U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION Special Permit Analysis and Findings Class 1 to Class 3 Location

Special Permit Information:

Docket Number:	PHMSA-2019-0201
Requested By:	Columbia Gulf Transmission, LLC
Operator ID#:	2620
Original Date Requested:	October 15, 2019
Original Issuance Date:	March 31, 2022
Amended Date:	May 22, 2023
Code Section(s):	49 CFR 192.611, 192.505(c), and 192.619(a)(2)

Purpose:

The Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS),¹ provides this information to describe the facts of the subject special permit amendment application² submitted by Columbia Gulf Transmission, LLC (CGT),³ to discuss any relevant public comments received with respect to the application, to present the engineering and safety analysis of the special permit application, and to make findings regarding whether the requested special permit should be granted and, if so, under what conditions. CGT requested that PHMSA waive compliance from the 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 192.611, 192.505(c), and 192.619(a)(2) for natural gas transmission pipeline segments, where the class location has changed from a Class 1 to a Class 3 location.

¹ Throughout this special permit the usage of "PHMSA" or "PHMSA OPS" means the U.S. Department of Transportation's Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety.

² On June 22, 2022, CGT requested the addition of two (2) *special permit segments (62 and 63)* to the existing special permit in this docket.

³ CGT is a wholly-owned subsidiary of TC Energy.

Pipeline System Affected:

This special permit application applies to the CGT request for a waiver of the class location change requirements in 49 CFR 192.611 for 3,806 feet (approximately 0.721 miles) of 24-inch and 30-inch diameter gas transmission Mainline (ML) and East Lateral (EL) Pipelines located in Rowan County, Kentucky; and Vermilion Parish, Louisiana. This special permit will allow CGT to continue operating the 30-inch diameter ML 100 and 24-inch diameter EL 200 Pipeline *special permit segments* as defined below at a maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of 935 pounds per square inch gage (psig) for the ML 100 Pipelines and 973 psig for the EL 200 Pipelines.

Special Permit Request:

On June 22, 2022, CGT applied to PHMSA for a special permit seeking relief from 49 CFR 192.611, 192.505(c), and 192.619(a)(2) for the below-listed *special permit segments*, where a class location change occurred from the original Class 1 to a Class 3 location in Rowan County, Kentucky, and Vermilion Parish, Louisiana.

Special Permit Segments:

This special permit applies to the *special permit segments* in **Table 1 – Special Permit Segments** and are identified using the CGT survey station (SS) references.

	Table 1 – Special Permit Segments								
Special Permit Segment Number	Outside Diameter (inches)	Line Name	Length (feet)	Start Survey Station (SS)	End Survey Station (SS)	County or Parish, State	Year Installed	Seam Type	MAOP (psig)
62	24	EL 200	953	1279+77	1289+30	Vermilion, LA	1954	DSAW	973
63	30	ML 100	2,853	1752+72	1781+25	Rowan, KY	1954	DSAW	935

Note: **DSAW** is double submerged arc welded pipe seam.

This special permit applies to the *special permit segments* located in Vermilion Parish, and Rowan County, Kentucky.

Special Permit Inspection Areas:

The *special permit inspection areas* are defined as areas that extend that extends 220 yards on each side of the centerline as listed in **Table 2 – Special Permit Inspection Areas**.

	Table 2 – Special Permit Inspection Areas									
Special Permit Inspection Area Number	Special Permit Segment(s) Included	Outside Diameter (inches)	Line Name	Description	Start Survey Station (SS)	End Survey Station (SS)	Length ⁴ (miles)			
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 62	24	EL 200	Rayne Compressor Station (CS) to Valve 1204	9+06	1339+26	25.2			
6	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 63	30	ML 100	Stanton to Leach	0+00	4547+33	86.1			

Public Notice:

On August 17, 2022, PHMSA published notice of this special permit request in the Federal Register (87 FR 50692) with a closing date of September 16, 2022. PHMSA received no public comments concerning this special permit request.

The CGT Special Permit Amendment Request letter, Federal Register notice, Special Permit, Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact, and all other pertinent documents are available for review in Docket No. PHMSA-2019-0201 in the Federal Docket Management System located on the internet at <u>www.Regulations.gov</u>.

Analysis:

Background: On June 29, 2004, PHMSA published in the Federal Register (69 FR 38948) the criteria it uses for the consideration of applications for class location change waivers, now being granted or denied through a special permit. First, certain threshold requirements should be met on a pipeline *special permit segment* for a class location change special permit to be granted. Second, the age and manufacturing process of the pipe; system design, and construction; environmental, operating and maintenance histories; and integrity management program elements are evaluated as significant criteria. These significant criteria are presented in matrix form and can be reviewed in the Federal Docket Management System, Docket No. PHMSA–RSPA-2004-17401. Third, special permits will only be granted when pipe conditions and active integrity management provides a level of safety greater than or equal to a pipe replacement or pressure reduction. The operator's Federal pipeline safety regulation compliance history is also evaluated as part of the criteria matrix for acceptability prior to issuance of a special permit.

⁴ If the *special permit inspection area* footage does not extent from launcher to receiver then the *special permit inspection area* would need to be extended.

<u>Threshold Requirements</u>: Each of the threshold requirements published by PHMSA in the June 29, 2004, Federal Register notice is discussed below for the CGT special permit request.

- 1) No pipeline segments in a class location changing to Class 4 location will be considered.
 - This special permit request is for *special permit segments* on the CGT 30-inch diameter Mainline 100 and 24-inch diameter EL 200 where a change has occurred from a Class 1 location to a Class 3 location or a Class 2 location to a Class 3 location.
 - CGT meets this requirement.
- 2) No bare pipe will be considered.
 - The CGT *special permit segments* are externally coated with coal tar enamel.
 - CGT has not reported any coating issues such as disbonded coating.
 - CGT meets this requirement.
- 3) No pipe containing wrinkle bends will be considered.
 - There are no wrinkle bends in the *special permit segments*.
 - CGT meets this requirement.
- No pipe segments operating above 72% of the specified minimum yield strength (SMYS) will be considered for a Class 3 special permit.
 - The *special permit segments* operate at or below 72% SMYS.
 - CGT meets this requirement.
- Records must be produced that show a hydrostatic test to at least 1.25 x MAOP and 90% of SMYS.
 - The special permit **Condition 1(b)** requires CGT to pressure test any *special permit segments* that do not meet this requirement.
 - CGT will be required to meet this requirement.
- 6) In-line inspection (ILI) must have been performed with no significant anomalies identified that indicate systemic problems such as stress corrosion cracking (SCC).
 - CGT ran ILI tools on East Lateral 200 in 2009 and 2016, with a planned inspection in 2023, and conducted one (1) excavation and zero (0) repairs in the *special permit inspection area*.

- CGT ran ILI tools on Mainline 100 in 2005, 2010 and 2016, with a planned inspection in 2023, and conducted 41 excavations and 22 repairs in the *Stanton to Leach special permit inspection area*.
- CGT has had no SCC findings or failures. Due to the coating type, and operational and environmental conditions of the pipeline, CGT has evaluated the *special permit segments* and *special permit inspection areas* as being susceptible to SCC, both nearneutral and high-pH SCC.
- SCC is a significant safety threat; therefore, CGT will be required to conduct assessments to meet this requirement.
- 7) Criteria for consideration of a class location change waiver, being considered through the special permit, published by PHMSA in the Federal Register (69 FR 38948), define a *waiver inspection area* (*special permit inspection area*) as up to 25 miles of pipe on either side of the *waiver segment* (*special permit segment*).
 - A special permit would be contingent upon CGT's incorporation of the *special permit segments* in its written integrity management program as covered segments in a high consequence area in accordance with 49 CFR 192.903 and to assess and remediate threats to the *special permit inspection areas*.

<u>**Criteria Matrix**</u>: The data submitted by CGT for the *special permit segments* has been compared to the class location change special permit criteria matrix. The data fall within the *probable acceptance or the possible acceptance* column of the criteria matrix, except for PHMSA enforcement findings which fall under the *requires substantial justification*.

- The *special permit segments* fall in the *probable acceptance* column of the criteria matrix for:
 - Design stress, depth of pipe cover, test pressure, test failures, local geology, type service, pressure fluctuations, safety related conditions, direct assessment, ILI type, ILI program, and damage prevention program.
- The *special permit segments* fall in the *possible acceptance* column of the criteria matrix for:
 - Class 1 to 3, pipe manufacturer, pipe material, pipe coating type (may shield cathodic protection (CP)), leaks and failures, CP, and HCA program.

- The *special permit segments* fall in the *requires substantial justification* column of the criteria matrix for:
 - Pipe girth weld records are not available to determine if the welds have been nondestructive tested;
 - \circ The coating type may shield CP when disbonded causing SCC⁵, and
 - Inspection findings for TC Energy (Enforcement History) PHMSA enforcements are in the "Past Enforcement History – January 1, 2011 through January 28, 2021" section below.

Operational Integrity Compliance:

To inform PHMSA's decision about whether a special permit could provide a level of safety greater than or equal to a pipe replacement or pressure reduction and is consistent with pipeline safety, PHMSA reviewed this special permit request to understand the known type of integrity threats that are in the *special permit segments* and *special permit inspection areas*. This integrity information was used to design special permit conditions to provide a systematic program to review and remediate the pipeline for safety concerns. Additional operational integrity review and remediation requirements are required by this special permit to ensure that the operator has an ongoing program to locate and remediate safety threats. These threats to integrity and safety include any issues with the pipe coating quality, cathodic protection effectiveness, operations damage prevention program, pipe depth of soil cover, weld seam and girth weld integrity, anomalies in the pipe steel and welds, and material and structures either along or near the pipeline that could cause the cathodic protection system to be ineffective. PHMSA has carefully designed a comprehensive set of conditions that CGT must implement to comply with this special permit.

Past Enforcement History – January 1, 2011 through September 30, 2022:

From January 1, 2011, through September 30, 2022, CGT was cited in five (5) enforcement actions with a total of \$33,100 in assessed civil penalties. PHMSA initiated one (1) Corrective

⁵ Two types of SCC are found on pipelines: high pH (9 to 11) SCC and near-neutral pH (6 to 8) SCC. Coal tar and asphalt coatings that are disbonded are more prone to having SCC.

Action Order, one (1) Notice of Amendment, two (2) Notice of Probable Violation, and one (1) Warning Letter against CGT. However, TC Energy acquired CGT in 2016.

Table 3: CGT Enforcement Matters from January 1, 2011, through September 30, 2022								
Status	Corrective Action Order	Notice of Amendment	Notice of Probable Violation	Safety Order	Warning Letter	Total		
CLOSED	1	1	2	0	1	5		
OPEN	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	1	1	2	0	1	5		

Tables 3 and 4 below show PHMSA enforcement act	tions and civil penalties for CGT:
---	------------------------------------

Table 4: CGT Enforcement Civil Penalty StatusJanuary 1, 2011 through September 30, 2022						
Proposed	Awaiting Order	Assessed	Withdrawn/Reduced	Collected		
\$33,100	\$0	\$33,100	\$0	\$33,100		

Summary of Enforcement Findings for CGT includes:

- Construction: Atmospheric Corrosion Control: Monitoring; External Corrosion
 Control: Cathodic Protection and Electrical Isolation; Internal Corrosion Control:
 Inspection Internal Surface; Design: Yield Strength for Steel Pipe; Maintenance:
 Abandonment or Deactivation of Facilities, Pressure Limiting and Regulating Station-Relief
 Devices, Repair Procedures; Operations: Emergency Plans, Underwater Inspection to
 Identify Gulf of Mexico Pipeline Hazards; Operator Qualification: Qualification Program;
 Reporting: System Incident Reports, National Registry of Pipeline and LNG Operators;
 Welding: Qualification of Welders.
- 49 CFR 191.22, 191.5, 192.107, 192.227, 192.463, 192.467, 192.475, 192.481, 192.612, 192.615, 192.711, 192.727, 192.743, and 192.805.

Table 5 below shows PHMSA's enforcement actions and civil penalties for CGT and the specific 49CFR Parts 191 and 192 violations:

Table 5: Summary of Enforcement Findings from CGTJanuary 1, 2011 to September 30, 2022						
		Notice of Amendme	nt			
Corrosion Control	5	Design	1	Operation and/or Maintenance	5	
Operator Qualification	3	Welding of Steel in Pipelines	1			
	Notice of Amendment Total:					
		Notice of Probable Viol	ation			
Operation and/or Maintenance	1	Reporting	1			
			No	tice of Probable Violation Total:	2	
		Warning Letter				
Reporting	2					
Warning Letter Total: 2					2	
	Grand Total: 19					

From January 1, 2011, through September 30, 2022, PHMSA initiated the enforcement cases outlined in **Tables 6 and 7** against the four (4) pipeline companies owned by TC Energy, the operator of TCO. TC Energy owns and operates the ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC (TCO), Columbia Gulf Transmission, LLC (CGT), and Great Lakes Gas Transmission Company (GLGT) pipeline systems (Operator identification #s 405, 2616, 2620, and 6660). TC Energy acquired the TCO and CGT pipeline systems in 2016.

Table 6: Enforcement Matters from January 1, 2011 through September 30, 2022ANR, TCO, CGT, and GLGT									
Status	Corrective Action OrderNotice of AmendmentNotice of Probable ViolationSafety OrderWarning LetterTotal								
CLOSED	3	19	27	3	27	79			
OPEN	0	0	2	0	0	2			
Total	3	19	29	3	27	81			

Table 7: Enforcement Civil Penalty Status for ANR, TCO, CGT, and GLGTJanuary 1, 2011 through September 30, 2022							
Proposed	Awaiting Order Assessed		Withdrawn/Reduced	Collected			
\$3,364,004	\$0	\$3,160,404	\$177,200	\$3,160,404			

The type of 49 CFR Part 192 enforcement violations against TC Energy on these four (4) pipeline systems from January 1, 2011 through September 30, 2022 includes: **Construction:**

Compliance with specifications or standards and General Inspection; Control Room Management: Alarm Management, Fatigue Mitigation, Roles & Responsibilities, SCADA System Limitations, and Training; Atmospheric Corrosion Control: General and Monitoring; **Corrosion Control:** Corrosion Control Records and CP after installation within one year; External Corrosion Control: Buried Pipe Post 1971, CP, Electrical Isolation, Interference Currents, Monitoring, and Test Leads; Internal Corrosion Control: Inspection internal surface and Monitoring; **Design:** Compressor Station Design & Construction, Compressor Stations Additional Safety Equipment, Compressor Stations Emergency Shutdown, Supports and Anchors, Yield Strength for Steel Pipe; Drug and Alcohol: Alcohol Tests Required and Drug Testing Required; Enforcement Procedures: Inspections and Investigations, Integrity Management (IM): Addressing Integrity Issues, Change to IM Plan, Elements and Implementation, HCA Identification, Preventative and Mitigative Measures, Program Elements, and Requirements for SCC Direct Assessment (SCCDA); OME Procedural Manual: General, Maintenance and normal operations, and Safety Related Condition Report (SRCR); Maintenance: Abandonment or Deactivation of Facilities, Compressor Stations-Gas Detection, