

From: [INFOCNTR \(PHMSA\)](#)
To: [Baker, Yul \(PHMSA\)](#)
Cc: [Hazmat Interps](#)
Subject: Interpretation Request: 49 CFR 172.204 (b)(1)(i)
Date: Wednesday, October 15, 2025 13:46:58
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hi Yul,

Please see the following interpretation request. Let us know if you need anything else.

Best,

Janaye

From: Pittelkow, Gregg <gregg.pittelkow@corteva.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 9, 2025 11:41 AM
To: PHMSA HM InfoCenter <PHMSAHMInfoCenter@dot.gov>
Subject: 49 CFR 172.204 (b)(1)(i)

You don't often get email from gregg.pittelkow@corteva.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Department of Transportation (DOT). Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I'm requesting an explanation on why cargo tanks supplied by the carrier do not require a shipper's certification. If a carrier comes to my facility with a carrier-supplied cargo tank for loading, and I load a hazardous substance (e.g., a flammable liquid) into the carrier supplied tank, I would think that I would have to certify that the tank was appropriate for the material loaded, that it was properly marked, placarded, sealed, documented, etc.

Unless I'm missing something here.

Thanks for your assistance in this matter.

Gregg Pittelkow

Global Distribution Safety and Security Lead



9330 Zionsville Road, Bldg. 308 / 1C140, Indianapolis, IN 46268-1053

T: +1 317 504 6975 | E: gregg.pittelkow@corteva.com