| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Requested | Award | |
| 1 | COOK INLET REGIONAL CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL (CIRCAC) Kenai, Alaska Non-Profit 501c3 | COOK INLET PIPELINE INTEGRITY ASSESSMENT OUTREACH The CIRCAC proposes to heighten public awareness of Cook Inlet pipelines, promote the Cook Inlet Pipeline Infrastructure Assessment, and provide an opportunity for communities and operators to collaborate on developing strategies for pipeline safety. CIRCAC will: (1) develop a website containing information on pipelines in Cook Inlet; (2) host a public workshop with operators and stakeholders on areas of public concern and devise strategies to further enhance the safety of pipelines; and (3) host a public online forum on Cook Inlet pipeline interest and | AK | Exceptional | \$ 91,673 | \$ 91,673 | The application is exceptional. The proposal aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning or technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| 2 | PERMIAN BASIN REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION Midland, Texas Local Government (Regional Organization) | concerns. PIPELINE SAFETY AWARENESS PROJECT The Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission proposes to implement a pipeline safety awareness project to address public safety, environmental issues, and pipeline infrastructure hazards in the Permian Basin. The project will engage emergency responders, the local public officials, economic development professionals, and oil and gas professional organizations in the region by providing pipeline mapping information, 811 awareness, and disseminating information on public meetings hosted by the pipeline industry and the Texas Railroad Commission. The Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission will also host a pipeline industry meeting to educate local elected officials. The Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission will also participate in public awareness projects for citizens with a focus on community awareness in rural communities; participating in community events, mass media public announcements, distributing information in communities, and enhancing the Commission's website. | TX | Exceptional | \$ 100,000 | | The application is exceptional. The proposal aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning or technology transfer). The application included Other Costs totaling \$17,099, which were unclear and did not appear to relate to the grant focus. The agency requested clarification from the applicant on the Other Costs budget line item and did not receive a response by the requested deadline. The application is recommended for partial funding and a reduction of \$17,099. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | пррисанс | 1 Toposai Saimmai y | State | ruung | Requested | Award | Dusis for Recommendation |
| 3 | EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL Orange, Florida Local Government (Regional Organization) | EQUIPMENT FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE AND PIPELINE EMERGENCY RESPONDERS INITIATIVE (PERI) SUPPORT The East Central Florida Planning Council plans to engage with subject matter experts in the pipeline industry to inform and train first responders using best practices on how to mitigate and resolve pipeline failures safely. The Council will provide hands-on training to first responders using the grant to purchase training props and equipment and will provide extensive emergency response planning and public education. Funding will also be used to support the Florida PERI. | FL | Exceptional | \$ 100,000 | | The application is exceptional. The proposal aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns well with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The agency requested clarification on the costs associated with the leak detectors and did not receive a response by the requested deadline. The recommendation is to fund two of the four leak detectors at \$11,000 for each detector. The application is recommended for partial funding and a reduction of \$22,000 for two leak detectors. |
| 4 | WEST CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS (WCTCOG) Abilene, Texas Local Government (Regional Organization) | PIPELINE SAFETY PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM The WCTCOG proposes to conduct outreach and education throughout 19 counties and 62 communities in the West Central Texas region. The proposal will increase public awareness of pipeline infrastructure and the associated hazards of living near pipelines. WCTCOG will promote the call before you dig 811 initiative and One-Call procedures for the public, construction and building contractors, agriculture workers, public safety and emergency personnel, elected public officials, and elementary aged and college students throughout the region. Funding will be used to sponsor radio and television public service announcements; disseminate outreach, education, and awareness materials through public events and at area schools; and engage in the region's four damage prevention councils and in other local activities promoting pipeline safety awareness and other underground utilities awareness. | TX | Exceptional | \$ 100,000 | \$ 65,932 | The application is exceptional. The proposal aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). The agency requested clarification from the applicant on the Personnel Costs budget line item and did not receive a response by the requested deadline. The applicant is seeking salary and fringe benefit funding in the amount of \$50,808 for a full-time employee for one-year. The objectives of the grant do not warrant a full-time employee. Therefore, a 50% reduction (\$25,404) in salary and fringe benefits is recommended. The applicant is also requesting Space Costs at \$5064. This is an indirect cost that should not be funded separately; therefore a \$5064 reduction is recommended. The applicant is also requesting \$3600 for a GIS/Mapping subscription which does not correlate with the proposed application focus; therefore, a \$3600 reduction is recommended. The application is recommended for partial funding. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | F | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|------------|----|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Requested | | Award | |
| 5 | LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN BASIN FOUNDATION New Orleans, Louisiana Non-Profit 501c3 | PONTCHARTRAIN BASIN PILOT PROGRAM: SAFE DREDGING FOR PIPELINE DAMAGE PREVENTION The Lake Pontchartrain Basin Foundation proposes to support PHMSA's Public Awareness, Damage Prevention, and Unusually Sensitive Areas initiatives through a pilot program to establish best practice guidelines for dredge and pipeline operators in the Pontchartrain Basin and a tip sheet for the public that summarizes the issues and the increased environmental protection that can be achieved by using the guidelines. The Foundation will partner with other organizations, to include Coastal and Marine Operators (CAMO), Louisiana One Call 811, the Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority, Dredge Contractors of America (DCA) and related industry trade organizations to prevent and avoid accidental releases from pipelines submerged underwater during dredging or related marine activities. | LA | Exceptional | \$ 100,000 | S | | The application is exceptional. The proposal aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning or technology transfer). The budget request in the application was unclear. One section specified a \$75K cost and another section specified \$100K. The agency requested clarification from the applicant and did not receive a response by the requested deadline. The application is recommended for partial funding and a reduction of \$25K based on specified costs of \$75K in the Budget section. |
| 6 | ASSOCIATION | MOBILE TRAINING SIMULATOR Oklahoma PERI Association proposes to build a mobile training simulator that is designed to house multiple props to support training scenarios. The use of the simulator will benefit all stakeholders in the unified command process and will provide an opportunity for both emergency responders and operators to enhance their working relationship. The work under this grant will also support the Oklahoma PERI initiative. | | Exceptional | \$ 100,000 | \$ | | The application is exceptional. The proposal aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The application is recommended for full funding. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating |] | Funds | R | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|----|---------|----|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | J | Re | quested | | Award | |
| 7 | TRUST (PST) Bellingham, Washington Non-Profit 501c3 | PIPELINE ENGAGEMENT APPROACH IMPROVEMENT AND EDUCATION The PST proposes to strengthen the depth and quality of public participation in the safe development and operation of pipelines by targeting multiple stakeholder groups through outreach that are essential to public engagement. The PST will engage leaders from the pipeline industry, regulatory entities, and the public on public engagement best practices. PST will hire a consultant to train members of the public who have the potential of becoming pipeline safety leaders in their communities on the basics of how pipelines are designed, constructed, operated, maintained, tested, inspected, and regulated. | WA | Exceptional | \$ | 99,976 | \$ | | The application is exceptional. The proposal aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning or technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| 8 | SALLE Cotulla, Texas Local Government (County) | EMERGENCY RESPONSE INITIATIVE AND PERI SUPPORT The County of La Salle proposes to collaborate with regional first responders to gain a higher understanding of risk associated with natural gas, liquids, and hazardous materials through awareness and skill building training. The County plans to: (1) support and explore the Texas PERI, (2) develop a database of all stakeholders involved in pipeline safety and emergency response, (3) improve preparedness to spills and leaks, and (4) conduct two tabletop exercises. | | Exceptional | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | | The application is exceptional. The proposal aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The application is recommended for full funding. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | F | unds | Recon | mended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|-----|---------|-------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Req | uested | Av | vard | |
| 9 | SMALLEY FOUNDATION Crandall, Texas Non-Profit 501c3 | EMERGENCY RESPONSE COUNTY CONNECTION WEBSITE The Smalley Foundation proposes to develop a 'County Connection' website for first responders to access county-customized pipeline safety information. The website will serve as a resource to first responders to prepare for potential pipeline infrastructure hazards. The Foundation will also develop training videos for first responders and implement stakeholder development management software into the website to track and analyze training provided to first responders. | TX | Exceptional | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 100,000 | The application is exceptional. The proposal aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| 10 | YORK Brooklyn, New York Local Government (City) | EQUIPMENT AND TRAINING FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE The City of New York Fire Department proposes to purchase 15 gasless leak detector trainer kits for hands-on training evolutions at Leak Street. The kits will be used to simulate the types of hazardous conditions first responders face in the field and will give first responders the tools, training, and know-how to manage a gas emergency and to make critical decisions to protect lives and property. | NY | Acceptable | \$ | 90,000 | \$ | 48,000 | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The agency determined that 8 gasless leak detector trainer kits were sufficient to execute the project, reducing the funding requested by \$42K. The application is recommended for partial funding. |
| 11 | YORK Syracuse, New York Non-profit 501c3 | CENTER FOR DAMAGE PREVENTION AUDIO/VISUAL INVESTMENT FOR EDUCATION Dig Safely New York proposes to purchase and install audio/visual equipment for its new Center for Damage Prevention indoor training facility. The equipment will expand damage prevention educational outreach and research and testing. The project will enhance education to eliminate preventable excavation damages to pipelines through improved training methods, research and development, and strengthened partnerships. | NY | Acceptable | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 100,000 | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The application is recommended for full funding. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | пррисанс | 1 Toposai Summary | State | Rating | Requested | Award | Dasis for recommendation |
| 12 | TIPP OF THE MITT WATERSHED COUNCIL Michigan Non-profit 501c3 | PIPELINE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT PROJECT The Tipp of the Mitt Watershed Council will perform education and outreach to increase awareness and engagement to the public throughout the state of Michigan by improving effective public engagement in the decision-making process. The goal is to reduce potential water quality impacts from oil and gas pipelines by increasing effective public engagement in future pipeline permitting and public processes. The Council will host a webinar and develop a publication to increase public involvement and engagement in pipeline proposals. | MI | Acceptable | \$ 24,110 | | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns well with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning or technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| 13 | TOWN OF HENEFER Henefer, Utah Local Government (Town) | GIS MAPPING AND PIPELINE RISK ASSESSMENT The Town of Henefer proposes to complete a pipeline safety assessment, which includes evacuation routes and evacuation zones to enhance pipeline operations level emergency response capabilities. The Town of Henefer will also build a GIS database to map the hazardous liquid and gas pipelines in the town to improve emergency response capabilities. | UT | Acceptable | \$ 59,850 | \$ 59,850 | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), criterion 3 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| 14 | TOWN OF HUNTSVILLE Huntsville, Utah Local Government (Town) | GIS MAPPING AND PIPELINE RISK ASSESSMENT The Town of Huntsville proposes to complete a pipeline safety assessment, which includes evacuation routes and evacuation zones to enhance pipeline operations level response capabilities. The Town of Huntsville will also build a GIS database to map the natural gas pipeline in the town to improve emergency response capabilities. Only a small portion of the Dominion gas pipeline in the town is identified in the NPMS public map viewer. | UT | Acceptable | \$ 60,250 | \$ 60,250 | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), criterion 3 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The application is recommended for full funding. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | C | Requested | Award | |
| 15 | LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Baton Rouge, Louisiana Local Government (City) | DAMAGE PREVENTION ONLINE TRAINING The organization will partner with Louisiana One- Call to develop, deploy, promote, and support an online training program intended to encourage safe digging practices and prevent damage of underground facilities. | LA | Acceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns particularly well with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning or technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| | | PIPELINE DAMAGE PREVENTION PROJECT AND SYSTEM UPGRADES MISS DIG Systems plans to conduct public awareness activities and system upgrades to help protect pipelines. | MI | Acceptable | \$ 99,323 | | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns particularly well with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning or technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| 17 | COUNTY OF ANDERSON Garnett, Kansas Local Government (County) | ANDERSON COUNTY UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE PROJECT The County of Anderson proposes to purchase a high quality, commercial built unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), or more commonly known as a drone. The UAV would be equipped with high resolution cameras that are designed to transmit video back to the controller or base station. The UAV will be used for emergency response. | KS | Acceptable | \$ 27,000 | \$ 27,000 | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns particularly well with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning or technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| 18 | CITY OF CHICAGO Chicago, Illinois Local Government (City) | TECHNOLOGY UPGRADES TO 811 CHICAGO ONE CALL SYSTEM The City of Chicago proposes to integrate an "excavation checklist" to each dig ticket and increase the 811 data available to public users. The checklist will ensure that excavators abide by safe digging best practices and reduce the risk of pipeline damage. Increasing the availability of data will allow public users to review excavation activities and invite public participation in the safe development and operation of pipelines in and around communities. | IL | Acceptable | \$ 97,200 | \$ 97,200 | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns particularly well with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning or technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | | Funds |] | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | R | equested | | Award | |
| 19 | COUNTY OF FRANKLIN Rocky Mount, Virginia Local Government (County) | EQUIPMENT AND TRAINING FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE The County of Franklin proposes to provide hazardous materials education and training to public safety personnel through the utilization of various training programs and conferences. The County of Franklin will also purchase monitoring equipment that will assist in mitigating response to potential gas emergencies. The proposal will improve local pipeline emergency response capabilities and improve safe digging programs. | VA | Acceptable | \$ | 52,987 | \$ | ŕ | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| 20 | COLORADO OIL AND GAS ASSOCIATION (COGA) Colorado Non-Profit 501c6 | EMERGENCY RESPONSE NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND PERI SUPPORT The COGA proposes to perform a comprehensive assessment of capabilities and needs of first response agencies across the State of Colorado regarding pipeline incidents or emergencies. The focus of this assessment will be to identify training and equipment needs. This effort will assist Colorado stakeholders on the need to develop a PERI and evaluate how that program may be customized to meet the needs of statewide responders. | СО | Acceptable | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns well with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| | , | | | TOTAL | \$ | 1,632,369 | \$ | 1,492,202 | |

$FY\ 2018\ TAG\ Applications\ Not\ Recommended\ for\ Awards$

(As of 08-02-2018)

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Requested | Award | |
| 1 | CITY OF VAN HORN Van Horn, Texas Local Government (Town) | LEAK-DETECTION EQUIPMENT The Van Horn Utility Department proposed a plan to accelerate natural gas leak repairs by providing data that would provide a comprehensive look at the scope of the problem while supporting migration and engagement strategies. They would accomplish this by purchasing leak-detection equipment to measure leakage in their natural gas distribution pipelines and surrounding rural areas. This proposal would support the gas distribution integrity management program that is required by the Texas Railroad Commission. | TX | Unacceptable | \$ 60,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on distribution integrity management compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 2 | | ANNUAL NRCGA UTILITY LOCATE RODEO The NRCGA proposed a plan to use funds for the third annual NRCGA Utility Locate Rodeo. The purpose of this rodeo, which is a free competition proposed for Spring 2019, is to showcase the profession of utility line locating and the role locators play in underground damage prevention. To be eligible to compete in the rodeo, locators cannot have had any at-fault damages during the previous year at dig sites where they located buried utilities. | NV | Unacceptable | \$ 13,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. While the proposed project would marginally support PHMSA's damage prevention program, its focus would be locators who are not regulated by PHMSA. Further, the project proposal emphasized sponsorship and the provision of cash prizes to the rodeo winners. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 3 | CITY OF MANNFORD Mannford, Oklahoma Local Government (City) | INSTALLATION OF A RELIEF LINE FOR THE NATURAL GAS SYSTEM. The City of Mannford proposed the installation of a relief line for their natural gas system that would maintain pipeline pressure both within the town and in surrounding areas. | OK | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | I | unds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|----|---------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Re | quested | Award | |
| 4 | CITY OF AUGUSTA Augusta, Kentucky Local Government (City) | GIS MAPPING AND MOBILE COMPLIANCE The City of Augusta proposed the development and implementation of a GIS mapping system and mobile compliance solution through the purchase of GPS units, mobile devices, training, and mobile compliance software and applications that would be specific to Augusta's natural gas operations and maintenance needs. The City of Augusta would use the GPS equipment to create accurate maps of its entire natural gas pipeline system, including emergency valves, cathodic protection test stations, regulator stations, rectifiers, odorizers, mains, and other pipeline features. | KY | Unacceptable | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 5 | FOUNDATION FOR TECHNICAL STATE COLLEGE OF MISSOURI Osake, Missouri Non-Profit 501c3 | UTILITY SYSTEMS TECHNICIAN PROGRAM The Foundation for State Technical College of Missouri proposed the provision of training on underground, buried, and overhead utility systems, including electric, natural gas distribution, oil transmission, wastewater, and broadband systems. | МО | Unacceptable | \$ | 77,500 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. While the proposed project would marginally support PHMSA's damage prevention program, its focus would be training utility system technicians on systems that are not regulated by PHMSA. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 6 | EAST CENTRAL BALDWIN COUNTY WATER AND FIRE PROTECTION AUTHORITY Robertsdale, Alabama Non-Profit 501c3 | EQUIPMENT FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE The East Central Baldwin County Water and Fire Protection Authority proposed the purchase of a pickup truck and trailer for daily emergency response operations and maintenance for 325 pipelines. | AL | Unacceptable | \$ | 60,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 7 | CITY OF PEARSALL Pearsall, Texas Local Government (City) | GIS AND RELATED EQUIPMENT The City of Pearsall proposed the purchase of a GIS tracking system that would show the accurate location of their municipal gas system pipelines. This proposal also would provide for the purchase of a natural gas leak-detection device (odorator) with all the necessary hardware, software, and training to implement a tracking system program. | TX | Unacceptable | \$ | 94,911 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |

FY 2018 TAG Applications Not Recommended for Awards

(As of 08-02-2018)

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Fui | nds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|--------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | ested | Award | |
| 8 | COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON Easton, Pennsylvania Local Government (County) | EQUIPMENT FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE The County of Northampton proposed the purchase of 1,320 gallons of Universal Gold Foam concentrate that would be used to respond to pipeline/flammable liquid incidents in the Northampton and all contiguous counties. | PA | Unacceptable | \$ | 50,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on general emergency response operations and would not directly relate to improved pipeline safety. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 9 | CITY OF FAIRFIELD Fairfield, Illinois Local Government (City) | GIS AND TRAINING The City of Fairfield proposed the purchase of GIS mapping software and GPS units. The proposal also included training, which would allow the City of Fairfield to map their entire gas pipeline system in a digital format. | IL | Unacceptable | \$ 4 | 45,000 | - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 10 | CITY OF WILCOX Cochise, Arizona Local Government (City) | NATURAL GAS REGULATOR STATION ENGINEERING STUDY The City of Wilcox proposed the evaluation, design, and upgrade of the existing outdated regulator station and the replacement of two flame ionization units. | AZ | Unacceptable | \$ 10 | 00,000 | | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 11 | VILLAGE OF TAMMS Alexander, Illinois Local Government (City) | NATURAL GAS SYSTEM DESIGN The Village of Tamms proposed the evaluation, design, and replacement of the existing natural gas system in favor of a more reliable system. | IL | Unacceptable | \$ 4 | 48,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Requested | Award | |
| 12 | PALATKA GAS AUTHORITY (PGA) Putnam, Florida Local Government (Municipality) | GIS AND PIPELINE SAFETY ASSESSMENT The PGA proposed the expansion and update of its GIS, as well as a risk-assessment study that would capture accurate spatial identification for its entire natural gas system, including valves, regulators, mains, leak data, and corrosion control measures. PGA also intended to purchase additional analysis tools that would incorporate customer information system data into PGA's GIS. PGA hoped to decrease emergency response times, and therefore increase public safety and awareness, by recording the exact locations of facilities and amassing a database of information for each facility. | FL | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funds. The PGA applied for a FY 2017 TAG using the same proposal, which was also deemed unacceptable in 2017. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 13 | SALEM ALLIANCE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (SAFE) Salem, Massachusetts Non-Profit 501c3 | NATURAL GAS LEAK SAFETY PROGRAM SAFE proposed leak surveys that would enhance safety and increase gas safety awareness among residents. SAFE also proposed training for first responders and tree specialists, the provision of gas detection equipment for first responders and tree specialists, and the promotion of public awareness via education sharing. The information involved in this education sharing would include GIS data and hard-copy maps from SAFE's proposed 2018-2019 Cavity Ringdown Spectrometer survey. | MA | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on conducting leak surveys to alert the public to potential leaks; however, it appears this project would be more than educational in nature. SAFE is generally anti-pipeline and the results of their leak surveys would be published on their existing anti-pipeline website. The application appears to border on advocacy activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 14 | NORTH ALABAMA GAS DISTRICT Muscle Shoals, Alabama Local Government (Municipality) | GIS AND RELATED EQUIPMENT The North Alabama Gas District proposed the upgrade of its leak survey equipment and FLOWGIS software to enable the municipality to provide safer and more efficient service to existing and potential customers. | AL | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Requested | Award | |
| 15 | CITY OF LA CYGNE Linn, Kansas Local Government (City) | GIS MAPPING AND MOBILE COMPLIANCE The City of La Cygne proposed the development and implementation of a GIS mapping system and mobile compliance solution through the purchase of GPS units, mobile devices, training, and mobile compliance software and applications specific to the city's natural gas operations and maintenance activities. The City would utilize the GPS equipment to create accurate maps of its entire natural gas pipeline system, including emergency valves, cathodic protection test stations, regulator stations, rectifiers, odorizers, mains, and other key pipeline features. | KS | Unacceptable | \$ 50,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 16 | DAMASCUS CITIZENS FOR SUSTAINABILITY Milanville, Pennsylvania Non-Profit 501c3 | QUANTITATIVE RISK-ASSESSMENT TOOL FOR PIPELINES The Damascus Citizens for Sustainability proposed the creation of a quantitative risk-assessment tool for pipelines that transport liquefied ethane, propane, and butane through densely populated areas. The assessment tool would be used by citizens, industry, and government officials at all levels to provide accurate measurements of pipeline risk. This tool would also provide technical knowledge for communities with existing or proposed pipeline infrastructure. | PA | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on risk assessments that are required by existing Federal pipeline safety regulations and usually conducted by pipeline operators as part of integrity management procedures. Additionally, the creation of a tool that could be used to identify pipeline locations raises potential security concerns. This application is not recommended for award. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | 1 | | | Requested | Award | |
| 17 | CITY OF PARTRIDGE Reno, Kansas Local Government (City) | GIS MAPPING AND MOBILE COMPLIANCE The City of Partridge proposed the development and implementation of a GIS mapping system and mobile compliance solution through the purchase of GPS units, mobile devices, training, and mobile compliance software and applications specific to the city's natural gas operations and maintenance activities. The city would utilize the GPS equipment to create accurate maps of its entire natural gas pipeline system, including emergency valves, cathodic protection test stations, regulator stations, rectifiers, odorizers, mains, and other key pipeline features. | KS | Unacceptable | \$ 20,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 18 | CITY OF PRESTONSBURG Prestonsburg, KY Local Government (City) | LEAK-DETECTION EQUIPMENT The City of Prestonsburg proposed the purchase of leak-detection equipment to measure leakage in natural gas distribution pipelines within the city and in surrounding rural areas. This leak-detection system would serve to enhance overall safety and accuracy of incident responses while bolstering the safety and efficiency of daily operations. | KY | Unacceptable | \$ 29,205 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on compliance activities and equipment for an existing system. Compliance activities are a prohibited use of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 19 | PAINTSVILLE UTILITIES COMMISSION Johnson, KY Local Government (City) | LEAK-DETECTION EQUIPMENT The Paintsville Utilities Commission proposed the purchase of leak-detection equipment to measure leakage in the natural gas pipelines within the city and in the surrounding areas. This would enhance overall safety and the timeliness and accuracy of incident response. | KY | Unacceptable | \$ 66,958 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Requested | Award | |
| 20 | CITY OF HARDTNER Hartner, Kansas Local Government (City) | GIS MAPPING AND MOBILE COMPLIANCE The City of Hardtner proposed the development and implementation of a GIS mapping system and mobile compliance solution through the purchase of GPS units, mobile devices, training, and mobile compliance software and applications specific to the city's natural gas operations and maintenance activities. The city would utilize the GPS equipment to create accurate maps of its entire natural gas pipeline system, including emergency valves, cathodic protection test stations, regulator stations, rectifiers, odorizers, mains, and other key pipeline features. | KS | Unacceptable | \$ 20,000 | - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 21 | CITY OF TOMPKINSVILLE Johnson, KY Local Government (City) | LEAK-DETECTION EQUIPMENT AND OUTREACH The City of Tompkinsville proposed the purchase of leak-detection equipment to measure leakage. This would support pipeline safety and pave the way for new public awareness plan safety measures, as required by the PSC, such as twice-yearly brocure mailings to all nearby citizens and pipeline customers. | KY | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. Brocure mailing is not an eligible grant activity, as the brocures' purpose is public awareness compliance. Purchase of the specified equipment is also not an eligible grant activity, as the equipment is a necessary component of damage prevention compliance. Additionally, the proposed project would focus on compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 22 | CITY OF CLAY Clay, KY Local Government (City) | LEAK-DETECTION EQUIPMENT The City of Clay proposed the purchase of leak-detection equipment that would allow the city's gas department to measure leaks, thereby supporting pipeline safety. | KY | Unacceptable | \$ 32,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | _ | Requested | Award | |
| 23 | CITY OF PORT ARANSAS Nueces, KY Local Government (City) | LEAK-DETECTION AND OTHER EQUIPMENT The City of Port Aransas proposed the purchase of leak-detection equipment for the gas department that would allow the city to measure leaks, thereby supporting pipeline safety. The city currently lacks leak-detection technology and must depend on the Corpus Christie Gas Department. The City also proposed the purchase of a utility task vehicle and an odorant evaluation tool. | KY | Unacceptable | \$ 99,250 | | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 24 | CITY OF MOSS POINT Moss Point, MS Local Government (City) | LEAK-DETECTION EQUIPMENT The City of Moss Point proposed the purchase of leak-detection equipment that would allow the city's gas department to measure leaks, thereby supporting pipeline safety and bolstering the city's pipeline safety goals. | MS | Unacceptable | \$ 99,150 | | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 25 | PIPELINE SAFETY COALITION (PSC) Downington, PA Non-Profit 501c3 | COMMUNITY PIPELINE SAFETY AND AWARENESS NETWORK The PSC proposed the establishment of Community Pipeline Safety and Awareness Networks (CAN) in Chester County, Pennsylvania. They planned to transfer the concept to other regions via an approach that would emphasize that "we CAN make a difference." The PSC stated that the creation and implementation of the Chester County CAN program would provide reliable education and training that would empower communities to be informed early on in discussions regarding pipelines, siting, and safety. | PA | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | | The application is unacceptable. While the proposed project could potentially advance pipeline safety through a public awareness initiative, the application did not specify clear objectives, milestones, timelines, or resources. Additionally, some proposed project activities appeared to focus on siting/proposed pipeline construction projects; it is unclear whether these activities are associated with direct advocacy activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funding. The applicant applied for a FY 2017 TAG for the same proposal, which was also deemed to be unacceptable in 2017. Furthermore, in FY 2015, the applicant received a TAG for a similar initiative: the Pipeline Survivor Network. This initiative did not progress beyond the concept level. The application is not recommended for award. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 26 | CITY OF LAWRENCEVILLE Lawrenceville, GA Local Government (City) | DAMAGE PREVENTION AND PUBLIC AWARENESS DATABASE MODULE The City of Lawrenceville proposed a collaboration with PSD Software, LLC, to build a dynamic damage prevention and public awareness module within the HiperWeb Management System. This module would establish an interface between damage prevention, GIS, customer service, and the city's Natural Gas Department Work Order System. The city proposed this module in an attempt to automate damage prevention and public awareness activities that are currently done manually. | GA | Unacceptable | \$ 96,950 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 27 | PENNSYLVANIA ONE CALL (POC) West Mifflin, Pennsylvania Non-profit 501(c)(6) | TECHNOLOGY UPGRADES TO THE PENNSYLVANIA ONE-CALL CENTER SYSTEM The Pennsylvania One-call Center (POC) proposed to transition its current utility coordination to an online project-sharing system that would replace the old system of face-to-face meetings, spreadsheets, and paper plans. The project would incorporate project coordination and management directly into the POC ticket-taking system. Additionally, Pennsylvania stakeholders would be able to use the new application to coordinate call center web ticket entries and to increase coordination on call tickets between utilities, thereby enhancing damage prevention. | PA | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project is already underway and its costs, thus far, totaled more than \$300,000.00. The applicant provided unclear cost information by submitting an April 2017 invoice that specified an invoice balance of \$88,000.00. Despite that, the applicant requested \$100,000.00; it is unclear what they intended to fund with this money. The application is not recommended for award. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | пррисши | 1 Toposar Summary | State | ranng | Requested | Award | Busis for Recommendation |
| 28 | METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COUNCIL Boston, Massachusetts Local Government (Regional Organization) | TECHNOLOGY UPGRADES TO THE PENNSYLVANIA ONE-CALL CENTER SYSTEM The Pennsylvania One-call Center (POC) proposed to transition its current utility coordination to an online project-sharing system that would replace the old system of face-to-face meetings, spreadsheets, and paper plans. The project would incorporate project coordination and management directly into the POC ticket-taking system. Additionally, Pennsylvania stakeholders would be able to use the new application to coordinate call center web ticket entries and to increase coordination on call tickets between utilities, thereby enhancing damage prevention. | MA | Unacceptable | \$ 91,266 | | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. It is unclear who would benefit from the proposed leak study, which does not align with PHMSA's strategic objectives. Additionally, the Metropolitan Area Planning Council conducted a similar study using TAG funds in FY 2014. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 29 | NATIONAL EXCAVATOR INITIATIVE (NEI) Texas Non-profit 501c3 | NATIONAL MEDIA CAMPAIGN AND OUTREACH FOR EXCAVATORS The NEI proposed the expansion of its current efforts to target excavators through: (1) the development of a revised, interactive website and new, branded print and electronic materials that support various aspects of damage prevention, (2) ongoing maintenance of and updates to the existing safe excavator mobile application and website that reflect materials developed in association with the NEI, (3) additional measurement of knowledge, understanding, and demographic identification of excavator stakeholder groups, and (4) media delivery, via both advertising and earned media, of new materials that are focused on underground damage prevention messages. | TX | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The NEI manages a national damage prevention campaign that has significant with the Common Ground Alliance's (CGA) damage prevention efforts and national media campaign. Messaging proposed by the NEI is already available; additionally, the NEI could leverage the CGA toolkit for its media campaign. The application is not recommended for award. |

