreement Administrator and the Agreement Officer's Representative (Program Manager) as shown in the grant award. If funds are spent on a project that was not part of the grant application, or are redistributed without PHMSA approval, the funds are subject to return to DOT/PHMSA.

After the funding is allocated, applicants can submit questions, concerns or suggestions regarding their grant by submitting questions through FedConnect. Questions will be addressed by the Agreement Administrator and/or the Agreement Officer's Representative (Program Manager).

Grantees who receive funding for several projects with the same priority but do not receive sufficient funds to perform them all may be allowed to redistribute the funds among some or all the projects; for example, some grantees may elect to pool the funds and pursue only two projects instead of three. Or, if circumstances do not allow the grantee to perform a project for which funding has been approved, the grantee may be able to redirect those funds to another approved project of the same or higher priority. However, funds cannot be diverted to a lower priority project than the one for which they were approved.

APPENDIX A: PROJECT PRIORITIES

Priority 1

- Element 3: A process for reviewing the adequacy of a pipeline operator's internal performance measures regarding persons performing locating services and quality assurance programs.
- Element 7: Enforcement of State damage prevention laws and regulations for all aspects of the damage prevention process, including public education, and the use of civil penalties for violations assessable by the appropriate State authority.
- Element 9: A process for review and analysis of the effectiveness of each program element, including a means for implementing improvements identified by such program reviews.

Priority 2

- Element 4: Participation by operators, excavators, and other stakeholders in the development and implementation of effective employee training programs to ensure that operators, the one-call center, the enforcing agency, and the excavators have partnered to design and implement training for the employees of operators, excavators, and locators.
- Element 5: A process for fostering and ensuring active participation by all stakeholders in public education for damage prevention activities.
- Element 8: A process for fostering and promoting the use, by all appropriate stakeholders, of improving technologies that may enhance communications, underground pipeline locating capability, and gathering and analyzing information about the accuracy and effectiveness of locating programs.

Priority 3

- Element 1: Participation by operators, excavators, and other stakeholders in the development and implementation of methods for establishing and maintaining effective communications between stakeholders from receipt of an excavation notification until successful completion of the excavation, as appropriate.
- Element 2: A process for fostering and ensuring the support and partnership of stakeholders, including excavators, operators, locators, designers, and local government in all phases of the program.
- Element 6: A process for resolving disputes that defines the State authority's role as a partner and facilitator to resolve issues.

To fairly distribute the limited available funds, the applicant must separate the activities proposed for funding by Element. Where activities with different Elements (and Priorities) are mixed in a single item, the lowest applicable funding priority will be assigned.

Example:

An applicant combines enforcement (Element 2, Priority One) and educational materials (Element 5, Priority Three) into one project. The entire request would be assigned to Priority Three.

APPENDIX B: SAMPLE GOVERNOR'S LETTER

Date

Agreement Administrator Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) U.S. Department of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE, E22-317 Washington, D.C. 20590

Dear:

As Governor of the State of _____ I am pleased to designate ______ as the state authority eligible to receive a State Damage Prevention Program Grant from the United States Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, under funding opportunity number ______ for fiscal year _____.

This grant will allow ______ to improve the overall quality and effectiveness of its program to prevent damage to underground pipeline facilities throughout Texas.

Sincerely,

Governor

POST OFRCE BOX 12428 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711 512-463-2000 (VOICE) DIAL 7-1-1 FOR RELAY SERVICES

APPENDIX C: PERFORMANCE REPORTS TEMPLATE

Note: The following is a sample reporting template for submitting progress reports. This format is suggested and preferred; however, the format of the report is within the grantee's discretion.

State Damage Prevention Program Grants Final Report CFDA Number: 20.720

Award Number: [From Article I, Section 1.03 of your agreement] Project Title: [From Article I, Section 1.03 of your agreement] Date Submitted: [Date of report submission] Submitted by: [Who is submitting; can be more than one person]

Specific Objective(s) of the Agreement

[Cut and paste from Article II, Section <u>2.03</u> of your agreement.]

Workscope

[Cut and paste from <u>Article III. Workscope</u> of your agreement.]

Accomplishments for this period (Item 1 under Article IX, <u>Section 9.02 Final Report</u>: "A comparison of actual accomplishments to the objectives established for the period.")

[How did you progress on each of the items/elements provided in the "Specific Objectives" and "Workscope"? How did your progress compare with established objectives? Start with an overall description followed by item-by-item or element-by-element detail if possible.]