$FY\ 2018\ TAG\ Applications\ Not\ Recommended\ for\ Awards$

(As of 08-02-2018)

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | J | Requested | Award | |
| 30 | SAVE LAFAYETTE TREES California 501(c)(3) | LAFAYETTE COMMUNITY PIPELINE SAFETY PROJECT The applicant proposed an education program for the Lafayette community. This program would focus on natural gas pipeline systems within Lafayette City and in city parks and would address the risks the gas system could pose to the community. Additionally, the applicant planned to hire a consultant to collect and evaluate data on aging pipeline infrastructure and integrity management operations in Lafayette. This data would serve as the basis of a report that would be used engage and initiate dialogue between stakeholders, thereby facilitating collaborative efforts to enhance pipeline safety and awareness. | CA | Unacceptable | \$ 45,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on collecting and evaluating data on aging pipeline infrastructure and integrity management operations in Lafayette, which would be contingent on voluntary operator participation. The proposal appeared to be more adversarial than educational. Additionally, the applicant is generally anti-pipeline and the results of the analysis would be published on their website, which is also highly anti-pipeline. Finally, the application appears to border on advocacy activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 31 | CAREER SKILLS NETWORK College Park, Texas Non-profit 501c3 | EXCAVATION DAMAGE TRAINING The Career Skills Network proposed the development, deployment, support, and promotion of an online training program intended to encourage safe digging practices and prevent underground facility damage. | TX | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The Career Skills Network partners with schools to provide paid career- and skills-based training programs. While the training would focus on excavators, it is not a good use of TAG funding since several organizations-including One-Call centersoffer nationwide excavator training at no cost. The application is not recommended for award. |

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Търрасских | Tropositi summir y | State | - Tuning | Requested | Award | Days 101 Teecommendur |
| 32 | GOLD SHOVEL ASSOCIATION Phoenix, Arizona Non-profit 501c3 | GOLD SHOVEL STANDARD SAFETY CONFERENCE FOR PIPELINE INDUSTRY AND HOLDERS OF BURIED ASSETS The Gold Shovel Association proposed the rollout and expansion of its free-for-use Shovel Safe software. The Gold Shovel Association planned to roll out this software, which is a damage prevention/safety management system for pipelines and other buried infrastructure, at its upcoming safety conference. The Gold Shovel Association requested funding for this conference, which is scheduled on September 26, 2018, and will be open to the pipeline industry and other owners of buried infrastructure. Following the conference, Gold Shovel planned to publish the conference findings online and create a series of key learnings emails that would be distributed to the entire Gold Shovel network. Gold Shovel also planned to sponsor a conference survey and a learning assessment. | AZ | Unacceptable | \$ 39,547 | | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The outcomes and results for the proposed project are unclear and planning for the conference, which is scheduled for September 26, 2018, is already underway. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 33 | COUNTY OF DELAWARE Media, Pennsylvania Local Government (County) | 2018 DELAWARE COUNTY PIPELINE RESPONDERS TRAINING PROGRAM The County of Delaware proposed training programs for emergency responders and emergency response exercises that would test various response segments. The County of Delaware would also develop a pipeline emergency planning guidance document to assist local municipalities and emergency personnel in the development or reworking of operational response plans. | PA | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The application included equipment and other funding requests that are prohibited uses of the TAG funding. The application is not recommended for award. |

FY 2018 TAG Applications Not Recommended for Awards

(As of 08-02-2018)

| | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 34 | CITY OF ATHENS Athens, Alabama Local Government (City) | LEAK CITY TRAINING FACILITY EXPANSION The City of Athens proposed the expansion of the Leak City Training Facility's training opportunities for local, State, and Federal pipeline operators, inspectors, and officials. This expansion would include the following: (1) purchasing leak-detection technology; (2) purchasing ground-penetrating-radar technology; and (3) constructing a hydrostatic testing area for hazardous gas and liquid pipeline integrity training. | AL | Unacceptable | \$ 100,000 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed training would focus on operator compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funding. The application is not recommended for award. |
| 35 | CITY OF HENDERSON Henderson, Kentucky Local Government (City) | EQUIPMENT FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE The City of Henderson proposed the purchase of leak-detection equipment that would be used to improve the efficiency and safety of leak surveyors and first responders. The equipment would ensure the safety of customers, operator personnel, emergency responders, and the general public. | KY | Unacceptable | \$ 57,025 | \$ - | The application is unacceptable, as it does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funds. The application is not recommended for award. |
| | | | | TOTAL | \$ 2,539,762 | \$ - | |

Attachment 1: FY 2019 Recommended TAG Application Awards

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Requested | Award | |
| 1 | County of | PIPELINE EMERGENCY | NY | Exceptional | \$57,410.00 | \$57,410.00 | The application is exceptional and aligns |
| | Rockland | TRAINING PROGRAM | | | | | well with the grant program and |
| | | The County of Rockland | | | | | evaluation criteria. The project scope |
| | | proposes a project to increase | | | | | aligns with criterion 2 (addressing |
| | | the knowledge, skills, and | | | | | specific pipeline safety risks), criterion 3 |
| | | abilities of first responders and | | | | | (fostering open communication), |
| | | emergency management | | | | | criterion 4 (improving pipeline |
| | | personnel within the county | | | | | emergency response), and criterion 7 |
| | | through a pipeline training | | | | | (providing potential for learning). The |
| | | program focused on | | | | • | application is recommended for full |
| | * | transmission and distribution | | | | | funding. |
| | | line pipeline failures. The | | | | | |
| | | training will be modeled after | | | | | |
| | | the Georgia Pipeline | | | | | |
| | | Emergency Response | | | | | |
| | | Initiative. | | | | | |
| 2 | Lake | NATIONAL PIPELINE | LA | Exceptional | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | The application is exceptional and aligns |
| | Pontchartrain | SAFETY PROGRAM FOR | | | | | well with the grant program and |
| | Basin | MARINE AND | | | | | evaluation criteria. The project scope |
| | Foundation | NAVIGABLE | | | | | aligns with criterion 3 (fostering open |
| 1 | | WATERWAYS | | | | | communication), criterion 4 (improving |
| | | The Lake Pontchartrain Basin | | | | | pipeline public awareness and damage |
| | | Foundation proposes to create | | | · | | prevention), and criterion 7 (providing |
| | | an online training module to | | · | , | | potential for learning). The application |
| | · · | educate workers in dredging | | | | | is recommended for full funding. |
| | | and construction aquatic | | | | | |
| | | environments on marine | | | | | |
| l | | damage prevention. | | | | | |
| | | Additionally, the applicant will | | | | | • |
| l | | partner with the Coastal and | | | | | |
| l | | Marine Operators organization | | | | | |
| | | to co-host the November 2019 | | | | | |
| | | Coastal and Marine Safety and | | | | | |
| | | Emergency Response | | | | | |
| | | Workshop that will provide | | | | | |
| | | educational opportunities and | | | | | |
| | | outreach to stakeholders to | | | | | |
| l | | advance marine pipeline safety. | | | | | |
| | | outreach to stakeholders to | | | | | |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission | PIPELINE SAFETY AWARENESS PROJECT The Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission proposes to implement a pipeline safety awareness project to address public safety, environmental issues, and pipeline infrastructure hazards in the | TX | Exceptional | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | The application is exceptional and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| | | Permian Basin. The Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission will engage emergency responders, local public officials, economic development professionals, and oil and gas organizations in the region by providing pipeline mapping information, 811 awareness, and disseminating information on public meetings hosted by the pipeline industry and the Texas Railroad Commission. The applicant will also host a pipeline industry meeting to educate local elected officials and will participate in public awareness projects for citizens with a focus on community awareness in rural communities. | | | | | Tunding, |
| | | Additionally, the applicant will participate in community events, promote mass media public announcements, distribute pipeline safety information to local communities, and enhance the pipeline safety information on their website. | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | . 3 | |
|-----|--------------|----------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation | |
| 110 | Applicant | 1 Toposai Summary | State | Rating | Requested | Award | Dasis for Recommendation | |
| 4 | Bear River | GEOGRAPHIC | UT | Acceptable | \$98,900.00 | \$98,900.00 | The application is acceptable and aligns | |
| | Water | INFORMATION SYSTEM | | - | | | with the grant program and evaluation | |
| | Conservatory | (GIS) MAPPING AND | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with | |
| | District | PIPELINE RISK | | | | | criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline | |
| | | ASSESSMENT | | | | | safety risks), criterion 3 (fostering open | |
| | | The Bear River Water | | | | ļ. | communication), criterion 4 (improving | |
| | | Conservatory District proposes | | | | | public awareness and pipeline | |
| | | to complete a GIS-driven risk | | | | | emergency response), and criterion 7 | |
| | | assessment and develop an | | | | | (providing potential for technology | |
| | | asset management system to | | | | | transfer). The application is | i |
| | | better understand the risks | | | | | recommended for full funding. | ı |
| | | associated with the hazardous | | | | | · | 1 |
| | | liquid pipelines within the | | | | | | |
| | | District and how to educate | l . | | | | | i |
| | | themselves and the public to | | | | | | į |
| | | enhance public safety. The | | | | | | |
| | | applicant will prepare | | | | | | |
| | | evacuation zone and hazardous | | | | | | |
| | | liquid pipeline evaluation | | | | · | | |
| | | maps, which includes | | | | | | |
| | | evacuation routes and | | | | | | |
| | | evacuation zones to enhance | | | | | | |
| | | pipeline emergency response | | | | | | |
| | | capabilities. The applicant will | | | | | | |
| | | also build a GPS database to | | | | | | |
| | | map the hazardous liquid | | | | | | |
| | | pipelines to improve | | | | | | |
| | | emergency response | | | , | | | |
| | | capabilities and will also | | | | | | |
| | | conduct a public outreach | | | | | | |
| | | campaign to promote the Call | | | | | | |
| | | 811 effort. | | | | | | |

| Basis for Recommendation | Recommended Award | Funds Requested | Rating | State | Proposal Summary | Applicant | No |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----|
| The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), criterion 3 (fostering open | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | Acceptable | KY | PIPELINE SECURITY FOR RURAL COMMUNITIES TRAINING The Center for Rural Development proposes to | Center for Rural Development | 5 |
| communication), and criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). The application is recommended for full funding. | | | · | | provide "Pipeline Security for Rural Communities" training to 12 communities. The 8-hour, awareness-level, instructor-led course will be designed to | | |
| | | | | | bring together rural pipeline stakeholders, including: public safety; oil and gas pipeline representatives (large and small); local emergency | | |
| | | | | | planners; federal, state, and local agencies; and other community stakeholders to recognize pipeline security threats and identify mitigation | | |
| · | | | | | strategies within their jurisdictions to ensure the rural pipeline sector is secure and resilient. The course will focus on pipeline safety and will promote the national pipeline | | |
| | | | | | mapping system. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | en e | | • | |
|----|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | | | • | | | | 5 |
| | | | | | | | |
| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <u> </u> | | Requested | Award | |
| 6 | City of | LEAK DETECTION | CO | Acceptable | \$54,436.80 | \$54,436.80 | The application is acceptable and aligns |
| | Aurora | EQUIPMENT | ' | | • | | with the grant program and evaluation |
| | | The City of Aurora proposes to | . ! | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with |
| | | purchase four multi-gas | | | | | criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline |
| | | monitors and ancillary | | | | | safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving |
| | | equipment for the Aurora Fire | | | | | pipeline emergency response). The |
| | | Rescue HazMat Team to | | | | | application is recommended for full |
| | * | enhance emergency response to | | | | | funding. |
| | | pipeline emergencies. The | . | | | | |
| | | equipment will increase the | | | | | |
| | | speed and capability in life | | - | | | |
| | | safety and will reduce the | | | | • - | |
| | | environmental impact of | | | | | |
| | | pipeline incidents by replacing | | · [| | | |
| | | outdated multi-gas detectors. | | | | | |
| | | The project will enhance | 1 | | | | |
| | | pipeline safety by increasing | | . [| * | | |
| | | response capabilities and | 1. 1 | | | | |
| | | decreasing the potential | | | • | | |
| | | environmental impacts on two | | | | | |
| | | reservoirs classified as | | | | | |
| | | unusually sensitive | | | | | |
| | | environmental areas used for | | 1 | | | |
| | | drinking water. | 1 ! | · [| | | |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|-----------|----------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Requested | Award | |
| 7 | City of | EQUIPMENT FOR | CO | Acceptable | \$88,902.00 | \$88,902.00 | The application is acceptable and aligns |
| | Greeley | EMERGENCY RESPONSE | | • | | | with the grant program and evaluation |
| | | The City of Greeley proposes | * | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with |
| | | to purchase rapid area air | | | | | criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline |
| | | monitoring equipment for | | | | · | safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving |
| | | pipeline and chemical | | | | | pipeline emergency response). The |
| | | incidents. The project will | * | | | • | application is recommended for full |
| | | improve the local pipeline | | | | | funding. |
| | | emergency response | | | | | |
| | | capabilities of the Greeley Fire | | 4 | | | |
| | | Department Regional | | | | | |
| | | Hazardous Materials Response | | | | | |
| | | Team and will help support a | | | | | |
| | | track record of zero major | | | | | |
| | | pipeline accidents in Northern | | | | | |
| | | Colorado. The equipment | | | | | |
| | | would provide HazMat | | | | | |
| | | technicians with the capability | | | | | |
| | | to remotely monitor dangerous | | | | | |
| | | chemicals in the air within a | | | | | |
| | | four-mile radius of a pipeline | | | | | |
| | | incident and will enhance | | | | | |
| | | safety of the response | | , | | | |
| | | personnel and the surrounding | | | | | · |
| | | community. | | | | | |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 110 | rippiiouni | | | | Requested | Award | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 8 | City of Henderson | LEAK DETECTION EQUIPMENT The City of Henderson proposes to purchase four remote methane leak detectors and one methane detector for leak surveys and first response in natural gas and emergency situations. The Henderson Municipal Gas and Henderson Fire Department seeks to enhance emergency response and address pipeline safety risks associated with natural gas leaks on mains and service lines. | KY | Acceptable | \$57,025.00 | \$57,025.00 | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The application is recommended for full funding. |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|-----------|---------------------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 9 | City of | GIS MAPPING AND | UT | Acceptable | \$98,900.00 | \$98,900.00 | The application is acceptable and aligns |
| | Mount | PIPELINE RISK | | | | | with the grant program and evaluation |
| | Pleasant | ASSESSMENT | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with |
| | | The City of Mount Pleasant | | | | | criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline |
| | | proposes to complete a GIS- | | | | | safety risks), criterion 3 (foster open |
| | | driven risk assessment and | | | | | communications), and criterion 4 |
| | | develop an asset management | | | | | (improving public awareness, damage |
| | | system to better understand the | | | | | prevention, pipeline emergency |
| | | risks associated with the | | | | | response). Mapping water and sewer |
| 1 | | hazardous pipelines in the City | | | | | lines in addition to the hazardous |
| | | and how to educate themselves | | | | | material pipelines may improve pipeline |
| | | and the public. The City of | | | | | safety and addresses cross boring |
| | , | Mount Pleasant will prepare | | | | | challenges. The application is |
| | | evacuation zone and hazardous | | | | | recommended for full funding. |
| | | material pipeline evaluation | | | | | |
| | | map, which includes | | | | | · |
| | | evacuation routes and | | | | | · |
| | | evacuation zones to enhance | | | | | |
| | | pipeline operations level | | | | | |
| | | emergency response | | | | | |
| | | capabilities. The City of Mount | | | | | |
| | | Pleasant will also build a GPS | | | | | · |
| | | database to map the hazardous | | | | | |
| | | liquid pipelines to improve | | | | | |
| | | emergency response | | | | | |
| | | capabilities and will also | | | | | |
| | | conduct a public outreach | | | | | |
| | | campaign to promote the risk | | | | | |
| | | assessment. | | | | | , and the second |

| | | | | | | | 9 |
|----|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
| 10 | County of Northampton | EQUIPMENT FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE The County of Northampton proposes to purchase 1,320 gallons of Universal Gold Foam concentrate that would be used to respond to any large-scale pipeline or flammable liquid incidents in the County of Northampton and all contiguous counties. The applicant also plans to purchase a multi-gas detector and large structure ventilator to enhance and strengthen emergency response capabilities. The equipment and supplies will enhance response capabilities and will reduce response times to mitigate environmental damage that an extended response time would amplify. | PA | Acceptable | \$78,000.00 | \$78,000.00 | The application is acceptable and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving pipeline emergency response). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | · | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|------------|----------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|
| | 1. | | | | Requested | Award | |
| 11 | Home | LEAK DETECTION STUDY | MA | Acceptable | \$92,020.50 | \$92,020.50 | The application is acceptable and aligns |
| | Energy | HEET proposes to conduct a | | | | | with the grant program and evaluation |
| | Efficiency | leak detection study to better | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns well |
| | Team | understand new volume based | | | | | with criterion 3 (fostering open |
| | (HEET) | on leak grade classifications in | | | | | communication), criterion 4 (improving |
| | - | the Commonwealth of | | | | | pipeline public awareness, public |
| | | Massachusetts and to provide | | | | | education, and emergency response), |
| | | pipeline safety education and | | | | | and criterion 7 (providing potential for |
| | | community awareness to the | | | | | learning and technology transfer). The |
| | | public, first responders, and | | | | | application is recommended for full |
| | | municipalities about gas leak | | | | | funding. |
| | | classifications and their repair | | | | | |
| | | prioritization. The project aims | | | | | |
| | | to help the public better | | | ^ | | |
| | | understand how the gas | | | | | |
| | | companies are managing leaks | | | | | |
| | | and targeting risk, as well as | • | | | | |
| | | demonstrating their | | | | | |
| | | commitment to transparency | | | | | |
| | | and improvement. | | | | | |
| | | Additionally, the findings of | | | | | |
| | | the study will provide gas | | | ٠. | | |
| | | companies with independent | | | | | |
| | | leak survey data to optimize | | | | | |
| | | safety on their distribution | | | | | |
| L | L | systems. | | | L | | |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|--------------|----------------------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 12 | Pipeline | OUTREACH AND | WA | Acceptable | \$30,468.34 | \$30,468.34 | The application is acceptable and aligns |
| | Safety Trust | EDUCATION PROJECT | | 1 | , , , | | with the grant program and evaluation |
| 1. | (PST) | The PST proposes to provide | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with |
| | | outreach and pipeline safety | 1 - | | | | criterion 3 (fostering open |
| 1 | | education to Washington state | ľ | | | | communication), criterion 4 (improving |
| | | local governments. The | | | | | pipeline public awareness and public |
| | | applicant will develop and | | | | | education), and criterion 7 (providing |
| | | deliver tailored pipeline safety | | | • | | potential for learning). The application is |
| | | presentations to 15 local | | , | · v | | recommended for full funding. |
| l | | government legislative | | | | | |
| | | councils, planning | | | | | |
| | | commissions, and local | | | | | |
| | | emergency planning | | | | | |
| | . • | committees where there are | | | | | |
| | | hazardous liquid and natural | | | · . | | |
| 1 | | gas pipelines in their | 1 | | | | |
| | | jurisdiction. Through surveys | | | | | · |
| | | conducted in 2017 of local | | | | | |
| | | governments in Washington | | | | | |
| | | with pipelines, PST received | | | | | |
| | | requests from about 30 | | | | | |
| | | jurisdictions to receive more | | | | | |
| | | information on basic pipeline | | | | | |
| | | safety. | | | | | |
| 13 | Southern | LEAK DETECTION | FL | Acceptable | \$86,583.47 | \$45,499.47 | The application is acceptable and aligns |
| | Manatee Fire | EQUIPMENT AND PUBLIC | | _ | | | with the grant program and evaluation |
| | and Rescue | AWARENESS | : | | | * . | criteria. The project scope aligns with |
| | | The Southern Manatee Fire and | | | • | | criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline |
| | | Rescue proposes to purchase | | | | | safety risks) and criterion 4 (improving |
| | | leak detection equipment and | | | | | pipeline emergency response and public |
| | | supplies to enhance pipeline | | · | | | awareness). The cost breakdown for |
| | | emergency response operation; | | | | | equipment (\$82,168.01) contained costs |
| | | and disseminate education | | · | | | that were not directly related to |
| | | materials. | | | | | equipment needed for pipeline safety |
| | | | | | • | | purposes. A 50 percent reduction |
| | | | | | | | (\$41,084) in equipment cost is |
| | | | | | • | | recommended. The application is |
| | | | | | | | recommended for partial funding. |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 14 | West Central | PIPELINE SAFETY | TX | Acceptable | \$72,711.95 | \$72,711.95 | The application is acceptable and aligns |
| 17 | Texas | PUBLIC AWARENESS | 121 | ricceptable | Ψ/2,/11.93 | Ψ/2,/11.55 | with the grant program and evaluation |
| | County of | PROGRAM | | | ٠. | | criteria. The project scope aligns with |
| | Governments | The WCTCOG will advance | - | | | • | criterion 3 (fostering open |
| | (WCTCOG) | pipeline safety by sponsoring | | | | | communication), criterion 4 (improving |
| | ("01000) | radio and television public | | | | | pipeline public awareness and damage |
| | | service announcements; | | | | * + | prevention), and criterion 7 (providing |
| , | | disseminating outreach, | , | | | | potential for learning). The application |
| | | education, and awareness | | | | | is recommended for full funding. |
| | | materials through public events | | | | | is recommended for full funding. |
| | | and at area schools; and | | | | | |
| | | engaging in the Region's four | | | | | |
| | | damage prevention councils as | | | | | |
| | | well as other local activities to | | | | • | |
| | | promote pipeline safety | | | | | |
| | | awareness and damage | | | | | · |
| | | prevention. The applicant | | | | | |
| | | proposes to conduct pipeline | | | | | |
| | | safety outreach and education | | | | | |
| | | throughout 19 counties and 62 | | | | | <u>;</u> : |
| | | communities in the West | | | | | |
| | | Central Texas region. The | | | . * | | |
| | | project will increase public | | | | | |
| | | awareness of pipeline | | | | | |
| | | infrastructure and the | | | | | |
| | | associated hazards of living | | | | | |
| | | near pipelines. The applicant | | | | | |
| | | will promote the Call Before | | | | | |
| | | You Dig 811 initiative and | | | | · | |
| | | One-Call procedures for the | | | | | |
| | | public, construction and | | | | | |
| | | building contractors, | | | | | · |
| | | agriculture workers, public | | · | | | |
| | | safety and emergency | | - | | | |
| | | personnel, elected public | | | | | |
| | | officials, excavators, and | | , | | | |
| | | students throughout the | | · | | | |
| | | Region. | | | | | |
| | | TOSIOII. | | mom + t | 61 115 250 05 | Ø1 074 074 06 | |
| | | | | TOTAL | \$1,115,358.06 | \$1,074,274.06 | |