Quantifiable Metrics/Measures of Effectiveness (Item 2 under Article IX, <u>Section 9.02 Final</u> <u>Report</u>: "Where the output of the project can be quantified, a computation of the cost per unit of output.")

[This may be difficult to explain for every grant project, but we're trying to get a gauge for how effective this grant work has been in improving your damage prevention program. If your grant is more data oriented, you likely had some sort of metrics in mind to improve upon. If so, what were those metrics and how is the data looking now compared to when the program started? If you're doing something along the lines of enforcement that involves incident review, how many cases have you been able to review/close and/or fines collected or other enforcement actions taken compared to before the grant work? If you are working more along the lines of public awareness, to how many stakeholders have you been able to reach? Did you survey stakeholders to evaluate if they retained the information? Even if you don't have the metrics fully defined, include whatever information you have here.]

Issues, Problems or Challenges (Item 3 under Article IX, <u>Section 9.02 Final Report</u>: "The reasons for slippage if established objectives were not met. ")

[If the project successfully concluded on schedule, simply state that there are no issues, problems or challenges to report. If there have been delays for any reason, explain what they are and how they have

US DOT PHMSA

Page 14 of 19

FY 2020 State Damage Prevention (SDP) Grant Program Information

impacted the grant work. For instance, with some States, even after an agreement is in place, it has to be sent back to the Governor's office for approval, which takes more time than originally anticipated. Even if work begins right away after the agreement is in place, other delays can be caused by personnel changes or issues that arose as the project progressed.

Final Financial Status Report

[Per the instructions in Article IX, <u>Section 9.04</u> of your agreement (included below), the financial status report should be submitted to the Agreement Administrator (AA) and the Agreement Officer's Representative (AOR). Please see instructions below and include supporting documentation such as invoices, receipts, spreadsheets, etc. However, if there are any issues with the Financial Status Report or additional explanation is needed, please provide that information here. If there are any delays for whatever reasons, these should be communicated to the AA and AOR in advance.

From Article IX, <u>Section 9.04</u> of your agreement: "During the performance of the grant, the Recipient must submit a mid-term Federal Financial Report, Standard Form 425 (SF-425), to report the status of funds. In addition to the SF-425, the Recipient should provide the breakdown of costs for each object class category (Personnel, Fringe Benefits, Travel, Equipment, Supplies, Contractual, Other, and Indirect Charges). The Final Federal Financial Report must be submitted to the AOR and the AA via e-mail, no later than 90 days after the grant period end date (See Section 1.03. If possible, this report should be submitted, along with the Final Report, within 30 days after the grant period end date.

Requests of the AOR and/or PHMSA

[In most cases, any questions or actions requested of the AOR and PHMSA (such as grant modifications in anyway) should have been addressed in advance of filing the report. If this is the case, simply state "No actions requested at this time" or explain any actions that are currently in process. However, if something has come up recently, or if you haven't been able to discuss with the AOR yet, please describe here.]

Note: The following is a sample reporting template for submitting progress reports. This format is suggested and preferred; however, the format of the report is within the grantee's discretion.

State Damage Prevention Program Grants Mid-Term Progress Report CFDA Number: 20.720

Award Number: [From Article I, <u>Section 1.03</u> of your agreement] Project Title: [From Article I, <u>Section 1.03</u> of your agreement] Date Submitted: [Date of report submission] Submitted by: [Who is submitting; can be more than one person]

Specific Objective(s) of the Agreement

[Cut and paste from Article II, Section <u>2.03</u> of your agreement.]

Workscope

[Cut and paste from <u>Article III. Workscope</u> of your agreement.]

Accomplishments for this period (Item 1 under Article IX, <u>Section 9.01 Progress Report</u>: "A comparison of actual accomplishments to the objectives established for the period.")

[How are you progressing on each of the items/elements provided in the "Specific Objectives" and "Workscope"? Start with an overall description followed by item-by-item or element-by-element detail if possible.]

Quantifiable Metrics/Measures of Effectiveness (Item 2 under Article IX, <u>Section 9.01</u> <u>Progress Report</u>: "Where the output of the project can be quantified, a computation of the cost per unit of output.")

[This is difficult to explain across the board, but we're trying to get a gauge for how effective this grant work is in improving your damage prevention program. If your grant is more data oriented, you likely had some sort of metrics in mind to improve upon. If so, what were those metrics and how is the data looking now compared to when the program started? If you're doing something along the lines of enforcement that involves incident review, how many cases have you been able to review/close and/or fines collected, other enforcement actions taken compared to before the grant work? If your project is more along the lines of public awareness, to how many stakeholders have you been able to reach? Even if you don't have the metrics fully defined, include all appropriate information here.]

Issues, Problems or Challenges (Item 3 under Article IX, <u>Section 9.01 Progress Report</u>: "The reasons for slippage if established objectives were not met. ")

[If the project is progressing on schedule, simply state that there are no issues, problems or challenges to report. If there have been delays for any reason, explain what they are and how that may impact the grant work. For instance, with some States, even after an agreement is in place, it must be sent back to the Governor's office for approval, which takes more time than

US DOT PHMSA

Page 16 of 19

FY 2020 State Damage Prevention (SDP) Grant Program Information

APPENDIX D: SF 425 (FOR MIDTERM AND FINAL FINANCIAL REPORTS)

Federal Agency Form Instructions

Form Identifiers	Information			
Agency Owner	Grants.gov			
Form Name	Federal Financial Report (SF-425)			
Form Version Number	2.0			
OMB Number	4040-0014			
OMB Expiration Date	02/28/2022			

Form Field Instructions

Field	Field Name	Required or	Information
Number		Optional	
1.	Federal Agency and Organizational Element to Which Report is Submitted	Required	Enter Federal Agency and Organizational Element for which the report is submitted. This field is required.
2.	Federal Grant or Other Identifying Number Assigned by Federal Agency (To report multiple grants, use FFR Attachment)	Required	Enter Federal Grant or Other Identifying Number Assigned by Federal Agency. (To report multiple grants, use FFR Attachment) This field is required.
3-1.	Recipient Organization Name	Required	Enter the legal name of the applicant that will undertake the assistance activity. This
3-2.	Street1	Required	Enter the first line of the Street Address. This field is required.
3-3.	Street2	Optional	Enter the second line of the Street Address.
3-4.	City	Required	Enter the City. This field is required.
3-5.	County	Optional	Enter the County.
3-6.	State	Required if USA selected for Country.	Select the state, US possession or military code from the provided list. This field is required if USA is selected for Country.
3-7.	Province	Optional	Enter the Province.

OMB Number: 4040-0014 OMB Expiration Date: 02/28/2022 1

View Burden Statement Federal Financial Report (Follow form Instructions)					OMB Number: 4040-0014 Expiration Date: 02/28/2022					
1. Federal Agency and O	rganizational Element to Wh	ich Report is Submi			lying Number Assigned by Federal Is, use FFR Attachment)					
3. Recipient Organization	3. Recipient Organization (Name and complete address including Zip code)									
Recipient Organization Name:										
Street1:										
Street2:										
City:		County	y:							
State:			-	Province:						
Country: USA: UNITED) STATES		 ZIP 	/ Postal Code:						
4a. DUNS Number	4b. EIN		5. Recipient Accoun (To report multiple g							
6. Report Type	7. Basis of Accounting	8. Project/Grant P	eriod	9. Reporting Peri	od End Date					
Quarterly	Cash	From:	Τα:							
Semi-Annual	Accrual									
10. Transactions		_			Cumulative					
	or multiple grant reporting)									
Federal Cash (To repor	rt muitiple grants, also use	FFR attachment):								
a. Cash Receipts					0.00					
b. Cash Disbursements	0.00									
c. Cash on Hand (line a	minus b)				0.00					
(Use lines d-o for single grant reporting)										
Federal Expenditures a	and Unobligated Balance:									
d. Total Federal funds au	uthorized				0.00					
e. Federal share of expe	enditures				0.00					
f. Federal share of unliqu	uidated obligations				0.00					
g. Total Federal share (sum of lines e and f)					0.00					
h. Unobligated balance of Federal Funds (line d minus g) 0.00										
Recipient Share:										
I. Total recipient share re	0.00									
J. Recipient share of expenditures					0.00					
k. Remaining recipient share to be provided (line I minus j) 0.0										
Program Income:										
I. Total Federal program Income earned										
	m. Program income expended in accordance with the deduction alternative 0									
	ended in accordance with the		2		0.00					
o. Unexpended program	o. Unexpended program income (line I minus line m or line n)									