Attachment 2: FY 2019 Applications Not Recommended for Awards

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|-----------|--------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | , | | Award | |
| 1 | County of | FIREFIGHTING | KS | Marginal | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 | The application is marginal. The |
| | Anderson | UTILITY VEHICLE | | | | | application scope marginally aligns |
| | | PROJECT FOR | | | | | with the grant program and |
| | | EMERGENCY | | | | | evaluation criteria. The proposed |
| | | RESPONSE | | | | | project focuses on all emergency |
| | | The County of Anderson | | | | | response operations and do not |
| | | proposes to purchase a utility | | | | | directly focus on pipeline safety. |
| | | vehicle to enhance | | | | | While the utility vehicle may be |
| | | emergency response. The | | | | | used during a pipeline incident, the |
| | | vehicle will allow emergency | | | | | applicant indicated that the vehicle |
| | | responders to reach and | | | · | | would be used for other purposes |
| | | respond to areas that are not | | | | | unrelated to pipeline safety. The |
| | | easily accessible by larger | | | | | application is not recommended for |
| 1 | | fire apparatus during a | | | | | funding. |
| | | pipeline release or incident. | | | | | _ |
| 2 | County of | LEAK DETECTION | PA | Marginal | \$70,682.95 | \$0.00 | The application is marginal. The |
| _ | Bucks | EQUIPMENT | | | | , | application scope marginally aligns |
| | , | The County of Bucks | | | | | with the grant program and |
| | | proposes to purchase leak | | | | | evaluation criteria. The proposed |
| | | detection equipment for the | | | | | project focuses on all emergency |
| | | County HazMat Team, which | | | | | response operations and does not |
| Í | | will help the team to better | | | | | directly focus pipeline safety. Also, |
| | | respond to incidents | | | | | the proposal did not clearly specify |
| | | involving pipelines. The | | | | | the cost breakdown for the |
| ŀ | | equipment will provide the | | | | | equipment and lacked measurable |
| | | team with real time weather | | | , | | results and outcomes. The |
| | | and actionable data during an | | | | | performance measures and outcomes |
| | | incident. The data will allow | | | | | were not clearly identified. The |
| | | the team to better track | | | | | application is not recommended for |
| 1 | · | plume and provide protective | | | | | funding. |
| | | action recommendations to | | | | | |
| | | the local incident commander | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | during an incident. | | | | | |
| | | during an incident. | l | L | | L | |

Attachment 2: FY 2019 TAG Applications Not Recommended for Award

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | Pipeline Safety Coalition | PIPELINE SAFETY AWARENESS PROJECT The Pipeline Safety Coalition | PA | Marginal | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 | The application is marginal. The application scope marginally aligns with the grant program and |
| | | proposes to improve understanding of past and current risk communication practices by implementing a | | | | | evaluation criteria. The project goal and objective was not clearly defined and the proposal lacked measurable results and outcomes. |
| | | pipeline safety awareness project and public risk communication guide, | | | | | The project scope focused on four pipeline incidents that did not objectively focus on improving |
| | | "Bridging the Divides in Pipeline Safety Communications and Public | | | | | pipeline safety. There was also a lack of clarity of how the project would improve risk communication. |
| | | Trust: Development and Adoption of a Multi- Stakeholder Guide to Risk | : | | | | The application is not recommended for funding. |
| | | Communities." The project will improve understanding of pipeline safety risk | | | | | |
| | | communication practices among the pipeline industry, | ÷ | | | | |
| | | governments, and emergency management stakeholders. The Pipeline Safety | | | | | |
| | - | Coalition, will develop a template for shared multistakeholder efforts, and | | | | | |
| | | outline cultural norms around pipeline safety and emergency preparedness | | | | | |
| | | response and recovery. | | | | | |

Attachment 2: FY 2019 TAG Applications Not Recommended for Award

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 | Save Lafayette Trees | PIPELINE SAFETY RISK ASSESSMENT AND EDUCATION PROJECT Save Lafayette Trees proposes to collect and evaluate data on aging pipeline infrastructure and integrity management operations in Lafayette, California. This data would serve as the basis of a report that would be used to engage and initiate dialogue between stakeholders, thereby facilitating collaborative efforts to enhance pipeline safety and awareness. | CA | Marginal | \$33,965.00 | \$0.00 | The application is marginal. The application scope marginally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. To fully conduct the proposed risk assessment, the applicant would likely need commitment from the pipeline operator mentioned in the application. However, the proposal was not well defined and did not clearly specify that the pipeline operator would be willing to support the risk assessment. The funding requested appears inadequate to complete the project scope outlined in the proposal. (https://www.savelafayettetrees.org). The application is not recommended for funding. |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|-----------|-------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 5 | MISS Dig | 811 TICKET DESIGN | MI | Marginal | \$98,166.72 | \$0.00 | The application is marginal. The |
| | System | SYSTEM UPGRADE AND | | | | * | application scope marginally aligns |
| | | EMERGENCY | | | | | with the grant program and |
| | , | RESPONSE OUTREACH | | | | | evaluation criteria. The proposed |
| | | MISS Dig System proposes | | | | | project focuses on all underground |
| | | to implement the Geo-Spatial | | | | | utility damage prevention and does |
| | , | Utility Infrastructure Data | | | | | not directly focus on pipeline safety. |
| | | Exchange (GUIDE) into their | | | | | The application is not recommended |
| | | design ticket phase to | , | | | | for funding. |
| | | provide stakeholders with | | | | | |
| | | better knowledge of where | | | | | |
| | | underground utilities are | | 5 | | | |
| | | located to better protect the | | | | | |
| | | public and the environment. | | | | | |
| | | The data would provide | | | | | |
| ŀ | | communities and first | | | | | |
| | | responders with a more exact | | | | | |
| | | location of high risk gas and | | | | | |
| | | petroleum pipelines in their | | | | | |
| 1 | | communities by utilizing the | | | | | |
| | | 811 System. The applicant | | | | | |
| | | will also purchase a virtual | | | | | · |
| | • | reality system and will | | | | , | |
| | | develop a program to help | | | · | | |
| | | train first responders on how | | | | | |
| | | to handle an emergency | | | | | |
| | | event where gas or a | | | | | · |
| | | petroleum pipeline is | | , | | | |
| | | damaged. | | | | | |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|--------------|-----------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| | CI II D' | *************************************** | 774 | 77 / 11 | M21 106 20 | Award | The section is seen as 4-1.1 and |
| 6 | Chatham Fire | UNMANNED AERIAL | VA | Unacceptable | \$31,196.29 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and |
| | Department | VEHICLE PROJECT | | | | | does not align with the grant |
| | | (DRONE) FOR | | | | | program or evaluation criteria. The |
| | | EMERGENCY | | | | | proposed project would focus on all |
| | | RESPONSE | : | | | | emergency response operations and |
| | | The Chatham Fire | • | | | • | does not directly focus on pipeline |
| | | Department proposes to | | | | | safety. The application is not |
| | | purchase a high quality | | | • , | | recommended for funding. |
| | | unmanned aerial vehicle | | | | | |
| | | (UAV), commonly known as | | | | | |
| | | a drone. The UAV would be | | | | | |
| | | equipped with high | | | | , | |
| | | resolution cameras that are | | | | | |
| | | designed to transmit video | | • | | | |
| | | back to the controller or base | | | | | |
| | | station. The UAV will be | | | | | |
| | | used for emergency response | | | , | | |
| | | operations and to survey | | | | | |
| | | pipelines for possible locate | | | | | |
| | | hazards that may develop | | | | | |
| | | over time. | | | • | | |
| 7 | City of | GIS MAPPING AND | KY | Unacceptable | \$45,000.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and |
| | Augusta | MOBILE COMPLIANCE | | | | | does not align with the grant |
| | | SYSTEM | | | | | program or evaluation criteria. The |
| | | The City of Augusta | | | | | proposed project focuses on |
| | | proposes to develop and | | | | | operations and maintenance |
| | | implement a GIS mapping | | | , | | compliance activities, which are |
| | | system and mobile | | | | | prohibited uses of TAG funding. |
| | | compliance system through | | | | | The application is not recommended |
| | | the purchase of GPS units, | | | , | | for funding. |
| | | mobile compliance software, | | | , | | |
| | | and applications specific to | | | * | | |
| | | its natural gas operations and | | | | | |
| | , | maintenance activities. | | | | | |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 8 | City of Grove | GIS MAPPING AND EQUIPMENT The City of Grove proposes to develop and implement a GIS mapping system of all features of a natural gas | OK | Unacceptable | \$38,615.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The applicant's proposal lacked clear objectives and did not address the grant program requirements. The |
| - | | transmission pipeline and high pressure distribution pipeline going to the | | · . | | - | application is not recommended for funding. |
| | | wholesale customer meter. The digital maps will assist employees with locating | | | | | |
| | | valves during emergencies, will be used to train employees, and will be used to create maps with the | | | | | |
| | | buffer zone of its potential impact radius for local agencies and the public. The | | | | | |
| | | City plans to purchase pipeline markers as well to provide public awareness of its pipeline facilities. | · | | | | |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----|------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| | O:4 C | CICAKA DDING I DAIZ | 77.37 | TT | ΦC1 250 00 | Award | The |
| 9 | City of | GIS MAPPING, LEAK | KY | Unacceptable | \$61,250.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable, as it |
| 1 | Guthrie | DETECTION FOLLOWENT AND | | | | | does not align with the grant program requirements. The |
| | | EQUIPMENT, AND PUBLIC AWARENESS | | | | | proposed project focuses on |
| | | The City of Guthrie proposes | | | | | operations and maintenance |
| | | to develop and implement a | | | | | compliance activities, which are |
| | | GIS mapping system of the | | | | | prohibited uses of TAG funding. |
| | • | Guthrie Natural Gas System | | | | • | The application is not recommended |
| | | and enhance leak detection | | * | | | for funding. |
| | | capabilities and operational | | | ÷ | | Tor runding. |
| | | response by purchasing a | | | | | |
| | ٠ | remote methane leak | | | | | , |
| | | detector, a GFC hydraulic | | | | • | ' · |
| | | squeeze tool, and bulwark | | | | | |
| | | coveralls. The City plans to | | | | | |
| | - | educate its customers, public, | | | | | |
| | | excavators, emergency | | | | 4 | |
| 1 | • | response agencies, and public | | | | | |
| | | officials through its required | | | | | |
| | | public awareness campaign. | | | · | | |
| 10 | City of | GIS MAPPING AND | KS | Unacceptable | \$45,000.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and |
| 10. | Hardtner | MOBILE COMPLIANCE | | Chaocophaoic | \$ 13,000.00 | Ψ0.00 | does not align with the grant |
| | 1202 00020 | SYSTEM | | | | | program or evaluation criteria. The |
| | | The City of Hardtner | | | | | proposed project focuses on |
| | | proposes to develop and | | | | | operations and maintenance |
| | • | implement a GIS mapping | | | | | compliance activities, which are |
| | | system and mobile | | | | ž. | prohibited uses of TAG funding. |
| | | compliance system through | | | - | | The application is not recommended |
| - | | the purchase of GPS units, | | | | | for funding. |
| 1 | | mobile compliance software | | | | | |
| | • | and applications specific to | | | | | · |
| | | its natural gas operations and | | | | • | |
| 1 | | maintenance activities. | | | | | |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11 | City of Morehead | LEAK DETECTION EQUIPMENT AND PUBLIC AWARENESS The City of Morehead proposes to purchase a remote methane leak detector and an optical methane detector to perform leak | KY | Unacceptable | \$65,807.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project focuses on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funding. The application is not recommended |
| | | surveys of the Morehead Utility Plan Board. The City will conduct regular surveys of industrial, residential, and commercial customers and plans to educate its customers, public, excavators, emergency response agencies, and public officials through its required public awareness campaign. | | | | | for funding. |
| 12 | City of Partridge | GIS MAPPING AND MOBILE COMPLIANCE SYSTEM The City of Partridge proposes to develop and implement a GIS mapping system and mobile compliance system through the purchase of GPS units, mobile compliance software and applications specific to its natural gas operations and maintenance activities. | KS | Unacceptable | \$45,000.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project focused on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funding. The application is not recommended for funding. |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 13 | City of Tompkinsville | LEAK DETECTION EQUIPMENT AND PUBLIC AWARENESS The City of Tompkinsville proposes to purchase leak detection equipment and supplies for the Tompkinsville Gas Department to more quickly and accurately locate underground gas features and gas leaks; identify the locations of gas utilities; and, educate stakeholders on the Tompkinsville Gas System through a public awareness campaign. | KY | Unacceptable | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funding. The application is not recommended for funding. |
| 14 | City of Willcox | LEAK DETECTION EQUIPMENT The City of Willcox proposes to purchase leak detection equipment, including two flame packs, three RKI Eagle gas detectors, and one DP-IR infrared gas detector to help the City detect underground and above ground natural gas leaks. The City will educate the community about the equipment and the importance of calling 811. | AZ | Unacceptable | \$44,741.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The proposed project would focus on operations and maintenance compliance activities, which are prohibited uses of TAG funding. The application is not recommended for funding. |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 15 | County of Pittsylvania | FIREFIGHTING UTILITY VEHICLE FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE The County of Pittsylvania proposes to purchase a hazardous materials response trailer, mass casualty trailer, and evacuation trailer for hazardous material response operations. | VA | Unacceptable | \$52,684.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The applicant's proposal lacked clear objectives and did not address the grant program requirements. The application is not recommended for funding. |
| 16 | County of Will | UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE (DRONE) AND AIR MONITORING EQUIPMENT FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE The County of Will proposes to purchase an unmanned aerial vehicle (drone) and ground-based air monitoring equipment to conduct surveillance and monitoring of an actual or suspected pipeline release in order to protect the surrounding public and the environment. | IL | Unacceptable | \$66,250.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and does not align with the grant program or evaluation criteria. The applicant's proposal lacked clear objectives and did not address the grant program requirements. The application is not recommended for funding. |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|--------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Award | |
| 17 | Pennsylvania | WEBSITE UPDATE | PA | Unacceptable | \$68,000.00 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and |
| | One Call | Pennsylvania One Call | | | | | does not align with the grant |
| | System | proposes to update its | | | | | program or evaluation criteria. The |
| | | website to drive increased | * | | | | proposed project focuses on |
| | | notification to the One Call | | | | | underground utility damage |
| | | Center for locate requests, | | | | | prevention and does not directly |
| | | enhance training among | | | | | focus on pipeline safety. The |
| | | stakeholders, and provide | | | · | | proposed website upgrade benefits |
| | | pipeline damage prevention | | | • | | underground utility safety and does |
| | | information in a user-friendly | | | | • | not provide a clear focus on pipeline |
| | | manner. | | | | | safety. Additionally, the applicant |
| | | | | | | | did not address criterion 7 |
| | | | | | | | (providing potential for learning or |
| | | | | | | | technology transfer). The |
| | | | | | | | application is not recommended for |
| | | · | - | | · . | | funding. |

| No | Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | Funds Requested | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----|---------------|------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Award | |
| 18 | Underground | CENTER FOR DAMAGE | NY | Unacceptable | \$. 100,000 | \$0.00 | The application is unacceptable and |
| | Facilities | PREVENTION | | | | | does not align with the grant |
| | Protective | AUDIO/VISUAL | | | | | program or evaluation criteria. The |
| | Organizations | INVESTMENT FOR | | | | | proposed project focuses on general |
| | (Dig Safely | EDUCATION | | | | | underground utility damage |
| | New York) | Dig Safely New York | | | | | prevention and does not directly |
| | | proposes to purchase and | | | | | focus on pipeline safety or damage |
| | | install audio/visual | | | | | prevention. The application is not |
| İ | | equipment to furnish its | | | | | recommended for funding. |
| | | recording studio at its new | | , | · | | |
| | | Center for Damage | | | | | |
| | - | Prevention indoor training | | | | | |
| | | facility. The equipment will | | | | | |
| | | expand damage prevention | | | | | |
| | | educational outreach and | | | | | |
| | , | research and testing. The | | | | | |
| · | | project will enhance | | | | ' | |
| | | education to eliminate | | | | | |
| | | preventable excavation | | | | | |
| | | damages to pipelines through | | | | | |
| | | improved training methods, | | | | | |
| | | research and development, | | | | | |
| | | and strengthened | | | | | |
| | | partnerships. | | | | | |
| | | | | TOTAL | \$1,096,357.96 | \$0.00 | |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | | | | Opportunity Zone | Requested | Award | |
| Center for | PIPELINE SECURITY FOR | KY | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application aligns with the grant |
| Rural | RURAL COMMUNITIES | | | OZ: Y | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| Development, | TRAINING | | | | | | project scope aligns with criterion 2 |
| Inc. | The Center for Rural Development | | | The applicant states | | | (addressing specific pipeline safety |
| | proposes to provide "Pipeline | | | that the proposed | | | risks), criterion 3 (fostering open |
| | Security for Rural Communities" | | | training will be | | | communication), and criterion 7 |
| | training to 12 communities. The 8- | | | conducted in rural | | | (providing potential for learning). The |
| | hour, awareness-level, instructor- | | | communities and | | | application is recommended for full |
| | led course is designed to bring | | | priority consideration | | | funding. |
| | together rural pipeline security | | | will be given to | | | |
| | stakeholders, including public | | | requests originating | | | |
| | safety, oil and gas pipeline | | | from Qualified | | | |
| | representatives (large and small); | | | Opportunity Zones. | | | |
| | local emergency planners; federal, | | | | | | |
| | state, and local agencies; and, other | | | | | | |
| | community stakeholders. During | | | | | | |
| | the training, participants will learn | | | | | | |
| | to recognize pipeline security | | | | | | |
| | threats and identify mitigation | | | | | | |
| | strategies within their jurisdictions | | | | | | |
| | to ensure the rural pipeline sector | | | | | | |
| | is secure and resilient. The course | | | | | | |
| | also features pipeline safety topics | | | | | | |
| | and an overview of the National | | | | | | |
| | Pipeline Mapping System | | | | | | |
| | (NPMS). | | | | | | |

| City of | GEOGRAPHIC | WA | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$99,540 | \$99,540 | The application aligns with the grant |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------------------|
| College Place | INFORMATION SYSTEM | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| | (GIS) MAPPING AND | | | | | | project scope aligns with criterion 2 |
| | PIPELINE RISK | | | College Place, | | | (addressing specific pipeline safety |
| | ASSESSMENT | | | Washington, is a rural | | | risks), criterion 3 (fostering open |
| | The City of College Place proposes | | | area per U.S. Census | | | communication), criterion 4 (improving |
| | to complete a GIS-driven risk | | | Bureau data. The | | | public awareness and pipeline |
| | assessment and develop an asset | | | applicant is not | | | emergency response), and criterion 7 |
| | management system to better | | | located in a Qualified | | | (providing potential for technology |
| | understand the risks associated | | | Opportunity Zone | | | transfer). The application is |
| | with the natural gas transmission | | | and does not seek or | | | recommended for full funding. |
| | pipeline within the City operated | | | propose Opportunity | | | |
| | by Williams Northwest Pipeline | | | Zone funding in its | | | |
| | and to determine how best to | | | proposal. | | | |
| | educate themselves and the public | | | | | | |
| | to enhance public safety. The | | | | | | |
| | applicant will prepare an | | | | | | |
| | emergency evacuation zone and | | | | | | |
| | hazardous pipeline evacuation area | | | | | | |
| | map. The applicant will also | | | | | | |
| | electronically map the pipelines to | | | | | | |
| | improve emergency response | | | | | | |
| | capabilities and will conduct a | | | | | | |
| | public outreach campaign to | | | | | | |
| | promote the risk assessment. | | | | | | |

| Dama | age | PIPELINE PROTECTION E- | TX | Recommended | ROUTES: N | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application aligns with the grant |
|-------|--------|----------------------------------------|----|-------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------------------|
| Preve | ention | LEARNING PROJECT | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| Coun | cil of | The Damage Prevention Council of | | | | | | project scope aligns with criterion 2 |
| Texas | S | Texas proposes to develop videos | | | The applicant is not | | | (addressing specific pipeline safety |
| | | and modules on a new e-learning | | | located in a rural | | | risks), criterion 3 (fostering open |
| | | platform that will provide industry | | | area or Qualified | | | communication), criterion 4 (improving |
| | | leaders and stakeholders access to an | | | Opportunity Zone. | | | public awareness and damage |
| | | online library of training videos, | | | The applicant does | | | prevention), and criterion 7 (providing |
| | | resources, and electronic material on | | | not seek or propose | | | potential for learning). The application |
| | | mitigating and preventing pipeline | | | any ROUTES or | | | is recommended for full funding. |
| | | incidents and accidents. The training | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | | materials will also provide resources | | | funding in its | | | |
| | | to stakeholders on pipelines in highly | | | proposal. | | | |
| | | sensitive environmental areas. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Lake Pontchart- rain Basin Foundation | PIPELINE SAFETY PROGRAM FOR MARINE AND NAVIGABLE WATERWAYS The Lake Pontchartrain Basin Foundation proposes to increase | LA | Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposed | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), criterion 3 (fostering open |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | pipeline safety on marine and navigable waterways in Southeast Louisiana by: (1) engaging and educating stakeholders working in on-the-river commerce on pipelines in waterways; (2) partnering with | | | outreach and engagement efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | communication), criterion 4 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and criterion 7 (providing for learning). The application is recommended for full funding. |
| | pipeline companies to identify the emergency contacts for their river-crossing locations and working with these companies to update their annual status reports for the NOAA- | | | | | | |
| | Nautical Data Branch; (3) producing a 10-page river corridor booklet with NPMS maps and pipeline emergency contacts; (4) offering three prototypes of signs with electronic signals for stakeholders to test near | | | | | | |
| | pipelines; and (5) providing a spreadsheet of NPMS mapping data and contact information to the Mississippi River Transportation Information Service for upload into a | | | | | | |
| | mobile app used by river pilots during navigation. | | | | | | |

| Permian | PIPELINE HAZARDS | TX | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application aligns with the grant |
|------------|-----------------------------------------|----|-------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------|
| Basin | AWARENESS PROJECT | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| Regional | The Permian Basin Regional | | | | | | project scope aligns with criterion 3 |
| Planning | Planning Commission proposes to | | | The applicant states | | | (fostering open communication), |
| Commission | implement a pipeline hazards | | | that its proposed | | | criterion 4 (improving public awareness |
| | awareness project and will engage | | | outreach and | | | and damage prevention), and criterion 7 |
| | with local public officials and | | | engagement efforts | | | (providing potential for learning). The |
| | administrators who establish and | | | will be conducted in | | | application is recommended for full |
| | enforce safety codes in each county. | | | rural communities. | | | funding. |
| | The Commission will also partner | | | The applicant does | | | _ |
| | with economic development | | | not seek or propose | | | |
| | professionals who interact with the | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | business and residential construction | | | funding in its | | | |
| | sector, as well as collaborate with oil | | | proposal. | | | |
| | and gas organizations on pipeline | | | | | | |
| | hazards throughout the Region. | | | | | | |
| | Emphasis will be placed on ensuring | | | | | | |
| | training for call centers and first | | | | | | |
| | responders and on maintaining and | | | | | | |
| | coordinating the Region's | | | | | | |
| | information base of pipeline safety | | | | | | |
| | resources. Emphasis will also be | | | | | | |
| | given to promoting public awareness | | | | | | |
| | aimed at citizens, including special | | | | | | |
| | target groups such as students and | | | | | | |
| | new workforce entrants currently | | | | | | |
| | enrolled in vocational and technical | | | | | | |
| | training programs, the agriculture | | | | | | |
| | community, non-English speaking | | | | | | |
| | populations, and new residents | | | | | | |
| | moving to the region who are not | | | | | | |
| | familiar with pipeline infrastructure. | | | | | | |

| Pipeline | PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT | WA | Recommended | ROUTES: N | \$99,132 | \$99,132 | The application aligns with the grant |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------|----------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| Safety Trust | RECOMMENDED PRACTICE | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| | The Pipeline Safety Trust (PST) | | | | | | project scope aligns with criterion 3 |
| | proposes to partner with pipeline | | | The applicant is not | | | (fostering open communication), |
| | safety stakeholders to support the | | | located in a rural | | | criterion 4 (improving public awareness |
| | development of a strong and | | | area or Opportunity | | | and damage prevention), and criterion 7 |
| | successful Recommended Practice | | | Zone. The applicant | | | (providing potential for learning). The |
| | (RP) on Public Engagement. The | | | does not seek or | | | application is recommended for full |
| | applicant proposes to reduce some | | | propose any | | | funding. |
| | of the major barriers that could | | | ROUTES or | | | |
| | undermine the non-industry, non- | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | regulatory stakeholders from | | | funding in its | | | |
| | participating in the development of | | | proposal. | | | |
| | the RP by providing funding to | | | | | | |
| | cover partial travel costs for | | | | | | |
| | meetings and to secure an | | | | | | |
| | independent facilitator and subject | | | | | | |
| | matter experts. Removing such | | | | | | |
| | obstacles will contribute | | | | | | |
| | significantly towards strengthening | | | | | | |
| | and advancing pipeline safety. PST | | | | | | |
| | received a TAG award in 2018 that | | | | | | |
| | examined pipeline engagement and | | | | | | |
| | in 2019 for outreach and pipeline | | | | | | |
| | safety education. | | | | | | |

| West Central | PIPELINE SAFETY PUBLIC | TX | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application aligns with the grant |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----|-------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------|
| Texas | AWARENESS PROGRAM | | | OZ: N | | · · · | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| Council of | The West Central Texas Council of | | | | | | project scope aligns with criterion 3 |
| Governments | Governments proposes to conduct | | | The applicant states | | | (fostering open communication), |
| | education and outreach of pipelines | | | that its proposed | | | criterion 4 (improving public awareness |
| | and pipeline safety throughout 19 | | | outreach, | | | and damage prevention), and criterion 7 |
| | counties and 62 communities in the | | | engagement, and | | | (providing potential for learning). The |
| | West Central Texas region. The | | | public awareness | | | application is recommended for full |
| | proposal will increase public | | | efforts will be | | | funding. |
| | awareness of pipeline infrastructure | | | conducted in rural | | | |
| | and the associated hazards of living | | | communities. The | | | |
| | near pipelines. The applicant will | | | applicant does not | | | |
| | promote the Call Before You Dig | | | seek or propose | | | |
| | 811 initiative and state of Texas | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | One-Call procedures throughout the | | | funding in its | | | |
| | Region. Funding will be used to | | | proposal. | | | |
| | sponsor radio and television public | | | | | | |
| | service announcements; disseminate | | | | | | |
| | outreach, education, and awareness | | | | | | |
| | materials through public events and | | | | | | |
| | at area schools; and engage in the | | | | | | |
| | Region's four damage prevention | | | | | | |
| | councils and other local activities | | | | | | |
| | that promote pipeline safety and | | | | | | |
| | underground utilities awareness. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING AND PIPELINE | WY | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$86,584 | \$86,584 | The application generally aligns with the |
|----------|---------------------------------------|----|------------|----------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------------|
| Evanston | RISK ASSESSMENT | | - | OZ: Y | | | grant program and evaluation criteria. |
| | The City of Evanston proposes to | | | | | | The project scope aligns with criterion 2 |
| | complete a GIS-driven risk | | | Evanston, | | | (addressing specific pipeline safety |
| | assessment and develop an asset | | | Wyoming, is a rural | | | risks), criterion 3 (fostering open |
| | management system to better | | | area per U.S. Census | | | communication), criterion 4 (improving |
| | understand the risks associated with | | | Bureau data. | | | public awareness and pipeline |
| | the natural gas transmission pipeline | | | Evanston is also | | | emergency response), and criterion 7 |
| | within the City operated by | | | located in a | | | (providing potential for technology |
| | Dominion Energy, and the | | | Qualified | | | transfer). The application is |
| | hazardous liquid pipeline operated | | | Opportunity Zone | | | recommended for full funding. |
| | by Marathon Petroleum and to | | | per U.S. Treasury, | | | |
| | determine how best to educate | | | Community | | | |
| | themselves and the public. The | | | Development | | | |
| | applicant will prepare an emergency | | | Financial | | | |
| | evacuation zone and hazardous | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | pipeline evacuation area map. The | | | data. | | | |
| | applicant will also electronically | | | | | | |
| | map the pipelines to improve | | | | | | |
| | emergency response capabilities and | | | | | | |
| | will conduct a public outreach | | | | | | |
| | campaign to promote the risk | | | | | | |
| | assessment and the Call 811 | | | | | | |
| | initiative. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING AND PIPELINE | CO | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$99,590 | \$99,590 | The application generally aligns with |
|----------|---------------------------------------|----|------------|---------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------------|
| Gunnison | RISK ASSESSMENT | | | OZ: Y | | | the grant program and evaluation |
| | The City of Gunnison proposes to | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with |
| | complete a GIS-driven risk | | | Gunnison, Colorado, | | | criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline |
| | assessment and develop an asset | | | is a rural area per | | | safety risks), criterion 3 (fostering open |
| | management system to better | | | U.S. Census Bureau | | | communication), criterion 4 (improving |
| | understand the risks associated with | | | data. Gunnison is | | | public awareness and pipeline |
| | the high-pressure and medium- | | | also located in a | | | emergency response), and criterion 7 |
| | pressure natural gas transmission | | | Qualified | | | (providing potential for technology |
| | pipelines operated by Xcel Energy | | | Opportunity Zone | | | transfer). The application is |
| | within the City and to determine | | | per U.S. Treasury, | | | recommended for full funding. |
| | how best to educate themselves and | | | Community | | | |
| | the public. The applicant will | | | Development | | | |
| | prepare an emergency evacuation | | | Financial | | | |
| | zone and hazardous pipeline | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | evacuation area map. The applicant | | | data. | | | |
| | will electronically map the pipelines | | | | | | |
| | to improve emergency response | | | | | | |
| | capabilities and will conduct a | | | | | | |
| | public outreach campaign to | | | | | | |
| | promote the risk assessment. The | | | | | | |
| | applicant also plans to develop a | | | | | | |
| | series of emergency scenarios to | | | | | | |
| | better understand the threats and to | | | | | | |
| | include planning for how to handle | | | | | | |
| | a loss to emergency backup water | | | | | | |
| | lines, sewer lines, power to the | | | | | | |
| | wastewater treatment plant, and | | | | | | |
| | other important transportation | | | | | | |
| | corridors. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| East Central | EMERGENCY RESPONDERS | FL | Acceptable | ROUTES: N | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application generally aligns with |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------|----|------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------------|
| Florida | SAFETY INITIATIVE | | Ī | OZ: N | | | the grant program and evaluation |
| Regional | The East Central Florida Regional | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with |
| Planning | Planning Commission proposes to | | | The applicant is not | | | criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline |
| Commission | increase the safety of emergency | | | located in a rural | | | safety risks), criterion 4 (improving |
| | responders by purchasing gas | | | area or Opportunity | | | public awareness and pipeline |
| | detector systems that will be used to | | | Zone. The applicant | | | emergency response), and criterion 7 |
| | identify hazardous areas at the scene | | | does not seek or | | | (providing potential for learning). The |
| | of a pipeline release. The gas | | | propose any | | | application is recommended for full |
| | detector system will also certify | | | ROUTES or | | | funding. |
| | local responders in the maintenance, | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | calibration, and repair of RAE | | | funding in its | | | |
| | System gas and radiation detection | | | proposal. | | | |
| | equipment needed for response | | | | | | |
| | capabilities. The applicant will | | | | | | |
| | increase emergency preparedness by | | | | | | |
| | providing classroom and hands-on | | | | | | |
| | training specific to pipeline safety at | | | | | | |
| | the 9th annual Florida Hazardous | | | | | | |
| | Materials Symposium scheduled to | | | | | | |
| | be held in January 2021, in Daytona | | | | | | |
| | Beach, Florida. The applicant will | | | | | | |
| | also host public outreach and | | | | | | |
| | community awareness events that | | | | | | |
| | focus on community preparedness. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Oklahoma | SAFETY MANAGEMENT | OK | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application generally aligns with the |
|------------|---------------------------------------|----|------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------------|
| Municipal | SYSTEM RISK ASSESSMENT | | - | OZ: Y | | | grant program and evaluation criteria. |
| Management | The Oklahoma Municipal | | | | | | The project scope aligns with criterion 3 |
| Services | Management Services proposes to | | | Edmond, Oklahoma, | | | (fostering open communication), |
| | perform a safety-related risk | | | is a rural area per | | | criterion 4 (improving pipeline public |
| | assessment of the 51 municipal- | | | U.S. Census Bureau | | | awareness and emergency response), and |
| | owned natural gas distribution | | | data. Several of the | | | criterion 7 (providing potential for |
| | systems in Oklahoma to better | | | 51 municipal-owned | | | learning and technology transfer). The |
| | understand the risks related to | | | natural gas | | | application is recommended for full |
| | pipeline Safety Management | | | distribution systems | | | funding. |
| | Systems and how these risks are | | | managed by the | | | |
| | communicated to local government | | | applicant are in rural | | | |
| | representatives, emergency response | | | areas and in | | | |
| | agencies, and the public. The | | | Qualified | | | |
| | applicant will use qualitative and | | | Opportunity Zones | | | |
| | quantitative methods to determine | | | per U.S. Treasury, | | | |
| | the source of pipeline risks in the | | | Community | | | |
| | technical context of physical plant | | | Development | | | |
| | assets (pipeline/distribution system) | | | Financial | | | |
| | and core operations (operations and | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | maintenance). This information | | | data. | | | |
| | will be shared with the | | | | | | |
| | municipalities, local government | | | | | | |
| | representatives, emergency response | | | | | | |
| | agencies, and the public. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Town of Palisade | GIS MAPPING AND PIPELINE RISK ASSESSMENT The Town of Palisade proposes to complete a GIS-driven risk assessment and develop an asset management system to better understand the risks associated with the natural gas transmission pipeline operated by Xcel Energy within the Town and to determine how best to educate themselves and the public. The applicant will prepare an emergency evacuation zone and hazardous pipeline evacuation area map. The applicant will electronically map the pipelines to improve emergency response capabilities and will conduct a public outreach campaign to promote the risk assessment. The applicant will also develop a series of emergency scenarios to better understand the risks, including planning for how to handle a possible incident in the Riverbend Park where the natural gas pipeline is located. | СО | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: N Palisade, Colorado, is a rural area per U.S. Census Bureau data. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone and does not seek or propose Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$99,660 | \$99,660 | The application generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), criterion 3 (fostering open communication), criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | TOTAL | \$1,184,506 | \$1,184,506 | |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | Zone | | | |
| City of Salem | NATURAL GAS PUBLIC | MA | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant |
| | SAFETY PROGRAM | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| | The City of Salem proposes to | | | | | | proposed project focuses on conducting leak |
| | partner with the Salem Alliance for | | | The applicant is | | | surveys to alert the public to potential leaks. |
| | the Environment (SAFE) on a | | | not located in a | | | However, leak surveys are a regulatory |
| | community-wide Salem Natural | | | rural area or | | | requirement for pipeline operators. |
| | Gas Safety Program that has five | | | Qualified | | | Regulatory compliance is a prohibited use of |
| | core objectives. They include: (1) | | | Opportunity | | | TAG funding. The operator in the City of |
| | enhancing public safety through | | | Zone. The | | | Salem, National Grid, is required by |
| | public awareness on the natural gas | | | applicant does | | | regulation to perform the work that the |
| | leaks in the City; (2) pinpointing | | | not seek or | | | applicant is proposing. The applicant has no |
| | natural gas distribution pipeline | | | propose any | | | commitment from the operator to support |
| | leaks within the City through a | | | ROUTES or | | | this effort. Further, the applicant is |
| | three-pass survey; (3) purchasing | | | Opportunity | | | partnering with the Safe Alliance for the |
| | gas detection equipment for | | | Zone funding in | | | Environment (SAFE), an environmental |
| | Salem's Fire Department and | | | its proposal. | | | advocacy organization which applied for a |
| | Water/Sewer and Tree Division of | | | | | | TAG in 2018 for a similar gas leak detection |
| | the Salem Department of Public | | | | | | effort. That application was rated |
| | Safety; (4) providing first | | | | | | "Unacceptable." |
| | responders and three tree | | | | | | |
| | specialists voluntary training | | | | | | |
| | sessions in gas leak detection and | | | | | | |
| | response; and (5) developing and | | | | | | |
| | implementing a public awareness | | | | | | |
| | education campaign. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Fort Pierce | GIS MAPPING AND | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N | \$82,083 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Utilities | EQUIPMENT | | | OZ: N | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| Authority | The Fort Pierce Utilities Authority | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | proposes to implement a GIS to | | | The applicant is | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | map 2,758 unmapped natural gas | | | not located in a | | | |
| | customer service pipelines. The | | | rural area or | | | Section D.5 of the Notice of Funding |
| | GIS will reduce pipeline damages | | | Qualified | | | Opportunity (NOFO) states, "While |
| | by providing more accurate | | | Opportunity | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | locates, improving maintenance | | | Zone. The | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | practices, increasing emergency | | | applicant does | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | response capabilities for first | | | not seek or | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | responders, and improving | | | propose any | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | employee knowledge of the natural | | | ROUTES or | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | gas system. The applicant will | | | Opportunity | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | purchase gas detection equipment | | | Zone funding in | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | and will provide training to | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | employees on best practices on | | | | | | |
| | using GIS for increased safety and | | | | | | |
| | awareness. The applicant will also | | | | | | |
| | educate the public about advances | | | | | | |
| | in natural gas monitoring | | | | | | |
| | technology and its value to its | | | | | | |
| | natural gas customers. | | | | | | |

| National | MEDIA AND OUTREACH | TX | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant |
|------------|-------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Excavator | CAMPAIGN FOR | | - | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| Initiative | EXCAVATORS | | | | | | application lacks clarity in scope, objective, |
| | The National Excavator Initiative | | | The applicant is | | | and deliverables. The objective focuses |
| | (NEI) proposes to expand and | | | not located in a | | | heavily on the support, continued use, and |
| | build upon its current efforts, | | | rural area or | | | promotion of current NEI materials that are |
| | targeting excavators and those with | | | Qualified | | | available on its website for its paid |
| | the potential to impact the safe | | | Opportunity | | | membership and does not demonstrate a |
| | operations of underground | | | Zone. The | | | clear nexus to pipeline safety. |
| | facilities. The applicant will | | | applicant does | | | |
| | provide electronic media (paid | | | not seek or | | | |
| | advertising of approximately 8.5 | | | propose any | | | |
| | million impressions and earned | | | ROUTES or | | | |
| | media) of new materials to | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | underground utility stakeholders | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | focusing on underground damage | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | prevention. The materials will | | | | | | |
| | directly target stakeholders to the | | | | | | |
| | SafeExcavator.com website where | | | | | | |
| | they can view 20 different videos | | | | | | |
| | featuring damage prevention | | | | | | |
| | experts and others highlighting the | | | | | | |
| | importance of safe digging | | | | | | |
| | practices. The outreach materials | | | | | | |
| | feature the critically acclaimed | | | | | | |
| | television personality Mike Rowe. | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING AND MOBILE | KY | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$45,000 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|---------|-------------------------------------|----|----------------|-------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Augusta | COMPLIANCE SYSTEM | | _ | OZ: Y | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | The City of Augusta proposes to | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | purchase Global Positioning | | | Augusta, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | System (GPS) units, mobile | | | Kentucky, is a | | | |
| | compliance software, and | | | rural area per | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | applications for its natural gas | | | U.S. Census | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | operations and maintenance | | | Bureau data. | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | activities. GPS equipment will | | | Augusta is also | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | enable the applicant to create | | | located in a | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | accurate maps of its entire natural | | | Qualified | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | gas pipeline system, including, but | | | Opportunity | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | not limited to, emergency valves, | | | Zone per U.S. | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | cathodic protection test stations, | | | Treasury, | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | regulator stations, rectifiers, | | | Community | | | |
| | odorizers, mains, and other key | | | Development | | | |
| | pipeline features. By accurately | | | Financial | | | |
| | mapping the entire natural gas | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | pipeline system, the applicant will | | | data. | | | |
| | significantly aid in damage | | | | | | |
| | prevention, its Distribution | | | | | | |
| | Integrity Management Program, | | | | | | |
| | and risk analysis. | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$65,100 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|----|----------------|---------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Blountstown | The City of Blountstown proposes | | | OZ: Y | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | to implement a GIS to perform | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | better risk assessment and asset | | | Blountstown, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | management practices of the City | | | Florida, is a rural | | | |
| | of Blountstown Natural Gas | | | area, per U.S. | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | System, and the city's water and | | | Census Bureau | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | sewer system. The GIS database | | | data. | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | will improve maintenance | | | Blountstown is | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | practices, reduce pipeline damages | | | also located in a | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | through more accurate locates, | | | Qualified | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | increase emergency response | | | Opportunity | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | capabilities, and improve employee | | | Zone, per U.S. | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | knowledge of the natural gas | | | Treasury, | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | system. The GIS will also aid in | | | Community | | | |
| | risk-informed land use planning, | | | Development | | | |
| | community awareness, and public | | | Financial | | | |
| | education. The applicant will also | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | provide training to employees on | | | data. | | | |
| | best practices to fully use GIS for | | | | | | |
| | increased safety and awareness. | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$42,700 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|---------|------------------------------------|----|----------------|-------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Chipley | The City of Chipley proposes to | | | OZ: N | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | implement a GIS to perform better | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | risk assessment and asset | | | Chipley, Florida, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | management practices of the | | | is a rural area, | | | |
| | Chipley Natural Gas System and | | | per U.S. Census | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | the city's water and sewer system. | | | Bureau data. | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | The GIS database will improve | | | The applicant is | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | maintenance practices, reduce | | | not located in a | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | pipeline damages through more | | | Qualified | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | accurate locates, increase | | | Opportunity | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | emergency response capabilities, | | | Zone and does | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | and improve employee knowledge | | | not seek or | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | of the natural gas system. The GIS | | | propose | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | system will also aid in risk- | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | informed land use planning, | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | community awareness, and public | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | education. The applicant will also | | | | | | |
| | provide equipment and training to | | | | | | |
| | employees on best practices to | | | | | | |
| | fully use GIS for increased safety | | | | | | |
| | and awareness. | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING, LEAK | KY | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$98,903 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|---------|--------------------------------------|----|----------------|-------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Guthrie | DETECTION EQUIPMENT, | | • | OZ: Y | - | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | AND PUBLIC AWARENESS | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | The City of Guthrie proposes to | | | Guthrie, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | purchase leak detection equipment | | | Kentucky is a | | | |
| | and supplies to enhance pipeline | | | rural area per | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | response, locate and repair leaks in | | | U.S. Census | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | its pipeline system, and locate | | | Bureau data. | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | critical gas valve boxes. The | | | Guthrie is also | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | applicant will map the Guthrie | | | located in a | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | Natural Gas system gas lines and | | | Qualified | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | valves, and will conduct a public | | | Opportunity | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | awareness campaign by doing the | | | Zone, per U.S. | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | following: Sending out mail | | | Treasury, | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | inserts; meeting with emergency | | | Community | | | |
| | personnel, city and county | | | Development | | | |
| | officials; holding, city- wide | | | Financial | | | |
| | events; and running newspaper ads | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | to increase awareness of the Call | | | data. | | | |
| | 811 program. The applicant will | | | | | | |
| | also purchase pipeline markers. | | | | | | |
| | Mapping and modeling of the gas | | | | | | |
| | system will ensure operational | | | | | | |
| | pressures are sufficient to provide | | | | | | |
| | the proper amount of natural gas to | | | | | | |
| | residential, commercial, and | | | | | | |
| | industrial users. Mapping and | | | | | | |
| | modeling the pipeline will also | | | | | | |
| | enhance emergency response | | | | | | |
| | capabilities and employee | | | | | | |
| | knowledge of the natural gas | | | | | | |
| | system. | | | | | | |

| City of | LEAK DETECTION | KY | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$57,506 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|---------|--------------------------------------|----|----------------|-------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Hazard | EQUIPMENT AND ALL- | | | OZ: Y | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | TERRAIN VEHICLE FOR | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | EMERGENCY RESPONSE | | | Hazard, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | The City of Hazard proposes to | | | Kentucky, is a | | | |
| | purchase two laser gas leak | | | rural area per | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | detector devices and one all-terrain | | | U.S. Census | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | vehicle (ATV). The leak detection | | | Bureau data. | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | equipment will help the applicant | | | Hazard is also | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | quickly and efficiently detect leaks | | | located in a | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | up to one hundred feet away, | | | Qualified | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | providing remote detection of | | | Opportunity | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | difficult to access locations. | | | Zone per U.S. | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | Without the ATV, employees | | | Treasury, | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | would have to hike to remote | | | Community | | | |
| | locations, taking much longer to | | | Development | | | |
| | find leaks. The ATV is also a | | | Financial | | | |
| | valuable tool in reaching remote | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | and mountainous locations to | | | data. | | | |
| | complete repairs. | | | | | | |

| City of Live | GIS MAPPING | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$84,100 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|----|----------------|---------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Oak | The City of Live Oak proposes to | | _ | OZ: N | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | implement a GIS to perform better | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | risk assessment and asset | | | Live Oak, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | management practices of the City | | | Florida, is a rural | | | |
| | of Live Oak Natural Gas | | | area per U.S. | | | Section D.5 of NOFO states, "While |
| | Distribution system. The GIS | | | Census Bureau | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | database will improve maintenance | | | data. The | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | practices, reduce pipeline damages | | | applicant is not | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | through more accurate locates, | | | located in a | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | increase emergency response | | | Qualified | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | capabilities, and improve employee | | | Opportunity | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | knowledge of the natural gas | | | Zone and does | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | system. The GIS system will also | | | not seek or | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | aid in risk-informed land use | | | propose | | | |
| | planning, community awareness, | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | and public education. The project | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | will help the applicant reassess and | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | evaluate risks or potential threats | | | | | | |
| | to the natural gas system as part of | | | | | | |
| | the integrity management program, | | | | | | |
| | and improve asset management | | | | | | |
| | practices by tracking spatial assets, | | | | | | |
| | maintaining historical records, and | | | | | | |
| | keeping an accurate inventory. | | | | | | |

| City of Perry | PUBLIC AWARENESS AND | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$9,000 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|----|----------------|--------------------|---------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| | DAMAGE PREVENTION | | | OZ: N | | | and proposes activities associated with |
| | PROGRAM | | | | | | regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited |
| | The City of Perry proposes to | | | Perry, Florida, is | | | use of TAG funding. |
| | create a new Public Awareness and | | | a rural area per | | | |
| | Damage Prevention program for | | | U.S. Census | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | the City of Perry Natural Gas | | | Bureau data. | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | Distribution System to educate and | | | The applicant is | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | raise public awareness of the | | | not located in a | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used |
| | utility's natural gas pipelines. The | | | Qualified | | | for activities associated with regulatory |
| | current program has been used for | | | Opportunity | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | several years, and its effectiveness | | | Zone and does | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | is declining. | | | not seek or | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | | | | propose | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | | | | its proposal. | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING | TX | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$75,000 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|---------|---------------------------------------|----|----------------|---------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Kountze | The City of Kountze proposes to | | | OZ: N | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | develop a GIS to map the location | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | of all its Kountze Municipal | | | Kountze, Texas, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | Natural Gas Distribution system | | | is a rural area per | | | |
| | pipelines and related facilities. The | | | U.S. Census | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | applicant's pipeline system is not | | | Bureau data. | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | mapped on a GIS and information | | | The applicant is | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | is only accessible by outdated and | | | not located in a | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | often inaccurate paper-based maps | | | Qualified | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | that were developed over 40 years- | | | Opportunity | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | ago. By electronically mapping | | | Zone and does | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | the entire natural gas pipeline | | | not seek or | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | system, the applicant will be able | | | propose | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | to significantly enhance its damage | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | prevention and emergency | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | response efforts. The GIS will also | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | enable the applicant to record and | | | | | | |
| | analyze the risks from pipeline | | | | | | |
| | integrity and leak data, and | | | | | | |
| | implement other systematic tools | | | | | | |
| | to enhance pipeline safety in the | | | | | | |
| | community that it serves. | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING AND | CO | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$74,225 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|------------|------------------------------------|----|----------------|-------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Walsenburg | EQUIPMENT | | | OZ: Y | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | The City of Walsenburg proposes | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | to develop a GIS to map the | | | Walsenburg, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | location of all its Walsenburg | | | Colorado, is a | | | |
| | Natural Gas Enterprise system | | | rural area per | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | pipelines and related equipment. | | | U.S. Census | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | The pipeline system is not mapped | | | Bureau data. | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | on a GIS, and information is only | | | Walsenburg is | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | accessible by rudimentary paper | | | also located in a | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | maps of the system, made up of the | | | Qualified | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | original design drawings and hand | | | Opportunity | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | sketches of changes. By mapping | | | Zone, per U.S. | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | the entire natural gas pipeline | | | Treasury, | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | system, the GIS will provide a | | | Community | | | |
| | modern electronic map that can be | | | Development | | | |
| | efficiently and effectively shared | | | Financial | | | |
| | with the affected Counties, the | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | State 811 One Call system, | | | data. | | | |
| | emergency responders, and the | | | | | | |
| | public. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING AND | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$56,430 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|----|----------------|---------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Williston | EQUIPMENT | | | OZ: N | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | The City of Williston proposes to | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | implement a GIS to perform | | | Williston, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | improved risk assessment and asset | | | Florida, is a rural | | | |
| | management practices of the City | | | area per U.S. | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | of Williston Natural Gas System. | | | Census Bureau | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | The GIS database will reduce | | | data. The | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | pipeline damages through more | | | applicant is not | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | accurate locates, improve | | | located in a | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | maintenance practices, increase | | | Qualified | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | emergency response capabilities | | | Opportunity | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | for first responders, and improve | | | Zone and does | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | employee knowledge of the natural | | | not seek or | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | gas system. The GIS will also aid | | | propose | | | |
| | in risk-informed land use planning, | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | community awareness, and public | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | education. The applicant will also | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | purchase gas detection equipment | | | | | | |
| | and will provide training to | | | | | | |
| | employees on best practices to | | | | | | |
| | fully use GIS for increased safety | | | | | | |
| | and awareness. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Dig Safely | LEARNING MANAGEMENT | NY | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant |
|------------|-------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----|-----------------------------------------------|
| New York | SYSTEM UPDATE AND | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| | TRAINING | | | | | | applicant's budget includes funding to |
| | Dig Safely New York is proposing | | | The applicant is | | | upgrade its registration software. The |
| | to update its Learning Management | | | not located in a | | | applicant did not demonstrate a clear nexus |
| | System (LMS) to enhance both | | | rural area or | | | to pipeline safety for the software upgrade, |
| | online and in-person educational | | | Qualified | | | which would be used to register all students |
| | experiences. The applicant plans | | | Opportunity | | | for the applicant's course offerings. |
| | to update and customize the | | | Zone. The | | | |
| | registration process in the LMS to | | | applicant does | | | |
| | diversify its in-person events, and | | | not seek or | | | |
| | offer targeted educational tracks | | | propose any | | | |
| | and advanced seminars. The | | | ROUTES or | | | |
| | funding will also be used to | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | produce four new online | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | excavation damage educational | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | courses. | | | | | | |

| Palatka Gas | GIS UPDATE | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$49,000 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|-------------|------------------------------------|----|----------------|---------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Authority | The Palatka Gas Authority | | | OZ: Y | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | proposes to update its current GIS | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | to perform better risk assessment | | | Palatka, Florida, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | and asset management practices of | | | is a rural area per | | | |
| | its natural gas system. The | | | U.S. Census | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | updated GIS database will improve | | | Bureau data. | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | maintenance practices, reduce | | | Palatka is also | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | pipeline damages through more | | | located in a | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | accurate locates, increase | | | Qualified | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | emergency response capabilities, | | | Opportunity | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | and improve employee knowledge | | | Zone per U.S. | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | of the natural gas system. The GIS | | | Treasury, | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | will aid in risk-informed land use | | | Community | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | planning, community awareness, | | | Development | | | |
| | and public education. The funding | | | Financial | | | |
| | will also provide training to | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | employees on best practices to | | | data. | | | |
| | fully use GIS for increased safety | | | | | | |
| | and awareness. | | | | | | |

| Town of Jay | GIS MAPPING | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$38,750 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|----|----------------|--------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| | The Town of Jay proposes to | | | OZ: Y | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| | implement a GIS to perform better | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | risk assessment and asset | | | Jay, Florida, is a | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | management practices of its natural | | | rural area per | | | |
| | gas distribution pipeline system. | | | U.S. Census | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | The GIS database will improve | | | Bureau data. Jay | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | maintenance practices, reduce | | | is also located in | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | pipeline damages through more | | | a Qualified | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | accurate locates, increase | | | Opportunity | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | emergency response capabilities, | | | Zone per U.S. | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | and improve employee knowledge | | | Treasury, | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | of the natural gas system. The GIS | | | Community | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | will also aid in risk-informed land | | | Development | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | use planning, community | | | Financial | | | |
| | awareness, and public education. | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | The project will help the applicant | | | data. | | | |
| | reassess and evaluate risks or | | | | | | |
| | potential threats to the natural gas | | | | | | |
| | system as part of the integrity | | | | | | |
| | management program, and | | | | | | |
| | improve asset management | | | | | | |
| | practices by tracking spatial assets, | | | | | | |
| | maintaining historical records, and | | | | | | |
| | keeping an accurate inventory. | | | | | | |

| City of | UPGRADE TO CHICAGO 811 | IL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N | \$99,090 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant |
|---------|--------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|----------|-----|-----------------------------------------------|
| Chicago | ONE CALL SYSTEM | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| | The City of Chicago proposes to | | | | | | proposed project focuses on all underground |
| | update its One Call system | | | The applicant is | | | utility damage prevention and does not |
| | software and integrate it with its | | | not located in a | | | demonstrate a clear nexus to, or focus on, |
| | Division of Infrastructure | | | rural area or | | | pipeline safety. |
| | Management (DIM) permit | | | Qualified | | | |
| | software system. An electronic | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | bridge will be developed between | | | Zone. The | | | |
| | the two databases to provide the | | | applicant does | | | |
| | DIM with electronic access to this | | | not seek or | | | |
| | vital information. With this | | | propose any | | | |
| | access, the DIM can ensure work | | | ROUTES or | | | |
| | has passed a safety review, and | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | that the permits are designed to | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | avoid utilities before work | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | permission is granted. The project | | | | | | |
| | will also provide field crews access | | | | | | |
| | to technology that will encourage | | | | | | |
| | safe excavation practices. Field | | | | | | |
| | crews will review atlas pages and | | | | | | |
| | approved drawings to determine | | | | | | |
| | where to hand dig and, thus, safely | | | | | | |
| | identify utilities at the work site. | | | | | | |

| Chatham Fire | UNMANNED AERIAL | VA | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$76,590 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant |
|--------------|---------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|----------|-----|-----------------------------------------------|
| Department | VEHICLE (DRONE) AND ATV | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| | FOR EMERGENCY | | | | | | proposed project demonstrates no clear nexus |
| | RESPONSE | | | Chatham, | | | to pipeline safety and focuses on general |
| | The Chatham Fire Department | | | Virginia, is a | | | emergency response operations. |
| | proposes to purchase a high | | | rural area per | | | |
| | quality, commercial built drone | | | U.S. Census | | | |
| | and ATV for emergency response | | | Bureau data. | | | |
| | during a pipeline incident. | | | The applicant is | | | |
| | | | | not located in a | | | |
| | | | | Qualified | | | |
| | | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | | | | Zone and does | | | |
| | | | | not seek or | | | |
| | | | | propose | | | |
| | | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | | | | its proposal. | | | |

| County of | HAZARD AND THREAT RISK | PA | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Chester | ANALYSIS | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| | The County of Chester Department | | | | | | proposed project focuses on collecting and |
| | of Emergency Services proposes to | | | The applicant is | | | evaluating data to identify hazards and |
| | conduct a comprehensive | | | not located in a | | | threats of a pipeline system, which would be |
| | independent evaluation of the | | | rural area or | | | contingent on voluntary operator |
| | Energy Transfer Mariner East | | | Qualified | | | participation. There is no indication that the |
| | pipeline to identify hazards and | | | Opportunity | | | operator supports this effort. The proposal |
| | threats. The assessment will also | | | Zone. The | | | appears to be focused on advocacy against a |
| | examine and validate the Chester | | | applicant does | | | pipeline, which is a prohibited use of funds |
| | County emergency preparedness | | | not seek or | | | under the TAG program. Further, Local |
| | plans and capabilities for identified | | | propose any | | | Emergency Planning Committees can review |
| | hazards and threats. | | | ROUTES or | | | and validate county-level emergency |
| | | | | Opportunity | | | preparedness plans. |
| | | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| County of | EQUIPMENT AND TRAINING | VA | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----|-----------------------------------------------|
| Franklin | FOR EMERGENCY | | | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| | RESPONSE | | | | | | proposed project provides general emergency |
| | The County of Franklin proposes | | | Rocky Mount, | | | response training and there is no clear nexus |
| | to provide hazardous materials | | | Virginia, is a | | | to pipeline safety. Further, the proposed 811 |
| | education and training to | | | rural area per | | | outreach could be performed with existing |
| | emergency response personnel | | | U.S. Census | | | resources through Virginia 811 without the |
| | through various training programs | | | Bureau data. | | | need for additional funding. |
| | and conferences. The applicant | | | The applicant is | | | |
| | will also purchase gas monitoring | | | not located in a | | | |
| | equipment that will assist in | | | Qualified | | | |
| | mitigating response to potential gas | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | emergencies. The applicant will | | | Zone and does | | | |
| | also improve local pipeline | | | not seek or | | | |
| | emergency response capabilities | | | propose | | | |
| | and safe digging programs. | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | | | | its proposal. | | | |

| MISS DIG | 811 TICKET DESIGN SYSTEM | MI | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N | \$87,000 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant |
|----------|--------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|----------|-----|-----------------------------------------------|
| System | UPGRADE | | _ | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The |
| | MISS DIG 811 proposes to | | | | | | proposed project focuses on a one call center |
| | enhance its 811 Design Ticket | | | The applicant is | | | system upgrade to enhance all underground |
| | response process by creating an | | | not located in a | | | utility damage prevention and does not |
| | online portal that provides a single | | | rural area or | | | demonstrate a clear nexus to, or focus on, |
| | interface for design ticket | | | Qualified | | | pipeline safety. |
| | responses. The use of an online | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | portal will eliminate the need for | | | Zone. The | | | |
| | email responses, track the status of | | | applicant does | | | |
| | the responses in a single portal, | | | not seek or | | | |
| | and provide alerts when all | | | propose any | | | |
| | facilities' owners have responded. | | | ROUTES or | | | |
| | This project will improve safety by | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | increasing awareness of pipelines | | | Zone funding in | | | |
| | during a project's engineering | | | its proposal. | | | |
| | phase. The design program also | | | | | | |
| | increases public awareness because | | | | | | |
| | municipalities can opt to be | | | | | | |
| | notified of projects in the | | | | | | |
| | design/engineering phase within | | | | | | |
| | their municipal boundaries. | | | | | | |

| TOTAL \$1,640,477 \$0 |
|-----------------------|
|-----------------------|

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | Opportunity | Requested | Award | |
| | | | | Zone | | | |
| Pipeline Safety Trust (PST) | PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT The PST proposes to strengthen the depth and quality of public participation in efforts to increase pipeline safety by targeting multiple stakeholder groups that are essential for better public engagement. The PST will: 1. Educate on pipeline safety to facilitate, productive engagement 2. Reduce barriers to allow for more public participation and engagement. 3. Offer venues to encourage ongoing engagement. | WA | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: N OZ: N The applicant is not located in a rural area or Opportunity Zone. The applicant does not seek or propose any ROUTES or Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 | PST is the sole applicant for the competitive TAG award mandated in the PIPES Act of 2020, Division R, Title I, Section 101 (e) (2) ,which states " each fiscal year, the Secretary shall award \$1,000,000 to an eligible applicant through a competitive selection process for the purpose of improving the quality of technical assistance provided to communities or individuals under this section." PST meets the eligibility set forth in the PIPES Act of 2020, Division R, Title I, Section 101 (e) (3) (d) (1). The application is Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). |

| Danielle | FIRST RESPONDER 5-STATE | TX | Highly Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Highly Recommended |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------------------|
| Dawn | OUTREACH PROJECT | | Full Funding | OZ: Y | | | and aligns well with the grant program |
| Smalley | DDSF proposes to develop five | | | | | | and evaluation criteria. The project |
| Foundation, | new state online pipeline safety | | | The applicant | | | scope aligns with Criterion 3 (fostering |
| Inc. (DDSF) | portals (CA, IL, KS, LA, OH) that | | | states that its | | | open communication), Criterion 4 |
| | allow first responders to access | | | proposed | | | (improving public awareness and |
| | county webpages (five counties in | | | outreach and | | | pipeline emergency response), and |
| | each state) containing multi-media | | | engagement | | | Criterion 7 (providing potential for |
| | state and county-specific content | | | efforts will be | | | technology transfer). The application is |
| | that can be used to facilitate | | | conducted in | | | recommended for full funding. |
| | internal group and individual | | | rural | | | |
| | instruction, to enhance local | | | communities | | | |
| | hazard-specific preplans, and | | | and in Qualified | | | |
| | encourage the shared responsibility | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | of damage prevention. | | | Zones. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Livelihoods Knowledge Exchange Network, Inc. (LKEN) | PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE, EDUCATION, AND ENGAGEMENT LKEN proposes projects to bridge information gaps and meet the challenges of delivering timely and accurate pipeline safety information and knowledge to local communities living in both rural areas and in lower-income locations by strengthening, supporting, and broadening public knowledge, awareness, education, and engagement around the safe operation of rural and urban pipeline networks. This goal will be accomplished by developing a set of innovative and locally relevant non-digital (e.g., posters, letter-sized print materials, postcard-size print materials, etc.), digital off-line (e.g., CDs, USBs, videos, etc.), and on-line knowledge guides, educational resources, and engagement | KY | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposed education and engagement efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). The application is recommended for full funding. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Center for Rural Development Inc. | TRAINING COURSES AND PUBLIC AWARENESS The applicant proposes to teach an eight-hour Pipeline Security for Rura Communities course to 11 different rural communities about pipeline safety and security, mitigation, response, and recovery to minimize the impact on people. | KY I | Recommend Full Fundin | | s d | 00 \$100,0 | The application is Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). The application is recommended for full funding. |

| Clifton Sanitation District (CSD) | GPS/GIS MAPPING, TRAINING, AND PUBLIC AWARENESS CSD proposes to create a GIS- driven risk assessment and asset management system; organize information between CSD, Mesa County and pipeline operators to understand risks associated with hazardous pipelines and educate themselves and the public; provide GPS equipment and training for CSD staff; prepare an emergency evacuation zone and hazardous pipeline evacuation area map for the CSD staff, county emergency management, cities, towns, and unincorporated areas within CSD service area; and conduct educational outreach in CSD service area. | FL | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposed training and public awareness efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$85,294 | \$85,294 | The application is Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Alamo Improvement Association Inc. | WEBPAGE DEVELOPMENT AND TRAINING FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE The applicant proposes a Pipeline Information and Safety Center website as a project to assist county officials, county residents and other stakeholders with the need for timely and accurate information with two projects: 1) Pipeline Information Center, and 2) First Responder Pipeline Emergency Training. | CA | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed projects will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone and does not seek or propose Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$59,520 | \$59,520 | The application is Recommended and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). The application is recommended for full funding. |

| City of | LEAK DETECTION | TX | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$22,625 | \$22,625 | The application is Recommended and aligns |
|---------|------------------------------------|----|--------------|--------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Easton | EQUIPMENT | | Full Funding | OZ: Y | | | with the grant program and evaluation criteria. |
| | The City of Easton proposes | | | | | | The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 |
| | projects to prepare and improve | | | The applicant | | | (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), |
| | safety for first responders, | | | states that its | | | Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), |
| | communities, and the environment | | | proposal will be | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| | by providing the City of Easton | | | conducted in rural | | | pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 |
| | Fire Department with the necessary | | | communities and | | | (providing potential for technology transfer). |
| | tools to help quickly monitor and | | | in Qualified | | | The application is recommended for full |
| | assess pipeline emergencies and be | | | Opportunity | | | funding. |
| | able to summon additional | | | Zones. | | | |
| | resources to mitigate the effects. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Association | TRAIN SURVEYORS, | TX | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----|--------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| of | ENGINEERS, AND PIPELINE | | Full Funding | OZ: Y | | | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| Professional | OWNERS/OPERATORS ON | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| Pipeline | ASPECTS OF PIPELINE | | | The applicant | | | 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 |
| Surveyors | SURVEY | | | states that its | | | (improving public awareness and damage |
| (APPS) | APPS proposes a nationwide | | | proposed | | | prevention), and Criterion 7 (providing potential |
| | training and education platform | | | training and | | | for learning). The application is recommended |
| | expanding on surveyor education | | | education | | | for full funding. |
| | curriculum via on-line and in- | | | efforts will be | | | |
| | person as COVID-19 conditions | | | conducted in | | | |
| | allow, maintaining current and | | | rural | | | |
| | developing new partnerships with | | | communities | | | |
| | states' land surveyor societies, and | | | and in Qualified | | | |
| | engagement with pipeline owners | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | and operators to ensure that the | | | Zones. | | | |
| | curriculum is effective. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Cit | ty of | GIS MAPPING AND GPS | UT | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$99,749 | \$99,749 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
|-----|--------|-------------------------------------|----|--------------|------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Lev | wiston | EQUIPMENT | | Full Funding | OZ: N | | | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| | | The applicant proposes to identify | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| | | and evaluate safety risks; develop | | | Lewiston, UT is a | | | 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 |
| | | and enforce standards for design, | | | rural area per U.S. | | | (improving public awareness and pipeline |
| | | construction, operations and | | | Census Bureau data. | | | emergency response) and Criterion 7 (providing |
| | | maintenance near the high-pressure | | | The applicant is not | | | potential for learning). The application is |
| | | gas pipeline; educate staff and the | | | located in a Qualified | | | recommended for full funding. |
| | | public; review emergency response | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | | plans with the city Emergency Fire | | | and does not seek or | | | |
| | | and EMS personnel, and map and | | | propose Opportunity | | | |
| | | publicize evacuation zones and | | | Zone funding in its | | | |
| | | routes. | | | proposal. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| West Central Texas Council of Governments (WCTCOG) | PIPELINE SAFETY PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM The WCTCOG proposes a project focused on increasing public awareness of hazardous threats associated with pipelines and other underground utilities. The program will also promote the Texas811 One Call procedure with the public, agricultural workers, construction workers, elected officials, emergency personnel and pipeline companies and affiliates. Staff will distribute educational material on pipeline safety throughout the region at public/community events and pipeline safety awareness events or trainings, and promote pipeline safety awareness through multiple advertising platforms, including radio, public service announcements, billboard advertisements and news stations. | TX | Acceptable Partial Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and education projects will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone and does not seek or propose Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$100,000 | \$84,288 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). However, the applicant provided vague budget narratives for travel costs for two staff members, "other expenses," and supplies. A 50% (\$15,712) reduction in funding is recommended for travel costs for only one staff member, "other expenses", and supplies. The application is recommended for partial funding. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission (PBRPC) | PIPELINE HAZARDS AWARENESS PROJECT PBRPC proposes to implement a pipeline hazards awareness project and will engage with local public officials and administrators who establish and enforce safety codes in each county, partner with economic development professionals who interact with the business and residential construction sector, and collaborate with oil and gas professional organizations on pipeline hazards throughout the region. Emphasis will be placed on ensuring training | TX | Acceptable Partial Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed outreach and engagement efforts will be conducted in rural communities. The applicant does not seek or propose Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$100,000 | \$83,091 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), and Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response). The budget includes other costs (\$16,909) but the budget narrative was vague and did not demonstrate a clear nexus to pipeline safety for this cost. It is recommended not to fund this cost. The application is recommended for partial funding. |

| City of Bethlehem | for emergency call centers and first responders, maintaining and coordinating the region's information base of pipeline safety resources, and public awareness aimed at citizens, including special target groups such as students and new workforce entrants currently enrolled in vocational and technical training programs, the agriculture community, non-English speaking populations, as well as the new residents moving to the region who are not familiar with the pipeline infrastructure throughout the region. PURCHASE MONITORING / METERING EQUIPMENT The applicant proposes to purchase equipment designed to help better prepare not only response teams, but also cities awareness and | PA | Acceptable Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposed training | \$78,000 | \$78,000 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), and Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 1 1 1 1 1 | | | proposed training and education efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response. The application is recommended for full funding. |

| County of | PURCHASE MONITORING / | PA | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$99,000 | \$52,343 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Northampton | METERING EQUIPMENT, | | Partial Funding | OZ: N | | | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| | EMERGENCY RESPONSE | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| | PROTECTIVE GEAR, AND | | | County of | | | 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), |
| | HOST TRAINING | | | Northampton, PA | | | Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), |
| | The County of Northampton | | | is a rural area per | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| | proposed projects to help prepare | | | U.S. Census | | | pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 |
| | agency and "all hazards" approach, | | | Bureau data. The | | | (providing potential for technology transfer). |
| | educate and improve the safety to | | | applicant is not | | | However, the applicant stated that the pipeline |
| | first responders, communities and | | | located in a | | | equipment proposed are multi-faceted and may |
| | the environment by providing all | | | Qualified | | | be utilized for other hazardous events. The |
| | agencies within Northampton | | | Opportunity Zone | | | recommendation is to fund for purchase of two |
| | County the necessary tools to help | | | and does not seek | | | gas meters (\$29,988.30), training (\$5,950), and |
| | with response to, monitoring of | | | or propose | | | three Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus |
| | and recovery from future pipeline | | | Opportunity Zone | | | (\$16,405) to help the applicant with preparation |
| | incidents. These projects and items | | | funding in its | | | for future pipeline incidents. The application is |
| | purchased for pipeline incidents | | | proposal. | | | recommended for partial funding. |
| | are multi-faceted and may be | | | | | | |
| | utilized during other hazardous | | | | | | |
| | material type events. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Morehead | TRAINING AND EQUIPMENT | KY | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
| Utility Plant | FOR EMERGENCY | KY | Acceptable Partial Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: N | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC | KY | | OZ: N | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| Utility Plant | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS | KY | | OZ: N The applicant | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators and the Morehead Volunteer Fire | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural communities and | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness compliance activities, which are a prohibited use |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators and the Morehead Volunteer Fire Department first responders, | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funding. The application is |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators and the Morehead Volunteer Fire Department first responders, provide training for operators and | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness compliance activities, which are a prohibited use |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators and the Morehead Volunteer Fire Department first responders, provide training for operators and emergency responders in the use of | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. The | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funding. The application is |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators and the Morehead Volunteer Fire Department first responders, provide training for operators and emergency responders in the use of the updated equipment via a | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. The applicant is not | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funding. The application is |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators and the Morehead Volunteer Fire Department first responders, provide training for operators and emergency responders in the use of the updated equipment via a combination of table top and field | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. The applicant is not located in a | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funding. The application is |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators and the Morehead Volunteer Fire Department first responders, provide training for operators and emergency responders in the use of the updated equipment via a combination of table top and field exercises, and increase awareness | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. The applicant is not located in a Qualified | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funding. The application is |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators and the Morehead Volunteer Fire Department first responders, provide training for operators and emergency responders in the use of the updated equipment via a combination of table top and field exercises, and increase awareness of the "KY 811 – Call Before You | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funding. The application is |
| Utility Plant Board | FOR EMERGENCY RESPONDERS, PUBLIC AWARENESS The MUPB (a non-profit pipeline municipal operator) proposes to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing leak detection equipment for MUPB operators and the Morehead Volunteer Fire Department first responders, provide training for operators and emergency responders in the use of the updated equipment via a combination of table top and field exercises, and increase awareness | KY | | OZ: N The applicant states that its proposed public awareness and training efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. The applicant is not located in a Qualified | \$61,130 | \$51,130 | aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). However, the applicant's budget includes costs (\$10,000) that are related to public awareness compliance activities, which are a prohibited use of TAG funding. The application is |

| | Opportunity Zone funding in its |
|--|---------------------------------|
| | proposal. |

| City of Cape | OUTREACH, EMERGENCY | FL | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$50,000 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Coral | REPONSE EQUIPMENT AND | | Partial Funding | OZ: N | | | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| | TRAINING | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| | The City of Cape Coral proposes | | | Cape Coral, FL is | | | 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), |
| | projects for public outreach | | | a rural area per | | | Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), |
| | advising the public of steps being | | | U.S. Census | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| | taken to enhance pipeline safety by | | | Bureau data. The | | | pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 |
| | emergency responders; purchase of | | | applicant is not | | | (providing potential for technology transfer). |
| | four gas detectors; and natural gas | | | located in a | | | However, the applicant listed questionable cost |
| | leak training prop and hands-on | | | Qualified | | | breakdown of projects (props, hands-on CCFD |
| | Cape Coral Fire Department | | | Opportunity Zone | | | personnel training, four-gas detectors, and |
| | (CCFD) personnel training. | | | and does not seek | | | outreach without providing quotes and more |
| | | | | or propose | | | detail. A 50 percent reduction in funding is |
| | | | | Opportunity Zone | | | recommended to assist the applicant prepare for |
| | | | | funding in its | | | future pipeline incidents. The application is |
| | | | | proposal. | | | recommended for partial funding. |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of Live | GIS MAPPING, EQUIPMENT | FL | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$90,742 | \$23,492 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|----|-----------------|---------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Oak | The City of Live Oak proposes to | | Partial Funding | OZ: N | | | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| | develop a GIS to perform | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| | improved risk assessment and asset | | | Live Oak, Florida | | | 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), |
| | management practices of their | | | is a rural area per | | | Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), |
| | natural gas, water and sewer | | | U.S. Census | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| | system. The GIS database will | | | Bureau data. The | | | pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 |
| | reduce pipeline damages by | | | applicant is not | | | (providing potential for technology transfer). |
| | providing more accurate locates, | | | located in a | | | However, the applicant is a municipal pipeline |
| | improve maintenance practices, | | | Qualified | | | operator and proposes some activities associated |
| | increase emergency response | | | Opportunity Zone | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | capabilities for first responders and | | | and does not seek | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. Section D.5 of |
| | improve employee knowledge of | | | or propose | | | the NOFO states, "While operators of non-profit |
| | the City's utilities. The GIS will | | | Opportunity Zone | | | municipal pipeline systems are considered |
| | also aid in risk-informed land use | | | funding in its | | | communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the |
| | planning, community awareness, | | | proposal. | | | funds may not be used for activities associated |
| | and public education. The City will | | | | | | with regulatory compliance or typical operations |
| | also use the funding to purchase | | | | | | and maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | GPS, gas detectors, ground | | | | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | penetrating radar to aid in | | | | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | underground infrastructure | | | | | | Funding for equipment (\$23,942) to aid in |
| | detection, and will provide training | | | | | | pipeline related detection is only recommended. |
| | to employees on best practices in | | | | | | The application is recommended for partial |
| | fully utilizing GIS for increased | | | | | | funding. |
| | safety and awareness. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| natural gas system and its proximity to other utilities and infrastructure; purchase hardware and software to allow City staff and emergency personnel real-time access to utility and pipeline information in the field; train on the use of the GIS software; purchase natural gas leak detection equipment to aid in system evaluation; and public education/community awareness education/community awareness campaign to notify and inform residents of the "811 Call Before You Dig" Program and the need for pipeline safety. is a rural area, per U.S. Census Bureau data. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Qualified Opportunity Zone and does not seek or propose communities, and are eligible for a TA funds may not be used for activities and maintenance of pipeline facilities. residents of the "811 Call Before You Dig" Program and the need for pipeline safety. is a rural area, per U.S. Census Bureau data. The applicant is However, the applicant is a municipal operator and proposes some activities with regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. Section the NOFO states, "While operators of municipal pipeline systems are conside communities, and are eligible for a TA funds may not be used for activities as with regulatory compliance or typical and maintenance of pipeline facilities. restriction includes compliance with p safety integrity management regulation Funding for equipment (\$\$20,900\$) to ai pipeline leak detection is only recomm | nipley A T b n p ii a a a ii tt p e e e c r y | natural gas system and its proximity to other utilities and infrastructure; purchase hardware and software to allow City staff and emergency personnel real-time access to utility and pipeline information in the field; train on the use of the GIS software; purchase natural gas leak detection equipment to aid in system evaluation; and public education/community awareness campaign to notify and inform residents of the "811 Call Before You Dig" Program and the need | FL Acceptable Partial Funding | Partial OZ: N Supposes to Funding Chipley, FL its its its its a rural area, per U.S. Census Bureau data. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone and does not seek or propose Opportunity Wareness inform all Before | 666,769 | \$20,900 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterio 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness and emergency response), and Criterion 7 (provid potential for learning and technology transfer). However, the applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and proposes some activities associate with regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While operators of non-promunicipal pipeline systems are considered communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used for activities associated with regulatory compliance or typical operation and maintenance of pipeline facilities." This restriction includes compliance with pipeline safety integrity management regulations. Funding for equipment (\$20,900) to aid in pipeline leak detection is only recommended. The application is recommended for partial funding. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| Southern | EDUCATE FIRST | FL | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$17,872 | \$17,872 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----|--------------|--------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Manatee Fire | RESPONDERS AND | | Full Funding | OZ: N | | | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| Rescue | SUPPLIES/EQUIPMENT TO | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| District | MITIGATE PIPELINE LEAKS | | | The applicant | | | 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), |
| | The Southern Manatee Fire Rescue | | | states that its | | | Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), and |
| | District proposes a two-part project | | | proposed education | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| | composed of educating first | | | will be conducted | | | pipeline emergency response. The application is |
| | responders, and purchasing | | | in rural | | | recommended for full funding. |
| | technical equipment (detectors) | | | communities and in | | | |
| | and supplies to mitigate pipeline | | | Qualified | | | |
| | leaks. | | | Opportunity Zones. | | | |
| | | | | The applicant is | | | |
| | | | | not located in a | | | |
| | | | | Qualified | | | |
| | | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | | | | and does not seek | | | |
| | | | | or propose | | | |
| | | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | | | | funding in its | | | |
| | | | | proposal. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, | FL | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$93,701 | \$14,068 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|----|------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Crescent City | AND OUTREACH | | Partial | OZ: Y | | | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| | Crescent City proposes to build a | | Funding | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| | GIS database of the City's natural | | | Crescent City, FL is | | | 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 |
| | gas system and its proximity to | | | a rural area per U.S. | | | (improving pipeline public awareness and |
| | other utilities and infrastructure; | | | Census Bureau data. | | | emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing |
| | purchase hardware and software to | | | Augusta is also | | | potential for learning and technology transfer). |
| | allow city staff and emergency | | | located in a | | | However, the applicant is a municipal pipeline |
| | personnel real-time access to utility | | | Qualified | | | operator and proposes some activities associated |
| | and pipeline information in the | | | Opportunity Zone | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | field; train on the use of the GIS | | | per the U.S. | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. Section D.5 of |
| | software; purchase natural gas leak | | | Treasury, | | | the NOFO states, "While operators of non-profit |
| | detection equipment to aid in | | | Community | | | municipal pipeline systems are considered |
| | system evaluation; and public | | | Development | | | communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the |
| | education/community awareness | | | Financial | | | funds may not be used for activities associated |
| | campaign to notify and inform | | | Institutions Fund | | | with regulatory compliance or typical operations |
| | residents of the "811 Call Before | | | data. | | | and maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | You Dig" program and the need | | | | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | for pipeline safety. | | | | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | | | | | | | Funding for equipment (\$14,068) to aid in |
| | | | | | | | pipeline leak detection is only recommended. |
| | | | | | | | The application is recommended for partial |
| | | | | | | | funding. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| City of Williston | GIS MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, AND OUTREACH The City of Williston proposes to build a GIS database of the City's natural gas system and its proximity to other utilities and infrastructure; purchase hardware and software to allow city staff and emergency personnel real-time access to utility and pipeline information in the field; train on the use of the GIS software; purchase natural gas leak detection equipment to aid in system evaluation; and public education / community awareness campaign to notify and inform residents of the "811 Call Before You Dig" program and the need for pipeline safety. | FL | Acceptable Partial Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y Williston, FL is a rural area per U.S. Census Bureau data. Williston is also located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone per the U.S. Treasury, Community Development Financial Institutions Fund data. | \$60,637 | \$9,164 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness and emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning and technology transfer). However, the applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and proposes some activities associated with regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While operators of non-profit municipal pipeline systems are considered communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used for activities associated with regulatory compliance or typical operations and maintenance of pipeline facilities." This restriction includes compliance with pipeline safety integrity management regulations. Funding for equipment (\$9,164) to aid in pipeline leak detection is only recommended. The application is recommended for partial funding. |
| | | | | | \$2,535,039 | \$2,151,536 | |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| City of Perry | PUBLIC AWARENESS AND DAMAGE PREVENTION PROGRAM The City of Perry proposes to improve its Public Awareness and Damage Prevention program to educate and raise awareness of its affected public, excavators, and emergency response agencies of the presence of buried natural gas pipelines. The current program in the City of Perry has been used for several years and the effectiveness is declining over time. | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: N Perry, Florida is a rural area per U.S. Census Bureau data. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone and does not seek or propose Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$9,000 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and proposes activities associated with regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While operators of non-profit municipal pipeline systems are considered communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used for activities associated with regulatory compliance or typical operations and maintenance of pipeline facilities." This restriction includes compliance with pipeline safety integrity management regulations. |

| City of | GIS MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, | FL | Not | ROUTES: Y | \$75,775 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline |
|----------|----------------------------------------|----|------------|--------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| DeFuniak | AND OUTREACH | | Acceptable | OZ: Y | | | operator and proposes activities associated |
| Springs | The City of DeFuniak Springs | | | | | | with regulatory compliance, which is a |
| | proposes to build a GIS database of | | | DeFuniak, | | | prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | the City's natural gas system and its | | | Florida is a rural | | | |
| | proximity to other utilities and | | | area per U.S. | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | infrastructure; purchase hardware | | | Census Bureau | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | and software to allow City staff and | | | data. DeFuniak | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | emergency personnel real-time | | | is in a Qualified | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | access to utility and pipeline | | | Opportunity | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | information in the field; train on the | | | Zone per the | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | use of the GIS software and public | | | U.S. Treasury, | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | education / community awareness | | | Community | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | campaign to notify and inform | | | Development | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | residents of the "811 Call Before | | | Financial | | | |
| | You Dig" Program and the need for | | | Institutions Fund | | | |
| | pipeline safety. | | | data. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING AND OUTREACH | FL | Not | ROUTES: Y | \$65,127 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------|----|------------|---------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Blountstown | The City of Blountstown proposes | | Acceptable | OZ: Y | | | and proposes activities associated with |
| | projects to: build a Geographic | | | Blountstown, | | | regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited |
| | Information System (GIS) database of | | | Florida is a rural | | | use of TAG funding. |
| | City utilities and their proximity to each | | | area, per U.S. | | | |
| | other and other infrastructure; conduct a | | | Census Bureau | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| | GIS-based pipeline safety risk | | | data. | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | assessment; purchase the hardware and | | | Blountstown is in a | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | software to allow City staff and | | | Qualified | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used |
| | emergency personnel real-time access to | | | Opportunity Zone, | | | for activities associated with regulatory |
| | utility and pipeline information in the | | | per U.S. Treasury, | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | field; receive training regarding the use of | | | Community | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | the GIS software; and improve the public | | | Development | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | education and community awareness | | | Financial | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | campaign to notify and inform residents, | | | Institutions data. | | | |
| | businesses, and visitors of pipeline safety | | | | | | |
| | measures and the "811 Call Before You | | | | | | |
| | Dig" program. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of Lake | PUBLIC EDUCATION | FL | Not | ROUTES: Y | \$12,500 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and |
|--------------|----------------------------|----|------------|-------------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|
| City | AND COMMUNITY | | Acceptable | OZ: Y | | | proposes activities associated with regulatory |
| | OUTREACH | | | Lake City, Florida is a | | | compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | The applicant proposes to | | | rural area, per U.S. | | | |
| | improve and expand the | | | Census Bureau | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While operators of |
| | City's public education | | | data. | | | non-profit municipal pipeline systems are considered |
| | and community awareness | | | Lake City is in a | | | communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may |
| | campaign to notify and | | | Qualified Opportunity | | | not be used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | inform residents, | | | Zone, per U.S. | | | compliance or typical operations and maintenance of |
| | businesses, and excavators | | | Treasury, Community | | | pipeline facilities." This restriction includes compliance |
| | of natural gas pipeline | | | Development | | | with pipeline safety integrity management regulations. |
| | safety measures and the | | | Financial | | | |
| | "811 Call Before You | | | Institutions data. | | | |
| | Dig" program. | | | | | | |

| Town | GIS MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, | FL | Not | ROUTES: Y | \$62,100 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and |
|--------|--------------------------------------|----|------------|---------------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|
| of Jay | AND OUTREACH | | Acceptable | OZ: Y | | | proposes activities associated with regulatory |
| | The Town of Jay proposes to build | | | Jay, FL is a rural area | | | compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | a GIS database of the City's | | | per U.S. Census Bureau | | | |
| | natural gas system and its | | | data. Jay is also located | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While operators of |
| | proximity to other utilities and | | | in a Qualified | | | non-profit municipal pipeline systems are considered |
| | infrastructure; purchase hardware | | | Opportunity Zone per | | | communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may |
| | and software to allow city staff and | | | U.S. Treasury, | | | not be used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | emergency personnel real-time | | | Community | | | compliance or typical operations and maintenance of |
| | access to utility and pipeline | | | Development Financial | | | pipeline facilities." This restriction includes compliance |
| | information in the field; train on | | | Institutions Fund data. | | | with pipeline safety integrity management regulations. |
| | the use of the GIS software; | | | | | | |
| | purchase natural gas leak detection | | | | | | |
| | equipment to aid in system | | | | | | |
| | evaluation; and public | | | | | | |
| | education/community awareness | | | | | | |
| | campaign to notify and inform | | | | | | |
| | residents of the "811 Call Before | | | | | | |
| | You Dig" program and the need | | | | | | |
| | for pipeline safety. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING, | FL | Not | ROUTES: Y | \$36,100 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and |
|---------|-----------------------------------|----|------------|--------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Starke | EQUIPMENT, AND | | Acceptable | OZ: Y | | | proposes activities associated with regulatory |
| | OUTREACH | | | Starke, FL is a | | | compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| | The applicant proposes to | | | rural area, per | | | |
| | purchase hardware and software | | | U.S. Census | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While operators of |
| | to allow City staff and | | | Bureau data. | | | non-profit municipal pipeline systems are considered |
| | emergency personnel real-time | | | Starke is in a | | | communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may |
| | access to natural gas pipeline | | | Qualified | | | not be used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | information in the field; build a | | | Opportunity | | | compliance or typical operations and maintenance of |
| | GIS database of the City's | | | Zone, per U.S. | | | pipeline facilities." This restriction includes compliance |
| | natural gas system and its | | | Treasury, | | | with pipeline safety integrity management regulations. |
| | proximity to other utilities and | | | Community | | | |
| | infrastructure; conduct a GIS- | | | Development | | | |
| | based pipeline safety risk | | | Financial | | | |
| | assessment; receive training | | | Institutions data. | | | |
| | regarding the use of the GIS | | | | | | |
| | software; and improve the | | | | | | |
| | public education and community | | | | | | |
| | awareness campaign to notify | | | | | | |
| | and inform residents of the "811 | | | | | | |
| | Call Before You Dig" program | | | | | | |
| | and the need for natural gas | | | | | | |
| | pipeline safety. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Water | GIS SOFTWARE | AL | Not | ROUTES: N | \$69,940 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----|------------|-----------------------|----------|-----|----------------------------------------------------|
| Works & | The applicant proposes to | | Acceptable | OZ: N | | | and proposes activities associated with regulatory |
| Gas | utilize the latest software and | | | | | | compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG |
| Board of | hardware technologies to | | | The applicant is in a | | | funding. |
| the City | modernize the management of | | | rural area or | | | |
| of | the assets in the utility system, | | | Qualified | | | Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While |
| Cordova | as well as make | | | Opportunity Zone. | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | comprehensive, data-driven | | | The applicant does | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | decisions on system growth | | | not seek or propose | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used for |
| | and customer safety. The | | | any ROUTES or | | | activities associated with regulatory |
| | implementation of this system | | | Opportunity Zone | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | will lead to greater | | | funding in its | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | efficiencies in the processes | | | proposal. | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | supporting regulatory | | | | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | compliance and system | | | | | | |
| | maintenance. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| MISS | PHONE APPLICATION | MI | Not | ROUTES: Y | \$94,900 | \$0 | The application does not align with the |
|---------|--------------------------------------|----|------------|--------------------------|----------|-----|----------------------------------------------|
| DIG | MISS DIG 811 proposes to | | Acceptable | OZ: Y | | | grant program and evaluation criteria. The |
| System, | contract out the development of a | | | The applicant is in a | | | proposed project demonstrates no clear |
| Inc. | phone application that will notify | | | rural area or Qualified | | | nexus to pipeline safety and focuses on the |
| | excavators and other users when | | | Opportunity Zone. The | | | one-call center. The applicant was rated Not |
| | they are within the vicinity of | | | applicant does seek or | | | Acceptable following the Technical |
| | pipelines and high-pressure gas | | | propose any ROUTES | | | Evaluation Panel discussion because the |
| | lines by using geofencing and | | | or Opportunity Zone | | | proposal did not advance pipeline safety and |
| | location services. This can | | | funding in its proposal. | | | would only benefit the one-call center. |
| | eventually be extended out to | | | | | | |
| | include other facility types such as | | | | | | |
| | electric, water/sewer, and | | | | | | |
| | communications. This project will | | | | | | |
| | encompass all the state of | | | | | | |
| | Michigan and with it, all the | | | | | | |
| | Opportunity Zones. This effort will | | | | | | |
| | help to address the challenges | | | | | | |
| | facing the rural transportation | | | | | | |
| | networks by alerting excavators to | | | | | | |
| | the existence of these networks | | | | | | |
| | within their designated work areas. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Underground | INTERGRATE | NY | Not | ROUTES: N | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant |
|---------------|----------------------------|----|------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----|--------------------------------------------------|
| Facilities | BUSINESS | | Acceptable | OZ: N | | | program and evaluation criteria. The applicant's |
| Protective | INTELLIGENCE (BI) | | | The applicant is not | | | budget narrative was not detailed and clear. The |
| Organizations | SOFTWARE TO | | | located in a rural area or | | | proposed project demonstrates no clear nexus to |
| Inc./Dig | BUILD OUT | | | Qualified Opportunity | | | pipeline safety and focuses on the one-call |
| Safely NY | REPORTS | | | Zone. The applicant does | | | center. |
| Inc. | Dig Safely New York | | | seek or propose any | | | |
| | proposes to contract a | | | ROUTES or Opportunity | | | |
| | vendor software service | | | Zone funding in its | | | |
| | to produce reports for its | | | proposal. | | | |
| | member utilities to have | | | | | | |
| | access to crucial | | | | | | |
| | information collected and | | | | | | |
| | stored by the one-call | | | | | | |
| | center. The proposed | | | | | | |
| | integration of BI software | | | | | | |
| | will allow Dig Safely | | | | | | |
| | New York to build out | | | | | | |
| | valuable and useful tools | | | | | | |
| | for utility operators. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Underground | DEVELOP A TWO-WAY | CA | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application is unacceptable and does not align |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Service Alert | ELECTRONIC POSITIVE | | | OZ: Y | | | with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The |
| of North CA | RESPONSE SYSTEM | | | | | | proposed project focuses on all underground utility |
| and NV | USA North 811 proposes to direct | | | The applicant | | | damage prevention and does not demonstrate a clear |
| | a software vendor to build a two- | | | states that its | | | nexus to or focus on pipeline safety. The application |
| | way electronic positive response | | | proposal will be | | | is not recommended for funding. |
| | system for use by excavators, | | | conducted in | | | |
| | facility operators, and regulators in | | | rural | | | |
| | Nevada. The purpose of the system | | | communities and | | | |
| | is to allow excavators and | | | in Qualified | | | |
| | operators to share supplementary | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | information with each other in | | | Zones. | | | |
| | relation to a specific ticket, | | | | | | |
| | enhancing communication between | | | | | | |
| | the parties to reduce the risk of | | | | | | |
| | damage occurring during | | | | | | |
| | excavation. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | INTERGRATE TWO DATA | IL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N | \$99,090 | \$0 | The application is unacceptable and does |
|---------|----------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------------------|----------|-----|---------------------------------------------|
| Chicago | SYSTEMS | | | OZ: N | | | not align with the grant program and |
| | The City of Chicago proposes to | | | | | | evaluation criteria. The proposed project |
| | eliminate the need for manual | | | The applicant is not located | | | focuses on all underground utility damage |
| | processes that are prone to failure | | | in a rural area or Qualified | | | prevention and does not demonstrate a |
| | by intergrading two data systems. | | | Opportunity Zone. The | | | clear nexus to or focus on pipeline safety. |
| | The proposed project will foster | | | applicant does not seek or | | | The application is not recommended for |
| | open communication among | | | propose any ROUTES or | | | funding. |
| | damage prevention stakeholders | | | Opportunity Zone funding | | | |
| | (utility owners and excavators) and | | | in its proposal. | | | |
| | residents of Chicago. The project | | | | | | |
| | will also provide field crews access | | | | | | |
| | to technology that will encourage | | | | | | |
| | safe excavation practices. Field | | | | | | |
| | crews will be able to review atlas | | | | | | |
| | pages and approved drawings to | | | | | | |
| | determine where to hand dig and, | | | | | | |
| | thus, safely identify utilities at the | | | | | | |
| | work site. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Chatham | UNMANNED AERIAL | VA | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$25,000 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant program |
|------------|----------------------------|----|----------------|------------------------|----------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Fire | VEHICLE (DRONE) | | | OZ: N | | | and evaluation criteria. The proposed project |
| Department | FOR EMERGENCY | | | Chatham, Virginia, is | | | demonstrates no clear nexus to pipeline safety and |
| | RESPONSE | | | a rural area per U.S. | | | focuses on general emergency response operations. |
| | The Chatham Fire | | | Census Bureau data. | | | |
| | Department proposes to | | | The applicant is not | | | |
| | purchase a high quality, | | | located in a Qualified | | | |
| | commercial built unmanned | | | Opportunity Zone and | | | |
| | aerial vehicle (drone) for | | | does not seek or | | | |
| | emergency response during | | | propose Opportunity | | | |
| | a pipeline incident. | | | Zone funding in its | | | |
| | | | | proposal. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

FY 2021 Applications Not Recommended for Award

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Miss Utility of West Virginia | AWARENESS Miss Utility of West Virginia proposes to enhance its statistical reports to provide more data related to its activities, focusing in rural areas, opportunity zones, and challenges of pipeline safety awareness. The applicant will use the data and information collected to implement the policy and procedures of the West Virginia Damage Prevention Board. The data and information collected will also be used to identify weaknesses in pipeline safety awareness for the rural and opportunity areas of the State. | WV | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and priority consideration will be given to Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application does not align with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project goal, objective, and milestones are not clearly defined and lacks detail. The proposed project focuses on a one call center system upgrade to enhance all underground utility damage prevention and does not demonstrate a clear nexus to, or focus on, pipeline safety. |
| | | | | | \$ 849,532 | \$0 | |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | Opportunity | Requested | Award | |
| | | | | Zone | | | |
| Pipeline Safety Trust (PST) Non-Profit 501c3 | PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT The PST proposes to strengthen the depth and quality of public participation in efforts to increase pipeline safety by targeting multiple stakeholder groups that are essential for better public engagement. The PST will: 1. Educate on pipeline safety to facilitate, productive engagement. 2. Reduce barriers to allow for more public participation and engagement. 3. Offer venues to encourage ongoing engagement. | WA | Highly Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: N OZ: N The applicant is not located in a rural area or Opportunity Zone. The applicant does not seek or propose any ROUTES or Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 | PST is the sole applicant for the competitive TAG award mandated in the PIPES Act of 2020, Division R, Title I, Section 101 (e) (2), which states " each fiscal year, the Secretary shall award \$1,000,000 to an eligible applicant through a competitive selection process for the purpose of improving the quality of technical assistance provided to communities or individuals under this section." PST meets the eligibility set forth in the PIPES Act of 2020, Division R, Title I, Section 101 (e) (3) (d) (1). The application is Highly Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). |

| Center for Rural Development Inc. Non-Profit 501c3 | TRAINING COURSES AND PUBLIC AWARENESS The applicant proposes to teach an eight-hour "Pipeline Security for Rural Communities" course to 11 different rural communities about pipeline safety and security, mitigation, response, and recovery to minimize the impact on people and the environment due to a pipeline incident. | KY | Highly Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Highly Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). The application is recommended for full funding. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |

| Damage | CREATE E-LEARNING | TX | Highly Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Highly Recommended |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|
| Prevention | MODULES, TRAINING, AND | | Full Funding | OZ: Y | | | and aligns well with the grant program |
| Council of | OUTREACH | | | | | | and evaluation criteria. The project |
| Texas (DPC) | The DPC proposes projects to | | | The applicant | | | scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing |
| Non-Profit 501c3 | produce and enhance free | | | states that its | | | specific pipeline safety risks), criterion 3 |
| | interactive online e-learning for | | | proposal will be | | | (fostering open communication), |
| | marine stakeholders that will | | | conducted in | | | criterion 4 (improving public awareness |
| | advance pipeline safety. This is | | | rural | | | and damage prevention), and criterion 7 |
| | also a recommendation from | | | communities | | | (providing potential for learning). The |
| | NTSB as part of their investigation | | | and in Qualified | | | application is recommended for full |
| | of the 2018 pipeline accident in | | | Opportunity | | | funding. |
| | Corpus Christi (Recommendation | | | Zones. | | | |
| | M-21-22): Modify the existing | | | | | | |
| | "Working Safely Near Underwater | | | | | | |
| | Pipelines" online safety training to | | | | | | |
| | incorporate lessons learned from | | | | | | |
| | this accident. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Florida Gas | GAS DETECTION | FL | Highly Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$36,128 | \$36,128 | The application is Highly Recommended |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----|--------------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------------------------|
| Utility (FGU) | EQUIPMENT, TRAINING, | | Full Funding | OZ: Y | | | and aligns well with the grant program |
| Non-Profit 501c3 | AND PUBLIC OUTREACH | | | | | | and evaluation criteria. The project |
| | The FGU proposes projects to | | | The applicant | | | scope aligns with criterion 2 (addressing |
| | develop its first youth program, | | | states that its | | | specific pipeline safety risks), criterion 3 |
| | "the Good with Gas Program," to | | | proposal will be | | | (fostering open communication), |
| | provide vital natural gas safety | | | conducted in | | | criterion 4 (improving public awareness |
| | education materials to public | | | rural | | | and damage prevention), and criterion 7 |
| | schools. These materials will | | | communities | | | (providing potential for learning). The |
| | educate 3rd and 4th-grade students | | | and in Qualified | | | application is recommended for full |
| | across 18 Florida counties about: | | | Opportunity | | | funding. |
| | Natural gas - what it is, where it | | | Zones. | | | |
| | comes from? | | | | | | |
| | • Florida Sunshine 811 - why it | | | | | | |
| | exists, why it is important? | | | | | | |
| | How a natural gas leak is | | | | | | |
| | detected and handled. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Danielle | FIRST RESPONDER | NM | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Recommended and |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------|
| Dawn | OUTREACH PROJECT IN | | Full Funding | OZ: Y | | | aligns with the grant program and |
| Smalley | NEW MEXICO | | | | | | evaluation criteria. The project scope |
| Foundation, | DDSF proposes to develop a New | | | The applicant | | | aligns with Criterion 3 (fostering open |
| Inc. (DDSF) | Mexico state online pipeline safety | | | states that its | | | communication), Criterion 4 (improving |
| Non-Profit 501c3 | portal that will allow first | | | proposal will be | | | public awareness and pipeline |
| | responders to access 33 county | | | conducted in | | | emergency response), and Criterion 7 |
| | webpages containing multi-media | | | rural | | | (providing potential for technology |
| | state and county-specific content | | | communities | | | transfer). The application is |
| | that can be used to facilitate | | | and in Qualified | | | recommended for full funding. |
| | internal group and individual | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | instruction, to enhance local | | | Zones. | | | |
| | hazard-specific preplans and | | | | | | |
| | encourage the shared responsibility | | | | | | |
| | of excavation damage prevention | | | | | | |
| | to underground facilities. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Permian | PIPELINE HAZARDS | TX | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Recommended and aligns |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----|--------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Basin | AWARENESS PROJECT | | Full Funding | OZ: N | . , | , | with the grant program and evaluation criteria. |
| Regional | PBRPC proposes to implement a | | C | | | | The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 |
| Planning | pipeline hazards awareness project | | | The applicant | | | (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), |
| Commission | engaging with local public officials | | | states that its | | | Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), and |
| (PBRPC) | and administrators who establish | | | proposed outreach | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| Regional | and enforce safety codes in each | | | and engagement | | | pipeline emergency response). The application |
| Organization | county in the Region; partner with | | | efforts will be | | | is recommended for full funding. |
| | economic development | | | conducted in rural | | | |
| | professionals who interact with the | | | communities. | | | |
| | business and residential | | | The applicant | | | |
| | construction sector; and | | | does not seek or | | | |
| | collaborate with oil and gas | | | propose | | | |
| | professional organizations on | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | pipeline hazards throughout the | | | funding in its | | | |
| | region. The project will focus on | | | proposal. | | | |
| | maintaining the region's pipeline | | | | | | |
| | safety resources to promote public | | | | | | |
| | awareness aimed at special target | | | | | | |
| | groups such as students, new | | | | | | |
| | workforce entrants currently | | | | | | |
| | enrolled in vocational and | | | | | | |
| | technical training programs, the | | | | | | |
| | agricultural community, non- | | | | | | |
| | English speaking populations, and | | | | | | |
| | new residents in the Region. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| East Central | GAS DETECTION | FL | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Recommended and aligns with |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----|--------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Florida | EQUIPMENT, TRAINING, | | Full Funding | OZ: N | | | the grant program and evaluation criteria. The |
| Regional | AND PUBLIC OUTREACH | | | | | | project scope aligns with Criterion 3 (fostering open |
| Planning | The ECFRPC proposes projects for | | | The applicant | | | communication), Criterion 4 (improving public |
| Council | three collaboration and capacity | | | states that its | | | awareness and damage prevention), and Criterion 7 |
| (ECFRPC) | building meetings with | | | proposed outreach | | | (providing potential for learning). The application |
| Regional | stakeholders; continued in-person | | | and engagement | | | is recommended for full funding. |
| Organization | training between pipeline | | | efforts will be | | | |
| | associations and first responders; | | | conducted in rural | | | |
| | additional gas detection equipment | | | communities. | | | |
| | for fire departments in underserved | | | The applicant | | | |
| | communities; community | | | does not seek or | | | |
| | engagement and awareness; best | | | propose | | | |
| | practice research; and findings and | | | Opportunity Zone | | | |
| | recommendations report. | | | funding in its | | | |
| | | | | proposal. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Spring City Local Government (City) | GIS MAPPING, TRAINING, AND PUBLIC OUTREACH Spring City proposes projects to build a GIS database of city utility locations and their proximity to hazardous pipelines and training regarding the use of the GPS unit and GIS software; work with pipeline operators to determine precise high-pressure gas pipeline locations and incorporate them into GIS; conduct a GIS-based pipeline safety risk assessment, including the proximity of hazardous pipelines to existing infrastructure; identify evacuation zones and evacuation routes for the city and its recreation areas; collaborate with city officials, public works staff, city fire, recreational areas, police departments, and other emergency response personnel, and schools within Spring City to share and discuss the risk assessment findings; conduct a public information campaign to inform residents, visitors, and businesses of evacuation routes, the "811 Call Before You Dig" program, and the need for pipelines. | FL | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,943 | \$99,943 | The application is Recommended and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving pipeline public awareness and emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning and technology transfer). The application is recommended for full funding. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| West Central Texas Council of Governments (WCTCOG) Regional Organization | PIPELINE SAFETY PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM The WCTCOG proposes a project focused on increasing public awareness of hazardous threats associated with pipelines and other underground utilities. The program will also promote the Texas811 One Call procedure with the public, agricultural workers, construction workers, elected officials, emergency personnel and pipeline companies and affiliates. Pipeline safety educational | TX | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,916 | \$99,916 | The application is Recommended and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and damage prevention), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for learning). The application is recommended for full funding. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | will also promote the Texas811 One | | | communities and | | | potential for learning). The application is |
| | agricultural workers, construction | | | - | | | recommended for full funding. |
| | | | | Zones. | | | |
| | affiliates. Pipeline safety educational material will be distributed at | | | | | | |
| | public/community events; and | | | | | | |
| | pipeline safety will be promoted through multiple advertising | | | | | | |
| | platforms, including radio, public service announcements, and media | | | | | | |
| | platforms. | | | | | | |

| F | | | | T = | | + | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--------------|------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| City of Irrigon | GIS MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, | OR | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$99,468 | \$99,468 | The application is Recommended and aligns |
| Local Government (City) | AND OUTREACH | | Full Funding | OZ: Y | | | with the grant program and evaluation criteria. |
| (City) | The City of Irrigon proposes | | | | | | The project scope aligns with Criterion 3 |
| | projects to develop ways to reduce | | | The applicant | | | (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 |
| | risk by strengthening GIS | | | states that its | | | (improving public awareness and damage |
| | capabilities and education; prepare | | | proposal will be | | | prevention), and Criterion 7 (providing potential |
| | guiding documents that will help | | | conducted in | | | for learning). The application is recommended |
| | prevent incidents and the impact of | | | rural | | | for full funding. |
| | a possible pipeline failure; and | | | communities | | | |
| | advance safety, public health, and | | | and in Qualified | | | |
| | environmental sustainability. The | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | goals of these projects are to | | | Zones. | | | |
| | identify/document and | | | | | | |
| | educate/inform about potential | | | | | | |
| | risks that come with transporting | | | | | | |
| | hazardous materials through | | | | | | |
| | pipelines. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of Easton | GIS MAPPING AND GPS | PA | Recommended | ROUTES: Y | \$45,990 | \$45,990 | The application is Recommended and aligns |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----|--------------|------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Local Government | EQUIPMENT | | Full Funding | OZ: Y | | | with the grant program and evaluation criteria. |
| (City) | The City of Easton proposes | | | | | | The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 |
| | projects to purchase six battery | | | The applicant states | | | (addressing specific pipeline safety risks) and |
| | powered positive pressure | | | that its proposal will | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| | ventilation fans to disperse natural | | | be conducted in rural | | | pipeline emergency response). The application |
| | gas leaks in residential houses | | | communities and in | | | is recommended for full funding. |
| | without creating sparks. The | | | Qualified | | | |
| | equipment in this project will | | | Opportunity Zones. | | | |
| | improve the City of Easton Fire | | | | | | |
| | Department's ability to prevent | | | | | | |
| | injuries and damage to properties | | | | | | |
| | by giving them the technology to | | | | | | |
| | respond to gas leak emergencies | | | | | | |
| | effectively and efficiently. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | LEAK DETECTION EQUIPMENT | AL | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$96,625 | \$96,625 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|----|--------------|--------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Mobile | The City of Mobile proposes funding | | Full Funding | OZ: Y | | | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| Local | to support a transition from a non- | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| Government (City) | functional, manual reading of devices | | | The applicant | | | 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), |
| (City) | to networkable Long-Term Evolution | | | states that its | | | Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), and |
| | (LTE) fixed point and handheld leak | | | proposal will be | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| | detection devices. This will enable a | | | conducted in rural | | | pipeline emergency response). The application |
| | direct-to-cloud area monitoring system | | | communities and | | | is recommended for full funding. |
| | with an ability to share real-time data | | | in Qualified | | | |
| | with pipeline operators and | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | Emergency Management Agencies by | | | Zones. | | | |
| | purchasing five area monitors and five | | | | | | |
| | portable gas detectors for live | | | | | | |
| | monitoring, alarms, and data history. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-----------------|------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| City of Moab | GIS EQUIPMENT, TRAINNG, | UT | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$99,797 | \$59,320 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns |
| Local | AND PUBLIC OUTREACH | | Partial Funding | OZ: Y | | | with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The |
| Government (City) | The City of Moab proposes projects | | | | | | project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing |
| (City) | to create a comprehensive GIS- | | | The applicant | | | specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering |
| | driven risk assessment/asset | | | states that its | | | open communication), Criterion 4 (improving |
| | management system to identify | | | proposal will be | | | public awareness and pipeline emergency |
| | critical infrastructure and develop | | | conducted in | | | response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for |
| | evacuation zones to assist the City | | | rural | | | technology transfer). |
| | in planning for large community | | | communities and | | | The TEP rated the applicant's proposal equivalent |
| | events held near pipelines; | | | in Qualified | | | to two other applications (the City of Umatilla and |
| | coordinate with pipeline operators | | | Opportunity | | | the City of Smithfield). With limited funds |
| | to determine pipeline exposure and | | | Zones. | | | available for the TAG program, the application is |
| | locations to understand pipeline | | | | | | recommended for partial funding to assist the |
| | risks; improve field communication | | | | | | applicant in initiating project goals towards pipeline |
| | by electronically documenting | | | | | | safety. Funding is recommended for: Task 1 – |
| | contact information for City staff | | | | | | Project Kickoff, Planning Meeting & Project |
| | and pipeline operators; prepare an | | | | | | Administration (\$4,972); Task 2 – Purchase Two |
| | emergency evacuation zone and | | | | | | Mapping Grade GPS Hardware / Software units |
| | pipeline evacuation map; develop a | | | | | | (\$30,000); and Task 3 – GIS System Review & |
| | series of emergency scenarios to | | | | | | Asset Management (\$24,348). |
| | better understand pipeline threats. | | | | | | No funding is recommended for: Task 4 – GPS and |
| | | | | | | | GIS Training (\$9,580), Task 5 – Hazardous |
| | | | | | | | Pipeline Mapping, Study, and Analysis (\$14,980), |
| | | | | | | | Task 6 – Public Awareness Campaign (\$12,668), |
| | | | | | | | and Task 7 – Reporting to Comply with Grant |
| | | | | | | | Requirements (\$3,250). |
| | | | | | | | 1 (1-77) |
| L | | I | 1 | L | | | |

| City of Umatilla Local Government (City) | GIS MAPPING, TRAINING, AND PUBLIC OUTREACH The City of Umatilla proposes projects to help identify and evaluate the safety risks; develop and enforce standards for design, construction, operations, and maintenance near hazardous pipelines; educate staff and the public; review emergency response plans with the City and County Emergency Management personnel; and map and publicize evacuation zones and routes. | OR | Acceptable Partial Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,128 | \$42,640 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). The TEP rated the applicant's proposal equivalent to two other applications (the City of Moab and the City of Smithfield). With limited funds available for the TAG program, the application is recommended for partial funding to assist the applicant in initiating project goals towards pipeline safety. Funding is recommended for: Task 1 – Project Kickoff, Planning Meeting & Project Administration (\$4,700); Task 2 – Purchase One Mapping Grade GPS Hardware / Software unit (\$11,100); and Task 3 – GPS Training & GPS Data Collection Support (\$8,360), and Task 4 – GIS Mapping (\$18,480). No funding is recommended for: Task 5 – GIS Application Setup (\$13,140); Task 6 – Asset Management (\$15,720); Task 7 – Hazardous Pipeline |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | No funding is recommended for: Task 5 – GIS Application Setup (\$13,140); Task 6 – Asset |

| City of Smithfield Local Government (City) | GIS EQUIPMENT, TRAINNG, AND PUBLIC OUTREACH The City of Smithfield proposes projects to help the city identify and evaluate safety risks; develop and enforce standards for design, construction, operations and maintenance near high-pressure natural gas pipelines and liquid pipelines; educate city staff and the public to always call before they dig; reviewing emergency response plans with the city and county emergency management personnel; and map and make public evacuation zones and routes. | UT | Acceptable Partial Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,964 | \$47,652 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). The TEP rated the applicant's proposal equivalent to two other applications (the City of Umatilla and the City of Moab). With limited funds available for the TAG program, the application is recommended for partial funding to assist the applicant in initiating project goals towards pipeline safety. Funding is recommended for: Task 1 – Project Kick-off, Planning Meeting, and Admin (\$3,292); Task 2 – Hardware and Software (\$20,750); Task 3 – GPS Training & Data Collection Support (\$11,900); and Task 4 – GIS/Mapping Support & Training (\$11,710). No funding is recommended for: Task 5 – Convert to City-Owned ArcGIS Online (Cloud-Based GIS) (\$13,210); Task 6 – Asset Management (\$14,592); Task 7 – Hazardous Pipeline Mapping, Study, and Analysis (\$12,280); Task 8 – Public Awareness Campaign (\$8,980); and Task 9 – Reporting to Comply with Grant |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| County of Northampton Local Government (County) | PURCHASE MONITORING / METERING EQUIPMENT, EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROTECTIVE GEAR, AND HOST TRAINING The County of Northampton proposed projects to fund multiple training courses (14) related to pipeline, utility type emergency responses; purchase one entire "response box" set-up consisting of one MSA Altair 5-Gas / PID Meter, one CO2 monitor and one Pelican case; purchase of a gas training simulator and two "student" meters; and add new, more reliable radio communications to four primary response vehicles that would be utilized on pipeline related incidents or leaks. | PA | Acceptable Partial Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: N The applicant is a rural area per U.S. Census Bureau data. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone and does not seek or propose Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$100,000 | \$35,650 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). Due to limited TAG funds available, the application is recommended for partial funding to assist the applicant in initiating project goals towards pipeline safety. Funding is recommended for the First Responder Pipeline Response Training Courses (\$14,500), "Response Box" equipment and case (\$6,825), the 4-gas training simulator (\$8,150), the MSA Altair 5-Gas, PID Meter (\$5,000), the RAE Systems ToxiRAE Pro CO2 / Carbon Dioxide Monitor (\$1,000), and the PELICAN Protective Case (\$175). The funds for the TAG program will be depleted with this recommendation and therefore funding is not available for the applicant's remaining request for the purchase/installation of radio communication equipment for emergency response vehicles (\$64,350). |
| | | | | | \$2,376,960 | \$2,163,332 | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING AND TRAINING | FL | Not | ROUTES: Y | \$42,500 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|----|------------|---------------------------|----------|-----|----------------------------------------------------|
| Williston | The City of Williston proposes | | Acceptable | OZ: Y | | | and proposes activities associated with regulatory |
| Non-Profit | projects to conduct a GIS-based | | | | | | compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG |
| Municipal Pipeline | pipeline safety risk assessment and | | | The applicant states that | | | funding. |
| Operator | modernize the City's pipeline integrity | | | its proposal will be | | | Section D.6 of the NOFO states, "While |
| 1 | management plan and provide first | | | conducted in rural | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline |
| | responders with remote access to the | | | communities and in | | | systems are considered communities, and are |
| | GIS application which will allow law | | | Qualified Opportunity | | | eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used for |
| | enforcement and fire rescue to | | | Zones. | | | activities associated with regulatory |
| | coordinate units and at-risk | | | | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | individuals away from danger. | | | | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | | | | | | | restriction includes compliance with pipeline |
| | | | | | | | safety integrity management regulations. |
| | | | | | | | |

| Town of | GIS MAPPING, | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$62,317 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Jay | EQUIPMENT, AND | | _ | OZ: Y | | | proposes activities associated with regulatory |
| Non-Profit | TRAINING | | | | | | compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| Municipal Pipeline Operator | The Town of Jay proposes projects to conduct a GIS-based pipeline safety risk assessment and modernize the City's pipeline integrity management plan; provide first responders with remote access to the GIS application which will allow law enforcement and fire rescue to coordinate units and at-risk individuals away from danger; and purchase a combustible gas leak detector to assist staff with prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking pipes. | | | The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | Section D.6 of the NOFO states, "While operators of non-profit municipal pipeline systems are considered communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used for activities associated with regulatory compliance or typical operations and maintenance of pipeline facilities." This restriction includes activities such as building a GIS system and enhancement of leakage control programs to help maintain compliance with pipeline safety integrity management and leak detection regulations. |

| City of | GIS MAPPING AND TRAINING | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$71,917 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------|----------|-----|----------------------------------------------------|
| Blountstown | The City of Blountstown proposes projects | | | OZ: Y | | | and proposes activities associated with |
| Non-Profit | to: conduct a GIS-based pipeline safety | | | | | | regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited use |
| Municipal Pipeline | risk assessment and modernize the City's | | | The applicant | | | of TAG funding. Section D.6 of the NOFO |
| Operator | pipeline integrity management plan; | | | states that its | | | states, "While operators of non-profit municipal |
| | provide first responders with remote | | | proposal will be | | | pipeline systems are considered communities, |
| | access to the GIS application which will | | | conducted in | | | and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be |
| | allow law enforcement and fire rescue to | | | rural | | | used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | coordinate units and at-risk individuals | | | communities and | | | compliance or typical operations and |
| | away from danger; and purchase a | | | in Qualified | | | maintenance of pipeline facilities." This |
| | combustible gas leak detector to assist staff | | | Opportunity | | | restriction includes activities such as building a |
| | with prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking | | | Zones. | | | GIS system and enhancement of leakage control |
| | pipes. | | | | | | programs to help maintain compliance with |
| | | | | | | | pipeline safety integrity management and leak |
| | | | | | | | detection regulations. |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | GIS MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, | FL | Not | ROUTES: Y | \$73,317 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|----|------------|------------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Live Oak | AND TRAINING | | Acceptable | OZ: N | | | proposes activities associated with regulatory |
| Non-Profit | The City of Live Oak proposes | | | | | | compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. |
| Municipal Pipeline | projects to: conduct a GIS-based | | | The applicant is a | | | Section D.6 of the NOFO states, "While operators of |
| Operator | pipeline safety risk assessment and | | | rural area per U.S. | | | non-profit municipal pipeline systems are considered |
| 7 | modernize the City's pipeline integrity | | | Census Bureau data. | | | communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may |
| | management plan; provide first | | | The applicant is not | | | not be used for activities associated with regulatory |
| | responders with remote access to the | | | located in a Qualified | | | compliance or typical operations and maintenance of |
| | GIS application which will allow law | | | Opportunity Zone | | | pipeline facilities." This restriction includes activities |
| | enforcement and fire rescue to | | | and does not seek or | | | such as building a GIS system and enhancement of |
| | coordinate units and at-risk | | | propose Opportunity | | | leakage control programs to help maintain compliance |
| | individuals away from danger; and | | | Zone funding in its | | | with pipeline safety integrity management and leak |
| | purchase a combustible gas leak | | | proposal. | | | detection regulations. |
| | detector to assist staff with prioritizing | | | | | | |
| | and fixing slow-leaking pipes. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of Memphis Local Government (City) TRAINING EQUIPMENT AND TRAINING The City of Memphis proposes a project to acquire handheld atmospheric monitors (14) and large area atmospheric monitors (4) for the Memphis Fire Department Special Operations Response Team (SORT). This equipment will fill a gap identified through a recent internal analysis. The analysis established that SORT lacks the capability to identify leaks and contamination from many of the products transported by pipeline in Memphis and Shelby County, TN. | ΓN | Acceptable No Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,636 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 (providing potential for technology transfer). The funds available for the TAG program are depleted for recommendations to fund other applications that were rated higher by the TEP. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| City of | GIS MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, | FL | Not | ROUTES: Y | \$99,071 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|----|------------|------------------|----------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| DeFuniak | AND TRAINING | | Acceptable | OZ: Y | | | proposes activities associated with regulatory |
| Springs | The City of DeFuniak Springs | | | | | | compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG |
| Non-Profit | proposes projects to: conduct a GIS- | | | The applicant | | | funding. Section D.6 of the NOFO states, "While |
| Municipal Pipeline | based pipeline safety risk assessment | | | states that its | | | operators of non-profit municipal pipeline systems |
| Operator | and modernize the City's pipeline | | | proposal will be | | | are considered communities, and are eligible for a |
| <i>y</i> | integrity management plan; provide | | | conducted in | | | TAG, the funds may not be used for activities |
| | first responders with remote access to | | | rural | | | associated with regulatory compliance or typical |
| | the GIS application which will allow | | | communities | | | operations and maintenance of pipeline facilities." |
| | law enforcement and fire rescue to | | | and in Qualified | | | This restriction includes activities such as building |
| | coordinate units and at-risk | | | Opportunity | | | a GIS system and enhancement of leakage control |
| | individuals away from danger; and | | | Zones. | | | programs to help maintain compliance with |
| | purchase a combustible gas leak | | | | | | pipeline safety integrity management and leak |
| | detector to assist staff with | | | | | | detection regulations. |
| | prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking | | | | | | |
| | pipes. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | PURCHASE MONITORING / | PA | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$90,410 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|----|------------|--------------------|----------|-----|---------------------------------------------------|
| Bethlehem | METERING EQUIPMENT; | | No Funding | OZ: Y | | | aligns with the grant program and evaluation |
| Local Government | PROVIDE ALL HAZARDS | | | | | | criteria. The project scope aligns with Criterion |
| (City) | RESPONSE TRAINING | | | The applicant | | | 2 (addressing specific pipeline safety risks), |
| | The applicant proposes training | | | states that its | | | Criterion 3 (fostering open communication), |
| | and purchase of equipment | | | proposal will be | | | Criterion 4 (improving public awareness and |
| | designed to help better prepare | | | conducted in rural | | | pipeline emergency response), and Criterion 7 |
| | not only their response teams but | | | communities and | | | (providing potential for technology transfer). |
| | also the City's awareness and | | | in Qualified | | | The funds available for the TAG program are |
| | response to align it with an "all | | | Opportunity | | | depleted for recommendations to fund other |
| | hazards response approach" and | | | Zones. | | | applications that were rated higher by the TEP. |
| | have the capability to successfully | | | | | | |
| | identify, monitor and mitigate | | | | | | |
| | hazards. The items being | | | | | | |
| | requested will be utilized not only | | | | | | |
| | for pipeline emergencies but also | | | | | | |
| | for other hazardous materials | | | | | | |
| | incidents. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| natural gas monitoring technology. | Fort Pierce Utilities Authority Non-Profit Municipal Pipeline Operator | | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N OZ: N The applicant is not located in a rural area or Qualified Opportunity Zone. The applicant does not seek or propose any ROUTES or Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$21,410 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operatory proposes activities associated with regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAC funding. Section D.6 of the NOFO states, "Voperators of non-profit municipal pipeline system are considered communities, and are eligible TAG, the funds may not be used for activities associated with regulatory compliance or type operations and maintenance of pipeline facility This restriction includes activities such as but a Global Navigation Satellite System and enhancement of leak detector equipment to he maintain compliance with pipeline safety into management and leak detection regulations. | hile tems or a cal tes." ding |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|----|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|----|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|

| Oklahoma | EDUCATION AND | OK | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$99,000 | \$0 | The application lacks details for milestones, |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----|----------------|--------------------|----------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Municipal | OUTREACH | | | OZ: Y | | | estimated project costs that align with project goals |
| Assurance | Proposes to establish a statewide, | | | | | | and objectives, measurable results and |
| Group | shared services Interlocal Coalition | | | The applicant | | | deliverables, and how measurable results will be |
| (OMAG) | of the forty-six (46) gas systems in | | | states that its | | | evaluated and disseminated to affected |
| Interlocal | Oklahoma to provide sustainable | | | proposal will be | | | stakeholders. |
| Agency | systems that reliably deliver | | | conducted in rural | | | |
| | energy in the form of natural gas. | | | communities and | | | |
| | | | | in Qualified | | | |
| | | | | Opportunity | | | |
| | | | | Zones. | | | |

| Town of Afton Non-Profit Municipal Pipeline Operator | INTERNAL OVERSITE AND TRAINING The Town of Afton proposes projects to assess and prescribe best-in-class standards in at least four (4) areas: 1. Finding efficiency in the allocated overhead from each of the twenty-seven operators and combining the savings into shared service with measurable safety and/or environmental improvement. 2. Formalize a plan to require more efficient and more accountable for outcomes-based results with analysis for ways to continuously improve safety. 3. Execute shared services with internal oversite to improve and measure safety and environmental outcomes, quantify efficiencies and measure improvement from redirected savings. 4. Train staff in each operator's system on new policy and procedures for all levels of personnel (and board level management) which clearly defines roles, responsibilities, and definitions for triggering events (safety or environmental) which should evoke the proper response. Required documentation for each event with analysis for continuous improvement will be reviewed and incorporated. | OK | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: N OZ: N The applicant is not located in a rural area or Qualified Opportunity Zone. The applicant does not seek or propose any ROUTES or Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$269,381 | \$0 | The application lacks details for milestones, estimated project costs, measurable results and deliverables, how measurable results will be evaluated and disseminated to affected stakeholders, and the project scope to provide for learning or technology transfer to other groups and communities. |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| County of | PURCHASE EMERGENCY | PA | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y | \$876,684 | \$0 | The application lacks details for milestone, |
|------------|-----------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------------|-----------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Warren | RESPONSE EQUIPMENT | | | OZ: Y | | | measurable results and deliverables; equipment |
| Local | AND TRAINING | | | | | | proposed was focused more on hazardous material |
| Government | The County of Warren | | | The applicant states | | | verses pipeline specific responses; concerns about |
| (County) | proposes projects for planning, | | | that its proposal will | | | how measurable results will be evaluated and |
| | training, and preparation | | | be conducted in | | | disseminated to affected stakeholder; and the project |
| | aimed at mitigating the | | | rural communities | | | scope to provide for learning or technology transfer to |
| | impacts of potential hazardous | | | and in Qualified | | | other groups and communities. |
| | materials releases. From | | | Opportunity Zones. | | | The applicant's budget proposal also exceeded the |
| | strategically placed response | | | | | | grant funding threshold for the TAG program. The |
| | supplies, to planning updates, | | | | | | application is recommended for no funding. |
| | and training staff, to bolstering | | | | | | |
| | communications for response | | | | | | |
| | personnel, the objectives aim | | | | | | |
| | to increase safe, response | | | | | | |
| | coordination efforts, among | | | | | | |
| | county staff and public safety, | | | | | | |
| | first responders, reducing | | | | | | |
| | potential environmental | | | | | | |
| | impacts. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of | ENHANCE TWO | IL | Not | ROUTES: N | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application lacks details for measurable |
|------------------|----------------------------|----|------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| Chicago, | DATA SYSTEMS | | Acceptable | OZ: N | | | results and how measurable results will be |
| Department of | CDOT proposes to | | | The applicant is not | | | evaluated and disseminated to affected |
| Transportation | enhance the integration | | | located in a rural area or | | | stakeholders. Furthermore, this application is |
| (CDOT) | of two databases by | | | Qualified Opportunity | | | more suited for the State Damage Prevention |
| Local Government | updating CDOT's | | | Zone. The applicant does | | | grant program because the proposed PHASE II |
| (City) | damage prevention | | | seek or propose any | | | project is for upgrading CDOT's damage |
| | workflow (Phase II) by | | | ROUTES or Opportunity | | | prevention workflow tickets. |
| | restricting the scope of | | | Zone funding in its | | | |
| | dig tickets and creating a | | | proposal. | | | |
| | reliable method of | | | | | | |
| | alerting regulated | | | | | | |
| | pipeline owners about | | | | | | |
| | excavation activity near | | | | | | |
| | their facilities. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| City of Chipley Non-Profit Municipal Pipeline Operator | GIS MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, AND TRAINING The City of Chipley proposes projects to conduct a GIS-based pipeline safety risk assessment and modernize the City's pipeline integrity management plan; provide first responders with remote access to the GIS application which will allow law enforcement and fire rescue to coordinate units and atrisk individuals away from danger; and purchase a combustible gas leak detector to assist staff with prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking pipes. | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: N The applicant is a rural area, per U.S. Census Bureau data. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone and does not seek or propose Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$53,217 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and proposes activities associated with regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. Section D.6 of the NOFO states, "While operators of non-profit municipal pipeline systems are considered communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used for activities associated with regulatory compliance or typical operations and maintenance of pipeline facilities." This restriction includes activities such as building a GIS system and enhancement of leakage control programs to help maintain compliance with pipeline safety integrity management and leak detection regulations. |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| County of Okaloosa Local Government (County) | EQUIPMENT Purchase two 4x4 response vehicles to access rural and unimproved terrain for pipeline and other related emergencies. | FL | Not Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$103,661 | \$0 | The application lacks detail for milestone and measurable results and deliverables; the equipment proposed was focused more on hazardous material verses pipeline specific responses; how measurable results will be evaluated and disseminated to affected stakeholders; and the project scope to provide for learning or technology transfer to other groups and communities. In addition, the TEP stated that the applicant's proposed purchase of two all-terrain vehicles does not address pipeline safety, foster open communication, and provide potential for learning or technology transfer. The applicant's budget proposal also exceeded the grant funding threshold for the TAG program. The application is recommended for no funding. |
| | | | | | \$ 2,156,773 | \$0 | |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Center for Rural Development Inc. (CRD) Nonprofit 501c3 | TRAINING COURSES AND PUBLIC AWARENESS CRD proposes to bring the Pipeline Security for Rural Communities training course to requesting jurisdictions across the nation. This is an 8-hour, awareness-level, instructor-led course designed to bring together rural pipeline security stakeholders, including public safety, oil, and gas pipeline representatives (large and small); local emergency planners; pertinent federal, state, and local agencies; and other community stakeholders to recognize pipeline security threats and identify mitigation strategies within their jurisdictions to ensure the rural pipeline sector is secure and resilient in their local communities. | KY | Highly Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Highly Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: • Criterion 1: Establishes clear goals and objectives that focus on areas where a pipeline failure could pose a significant risk to people—particularly historically underserved communities—or to unusually sensitive environmental areas. • Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. • Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. • Criterion 5: Clearly establishes resources, milestones, and estimated project costs that align with project goals and objectives. • Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning. The application is recommended for full funding. |
| National Association of State Fire Marshals (NASFM) Nonprofit 501c3 | FIRST RESPONDER STATE OUTREACH PROJECT NASFM proposes a project to teach 11 | LA | Highly Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its | \$99,994 | \$99,994 | The application is Highly Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Pipeline Emergency train-the-trainer classes in 11 different states, creating a network of 440 qualified individuals to deliver the training around the country and utilizing the curriculum NASFM developed with PHMSA. This curriculum was designed to help emergency responders, public officials, and others learn how to respond to pipeline incidents. | | | proposed outreach and engagement efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. Criterion 5: Clearly establishes resources, milestones, and estimated project costs that align with project goals and objectives. Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning and technology transfer. The application is recommended for full funding. |
| SharedGeo Nonprofit 501c3 | SharedGeo proposes a revolutionary opensource software that will allow accredited locators, engineers, excavators, and facility operators to view underground infrastructure line data comprehensively and accurately within a specific Gopher State One Call (GSOC) ticket notice site, and supplement existing "Call Before You Dig" processes. The proposed budget will complete round one | MN | Highly Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: N OZ: N The applicant is not located in a rural area or Opportunity Zone. The applicant does not seek or propose any ROUTES or Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$130,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Highly Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 5: Clearly establishes resources, milestones, and estimated project costs that align with project goals and objectives. Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning. The application is recommended for full funding of the TAG grant cap amount of \$100,000. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | funding of a statewide underground utility mapping system, which will allow engineering teams, locators, excavators, facility operators, and other accredited users to access accurate maps to enhance safety and position the state's 811 community for future situational awareness enhancements. | | | | | | |
| Damage Prevention Council of Texas (DPCT) Nonprofit 501c3 | TRAINING AND OUTREACH DPCT proposes projects to create public awareness videos to help pipeline operators meet Recommended Practice (RP)-1162 supplemental requirements. The project will inform and educate homeowners on right-of-way encroachment and how to recognize and report leaks in their immediate area. This project will also implement marine pipeline safety and underwater damage prevention initiatives by providing online marine | TX | Highly Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Highly Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 1: Establishes clear goals and objectives that focus on areas where a pipeline failure could pose a significant risk to people—particularly historically underserved communities—or to unusually sensitive environmental areas. Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 5: Clearly establishes resources, milestones, and estimated project costs that align with project goals and objectives. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | pipeline safety training for 500 marine | | | | | | Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning and technology transfer. |
| | construction and dredge industry individuals. | | | | | | The application is recommended for full funding. |
| Danielle Dawn Smalley Foundation, Inc. (DDSF) Nonprofit 501c3 | FIRST RESPONDER STATEWIDE OUTREACH PROJECT DDSF proposes projects to develop, execute, and document six Texas county-wide multidisciplinary pipeline emergency response virtual scenario exercises to enhance local hazard-specific preplans and encourage the shared responsibility of damage prevention. DDSF will work with first responder agencies, pipeline operators, and other key members of communities within the geographic scope of the project to foster transparency, open communication, and strengthen essential relationships. | TX | Highly Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states that its proposed outreach and engagement efforts will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Highly Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 1: Establishes clear goals and objectives that focus on areas where a pipeline failure could pose a significant risk to people—particularly historically underserved communities—or to unusually sensitive environmental areas. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 5: Clearly establishes resources, milestones, and estimated project costs that align with project goals and objectives. Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning and technology transfer. The application is recommended for full funding. |
| Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission (PBRPC) | PIPELINE HAZARDS AWARENESS PROJECT | TX | Highly Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: N | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Highly Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Regional Organization | PBRPC proposes to implement a Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Pipeline Safety Project by engaging with public emergency management systems; local public officials; administrators who establish and enforce safety codes in each county; economic development professionals who interact with the construction sector (including businesses and residential); and oil and gas professional organizations throughout the region. This project will emphasize coordination of activities with local environmental and code enforcement officials to educate them on identifying pipeline hazards while they investigate public health and safety violations in their areas; and sponsor large community events that provide opportunities for outreach and to spread awareness of safe practices. | | | The applicant states its proposed outreach and engagement efforts will be conducted in rural communities. The applicant does not seek or propose Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | | | Criterion 1: Establishes clear goals and objectives that focus on areas where a pipeline failure could pose a significant risk to people—particularly historically underserved communities—or to unusually sensitive environmental areas. Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. Criterion 5: Clearly establishes resources, milestones, and estimated project costs that align with project goals and objectives. The application is recommended for full funding. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| City of Bellevue City Government | CREATE E-LEARNING MODULES, TRAINING, AND OUTREACH The City of Bellevue, in partnership with the Eastside Hazardous Materials Response Team (EHMRT), proposes to purchase specialized equipment needed to improve the region's pipeline emergency response capabilities. To accomplish this, the city identified three objectives: Purchase specialized equipment (gas monitors, gas detectors, rapid deployment bags, triple treat spill kits) needed to mitigate a pipeline emergency. Train EHMRT technicians on requested equipment. Place equipment strategically into service to fill a void | WA | Highly Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,516.62 | \$99,516.62 | The application is Highly Recommended and aligns well with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 1: Establishes clear goals and objectives that focus on areas where a pipeline failure could pose a significant risk to people—particularly historically underserved communities—or to unusually sensitive environmental areas Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and damage prevention. Criterion 5: Clearly establishes resources, milestones, and estimated project costs that align with project goals and objectives Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning. The application is recommended for full funding. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | in response capabilities. | | | | | | |
| National Excavator Initiative (NEI) Nonprofit 501c3 | TRAINING AND OUTREACH NEI proposes projects to: Develop pipeline emergency response training best practices for events related to excavation damage. Establish partnerships between operators and emergency responders to foster better relationships and response activities. Improve the safety of communities by more efficiently responding to excavation related damages. Provide additional environmental protection by addressing unexpected emissions from pipeline incidents. | TX | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | The application is Recommended and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. Criterion 5: Clearly establishes resources, milestones, and estimated project costs that align with project goals and objectives. Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning. The application is recommended for full funding. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Project activities will include: • Facilitating discussions between operators and the emergency response community regarding pipeline emergency response procedures. • Presenting discussion outcomes during the next Florida HAZMAT Symposium scheduled for January of 2024. • Developing a training module for responders and operator personnel outlining emergency response best practices via a learning management system. | | | | | | |
| West Central Texas Council of Governments (WCTCOG) Local Government | PIPELINE SAFETY PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM WCTCOG proposes a project focused on increasing public awareness of hazardous threats associated with | TX | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in | \$99,614 | \$99,614 | The application is Recommended and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | pipelines and other underground utilities. | | | Qualified Opportunity | | | Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. |
| | The program will promote the Texas 811 | | | Zones. | | | Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and damage prevention. |
| | One Call procedure with the public, agricultural workers, construction | | | | | | Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning. |
| | workers, elected officials, emergency personnel and pipeline companies and affiliates. | | | | | | The application is recommended for full funding. |
| | Pipeline safety educational material will be distributed at public/community events; and pipeline safety will be promoted through multiple advertising platforms, including radio, public service announcements, and media platforms. | | | | | | |
| Franklin County Local Government | TRAINING, EDUCATION, AND EQUIPMENT | VA | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y | \$68,320 | \$68,320 | The application is Recommended and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. |
| | Franklin County proposes projects to offer additional hazardous materials | | | states its proposal will be conducted in rural | | | The project scope aligns with: • Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. |
| | education and training to public safety personnel, and purchase monitoring | | | communities and in Qualified | | | Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness |
| | equipment (combustible gas indicators) that will assist in mitigating | | | Opportunity Zones. | | | and damage prevention. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pipeline Safety Trust (PST) Nonprofit 501c3 | response to potential gas emergencies. The education and training will be accomplished through various training programs and conferences. The combustible gas indicators will be placed on the first due apparatus in pipeline areas, and will be used during pipeline safety classes and training scenarios to educate first responders on their operation. PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT PST proposes projects to strengthen the depth and quality of public participation in efforts to increase pipeline safety by targeting multiple stakeholder groups that are essential for better public engagement. The project goals will focus on: • Educating stakeholders on pipeline safety to facilitate productive engagement. | WA | Recommended Full Funding | ROUTES: N OZ: N The applicant is not located in a rural area or Opportunity Zone. The applicant does not seek or propose any ROUTES or Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 | • Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning. The application is recommended for full funding. PST is the only applicant eligible for the competitive TAG award mandated in 49 U.S.C. 60130(c)(2), which states " each fiscal year, the Secretary shall award \$1,000,000 to an eligible applicant through a competitive selection process for the purpose of improving the quality of technical assistance provided to communities or individuals under this section." PST meets the eligibility set forth in 49 U.S.C. 60130(d)(2), which defines an "eligible applicant" for the \$1,000,000 award as a nonprofit entity that – (A) is a public safety advocate; (B) has pipeline safety expertise; (C) is able to provide individuals and communities with technical assistance; and (D) was established with funds designated for the purpose of community service through the implementation of |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Reducing barriers to allow more public participation and engagement. | | | | | | section 3553 of title 18 relating to violations of this chapter. The application is Recommended and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. |
| | Offering venues to encourage ongoing engagement. Studying and understanding effectiveness of investments in public engagement. | | | | | | The project scope aligns with: • Criterion 1: Establishes clear goals and objectives that focus on areas where a pipeline failure could pose a significant risk to people—particularly historically underserved communities—or to unusually sensitive environmental areas. |
| | To achieve these four goals, PST staff will engage leaders from the pipeline industry, federal and state regulatory entities, tribes, local governments, academia, other nonprofits, and the public to address longheld limitations to better public engagement. | | | | | | Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and damage prevention. Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning. |
| Forks Township Fire Department (FTFD Local Government | EMERGENCY RESPONSE EQUIPMENT FTFD proposes to purchase 14 multi-gas monitors, calibration stations, and ancillary equipment for FTFD to enhance emergency response to pipeline emergencies. | PA | Recommended Partial Funding | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$66,955 | \$33,135 | The application is Recommended and aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 7: Provides potential for learning. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | The equipment will increase the speed and capability in life safety and reduce the environmental impact of pipeline incidents by replacing outdated multigas detectors. The project will enhance pipeline safety by increasing response capabilities and decreasing potential environmental impacts on the air quality for township residents. | | | Zone | | | However, due to limited TAG funds and the order in which TEP rated the applicant, the application is recommended for partial funding to help the applicant initiate project goals towards pipeline safety. Funding is recommended for: MSA Altair 5x Gas meters \$3890.00 each X quantity 7 = \$27,230 MSA Altair 5 Vehicle Chargers: \$355.00 each X quantity 6 = \$2,130 MSA Gx2 Altair 5/5X Test Calibration Station 4 valve, non-charging = \$3775.00 The application is recommended for partial funding of \$33,135. |
| | | | | Total: | \$2,064,400 | \$2,000,580 | |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | Opportunity Zone | Requested | Award | |
| City of Dover City Government | SOFTWARE AND TRAINING The City of Dover proposes projects to: • Help identify and evaluate safety risks. • Develop and enforce standards for design, construction, operations, and maintenance near the high-pressure natural gas pipeline. • Educate staff and the public. • Review emergency response plans with the Emergency Fire and Emergency Management System personnel. • Map and publicize evacuation zones and routes. Project activities include: • Creating a comprehensive Geographic Information System (GIS) driven risk assessment and asset management system, coordinating with Avista Energy to determine pipeline exposure and locations pertaining to Dover infrastructure. | ID | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,059 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: • Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. • Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. • Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and damage prevention. However, TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. The application is not recommended for funding. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Providing Global Positioning System (GPS) equipment and training staff on the use of collected GPS data—i.e. how to access and update GIS maps and stored data. | | | | | | |
| Clayton County Local Government | EQUIPMENT Clayton County proposes to purchase communication equipment to increase the operational interoperability between Clayton County public safety response agencies and responding hazardous materials team. Through direct interoperable communication with hazardous materials teams, Clayton County first responders will coordinate response and mitigation efforts by providing portable radios to each responding agency, reducing the notification time, as well as the amount of hazardous materials released into the environment. | IA | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$508,138.7 5 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and damage prevention. However, TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. The application is not recommended for funding. |
| City of West Liberty Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | GAS DETECTION EQUIPMENT AND OUTREACH The City of West Liberty proposes projects to improve performance and safety by | KY | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted | \$32,012 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | providing personnel and first responders with leak recognition and reaction equipment. The projects will also promote discussions with other area emergency personnel on current and available technologies and methods, and provide critical technology in the form of leak detection and locating equipment for emergency response from West Liberty operators and the volunteer fire department first responders. | | | in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. However, TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. The application is not recommended for funding |
| UDig New York (UDig NY) Nonprofit 501c3 | SOFTWARE UDig NY requests grant funds to rebuild its antiquated damage reporting system for its Member Facility Operators. The current system is outdated and does not adhere to modern technology standards, hindering access to critical information that protects public safety and the environment. The grant will allow UDig NY to develop a cloud-based, web portal that integrates into its current ticketing platform and streamlines the reporting process with application programming interface (API) options to gather data from established sources, thus improving accessibility for utility operators of all sizes and | NY | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$88,681.60 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. However, TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. The application is not recommended for funding. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | locations throughout New York state. | | | | | | |
| City of Long Beach City Government | EQUIPMENT AND TRAINING The City of Long Beach proposes to purchase two thermal imaging drones to be used by trained operators in police and fire departments. The drones will be used by the fire department to monitor the Williams/Tesco pipeline pathway and detect possible leaks using the thermal signature of those leaks. Funds also will be used to bring additional pilots online, increasing the availability of the service which is critical to maintaining security and safety of the pipeline. | NY | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: N The applicant is a rural area per U.S. Census Bureau data. The applicant is not located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone and does not seek or propose Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$53,429.54 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. However, TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. The application is not recommended for funding. |
| Oklahoma Municipal Assurance Group (OMAG) Local Government | EDUCATION AND ANALYSIS OMAG proposes a project plan to analyze six Oklahoma municipal natural gas operators for total L&U (lost-and-unaccounted-for) gas to determine statistically what percent of meters may be running slow and to develop a plan to mitigate emissions for | OK | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified | \$95,704.20 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | safety, environmental, and economic reasons. The results will inform the baseline analysis for future reductions of emissions and improve the economic model to ensure budgeting, proper utility rates, and continuous improvements in pipeline safety and environmental responsibility long-term. | | | Opportunity Zones. | | | Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. However, TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. The application is not recommended for funding. |
| DuBoistown Borough Local Government | EQUIPMENT DuBoistown Borough proposes to purchase three high-quality, commercially built, uncrewed vehicles—known as drones—for use by the DuBoistown Fire Department and nearby communities. The drones will be equipped with high-resolution cameras designed to transmit video back to the controller or base station. Two will be aerial drones, and one will be a submersible unmanned vehicle for water crises. The drones will be used for emergency responses, particularly in pipeline-related crises. The Borough also proposes to purchase a dedicated motor vehicle equipped to transport and monitor the drones that will provide immediate access to the | PA | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$138,603 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. However, TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. The application is not recommended for funding. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | equipment from first responders in eight counties. | | | | | | |
| City of Easton City Government | EQUIPMENT The City of Easton Fire Department proposes to purchase Self Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) and associated equipment (facepieces, and cylinders) for responses to Gas Emergencies. These SCBA units protect our firefighter's respiratory system while operating in a hazardous environment immediately dangerous to life or health. | PA | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$83,864 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. However, TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. The application is not recommended for funding. |
| Valley Regional Fire Authority (VRFA) Local Government | VRFA requests grant funds for the purchase of specialized air monitoring equipment that will allow the VRFA to respond safely and efficiently to releases from underground pipelines within our service area. The VRFA service area includes two major pipelines: the Williams Gas Pipeline West natural gas pipeline and the Olympic Pipeline Company's petroleum pipeline. These | WA | Acceptable | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$39,168 | \$0 | The application is Acceptable and generally aligns with the grant program and evaluation criteria. The project scope aligns with: Criterion 2: Addresses specific pipeline safety risks. Criterion 3: Fosters open communication. Criterion 4: Improves public awareness and pipeline emergency response. However, TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. The application is not recommended for funding. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | pipelines transverse highly populated areas, key infrastructure, and environmentally sensitive areas, including the Green River Basin and adjacent wetlands. | | | | | | |
| Town of Johnstown Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) MAPPING The Town of Johnstown proposes projects to: • Have equipment, services, and training to map its local hazardous pipelines. • Prepare emergency evacuation plans in the event of an incident. • Educate its residents on the importance of calling 811 before they dig. The deliverables will be demonstrated by updating GIS mapping data to bring awareness of the hazardous pipeline and City utility locations. | СО | Not Recommended | ROUTES: N OZ: N The applicant is not located in a rural area or Qualified Opportunity Zone. The applicant does not seek or propose any ROUTES or Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$99,974 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |
| City of Chipley Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, AND TRAINING | FL | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted | \$80,306.96 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | The City of Chipley proposes projects to: Conduct a GIS-based pipeline safety risk assessment and modernize the city's pipeline integrity management plan. Provide first responders with remote access to the GIS application, which will allow law enforcement and fire rescue to coordinate units and at-risk individuals away from danger. Purchase a combustible gas leak detector to assist staff with prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking pipes. | | | in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | |
| City of Crescent City Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) MAPPING, EQUIPMENT, AND TRAINING The City of Crescent City proposes projects to: • Conduct a GIS-based pipeline safety risk assessment and modernize the City's pipeline integrity management plan. • Provide first responders with remote access to the GIS | FL | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,997 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | application, which will allow law enforcement and fire rescue to coordinate units and at-risk individuals away from danger. • Purchase a combustible gas leak detector to assist staff with prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking pipes. | | | | | | |
| Florida Gas Utility (FGU) Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | OUTREACH FGU proposes projects to develop eye-catching materials with information regarding natural gas safety. These materials will educate all individuals working and residing in 18 Florida counties about Florida Sunshine 811, Call Before You Dig Program, detecting a natural gas leak, and correct actions in the event of a natural gas emergency. | FL | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$25,875.50 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |
| Fort Pierce Utilities Authority Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | GAS DETECTION EQUIPMENT Fort Pierce Utilities Authority proposed to purchase combustible gas leak detection equipment, allowing state-of- the-art precision to detect a natural gas leak location and operators to prioritize and fix slow-leak pipes. | FL | Not Recommended | ROUTES: N OZ: N The applicant is not located in a rural area or Qualified Opportunity Zone. The applicant does not seek | \$15,118.75 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Town of Jay | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM | FL | Not Recommended | or propose any ROUTES or Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. ROUTES: Y OZ: Y | \$84,852.96 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG |
| Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | (GIS) MAPPING AND EQUIPMENT The Town of Jay proposes projects to: • Conduct a GIS-based pipeline safety risk assessment and modernize the city's pipeline integrity management plan. • Provide first responders with remote access to the GIS application, which will allow law enforcement and fire rescue to coordinate units and at-risk individuals away from danger. • Purchase a combustible gas leak detector to assist staff with prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking pipes. | | | The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by the TEP. |
| City of Live Oak Nonprofit Municipal | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) MAPPING, | FL | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its | \$93,742.96 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pipeline Operator | EQUIPMENT, AND TRAINING The City of Live Oak proposes projects to: • Conduct a GIS-based pipeline safety risk assessment and modernize the city's pipeline integrity management plan. | | | proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | |
| | Provide first responders with remote access to the GIS application, which will allow law enforcement and fire rescue to coordinate units and at-risk individuals away from danger. Purchase a combustible gas leak detector to assist staff with prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking pipes. | | | | | | |
| City of Perry Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | GAS DETECTION EQUIPMENT The City of Perry proposes to purchase combustible gas detection equipment to improve the asset management practices of the natural gas system. The detectors will provide: • State-of-the-art precision to detect a natural gas leak location. | FL | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$15,460 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Allow staff to identify natural gas leaks quickly, efficiently, and accurately. Allow operators to prioritize and fix slow-leak pipes, thus reducing methane gas emissions. | | | | | | |
| City of Starke Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) MAPPING AND EQUIPMENT The City of Starke proposes projects to: • Conduct a GIS-based pipeline safety risk assessment and modernize the City's pipeline integrity management plan. • Provide first responders with remote access to the GIS application, which will allow law enforcement and fire rescue to coordinate units and at-risk individuals away from danger. • Purchase a combustible gas leak detector to assist staff with prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking pipes. | FL | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$87,728.96 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |
| City of Williston | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM | FL | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y | \$60,570 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| City Government | (GIS) MAPPING AND TRAINING The City of Williston proposes projects to: Build a GIS application and geodatabase. Provide operators and first responders remote access to the GIS application. Provide staff with GIS application and geodatabase training. | | | The applicant states that its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | funds have been depleted to fund applications that were rated higher by the TEP. |
| City of Blountstown Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) MAPPING AND EQUIPMENT The City of Blountstown proposes projects to: Conduct a GIS based pipeline safety risk assessment and modernize the City's pipeline integrity management plan. Provide first responders with remote access to the GIS application, which will allow law enforcement and fire rescue to coordinate units and at-risk individuals away from danger. | KY | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$80,708.96 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Purchase a combustible gas leak detector to assist staff with prioritizing and fixing slow-leaking pipes. | | | | | | |
| Morehead Utility Plant Board (MUPB) Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | EQUIPMENT MUPB proposes projects to enhance leak detection capabilities and emergency response by purchasing updated leak detection and location equipment for MUPB operators and the Volunteer Fire Department First Responders. Equipment will include: • (3) PS-200 Personal Multigas Monitors and calibration stations • (3) Gas Surveyor 700 infrared methane detection devices • Eye-C-Gas visual methane detection device • Watcher Trainer Package for gas leak detection training • (4) Metrotech Locators • (8) Multi-Gas Personal Monitors • Remote Methane Leak Detector First Responder. | KY | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,668.69 | \$ 0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Onondaga County County Government | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) MAPPING Onondaga County Department of Emergency Management is seeking grant funding to hire a contractor to assist with GIS mapping all pipelines in Onondaga County. Mapping all pipelines and assessing risk will enable Onondaga to: Generate a better understanding of what areas of hazardous materials transport are impacted. Identify high-risk areas to better organize planning and prevention initiatives. Enhance risk communication to local officials, the public, and transportation planners. Identify a reliable measurement of environmental risk. Minimize risk and exposure to the impacts of climate change. | NY | Not Recommended | ROUTES: N OZ: N The applicant is not located in a rural area or Qualified Opportunity Zone. The applicant does not seek or propose any ROUTES or Opportunity Zone funding in its proposal. | \$150,000 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |
| Allen Township | GAS DETECTION EQUIPMENT | PA | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y | \$27,333 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is Not Recommended. TAG |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | Allen Township proposes to purchase five new multi-gas monitors/meters and a calibration unit with associated ancillary equipment accessories for the Allen Township Public Works and Allen Township Fire Department. This equipment will enhance emergency response to pipeline emergencies, as well as increase the speed and capability save lives, and reduce the environmental impact of pipeline incidents. | | | The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |
| Town of Century Nonprofit Municipal Pipeline Operator | EQUIPMENT The Town of Century proposes to hire a contractor to replace 327 incorrectly installed meter sets that serve as a potential hazard to the community. This project will improve the reliability, safety, and sustainability of Century's natural gas system. The new meters will also allow the Town of Century to accurately measure and provide the proper amount of natural gas with appropriate readings of the meters and improve leak detection. | PA | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$82,579 | \$0 | The applicant is a municipal pipeline operator and proposes activities associated with regulatory compliance, which is a prohibited use of TAG funding. Section D.5 of the NOFO states, "While operators of nonprofit municipal pipeline systems are considered communities, and are eligible for a TAG, the funds may not be used for activities associated with regulatory compliance or typical operations and maintenance of pipeline facilities." This restriction includes activities such as enhancement of leakage control programs to help maintain compliance with pipeline safety integrity management and leak detection regulations. |
| Southern Gas | OUTREACH SGA proposes projects to communicate safety messages to | TX | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y | \$100,000 | \$0 | The application lacks: |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Association (SGA) Nonprofit 501c3 | excavators in the Spanish language by purchasing media via terrestrial radio, social media, streaming audio services, and wearable merchandise to be distributed onsite. | | | The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | | | Detail for milestone and measurable results and deliverables. Clearly defined pipeline safety concerns or risks for affected geographic areas to stakeholders and/or communities. Measurable results to be evaluated and disseminated to affected stakeholders. A project scope to provide for learning or technology transfer to other groups and communities. The application is not recommended for funding. |
| City of Hyrum City Government | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) MAPPING AND TRAINING, OUTREACH AND EQUIPMENT The City of Hyrum proposes projects to have equipment, services, and training to: • Map its local hazardous pipelines. • Prepare emergency evacuation plans in the event of an incident. • Educate residents on the importance of calling 811 before they dig. The deliverables will be demonstrated by updating GIS mapping data to bring awareness | WA | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,985 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ Opportunity Zone | Funds Requested | Recommended Award | Basis for Recommendation |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | of the hazardous pipeline and City utility locations. Meetings and outreach will be implemented to share data results and inform about evacuation routes. The data will also be updated on the City's website as Hyrum City grows. | | | | | | |
| | The project's success will be demonstrated by Hyrum having useful and accurate GIS mapping—a powerful tool for mitigating risk—and using this tool for planning for future development. | | | | | | |
| City of Rosalia City Government | GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) MAPPING AND EQUIPMENT The City of Rosalia proposes projects to: • Identify and document exact high-pressure pipeline locations in relation to town infrastructure. • Collaborate with pipeline operator, emergency management, and other critical entities. • Educate the public regarding the existing and potential risks that come from the transportation of hazardous | WA | Not Recommended | ROUTES: Y OZ: Y The applicant states its proposal will be conducted in rural communities and in Qualified Opportunity Zones. | \$99,944 | \$0 | The application scored low amongst other applications and is not recommended. TAG funds have been depleted to fund applications rated higher by TEP. |

| Applicant | Proposal Summary | State | Rating | ROUTES/ | Funds | Recommended | Basis for Recommendation |
|-----------|------------------------|-------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | Opportunity | Requested | Award | |
| | | | | Zone | | | |
| | material through high- | | | | | | |
| | pressure pipelines. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Total: | \$2,542,506 | \$0 | |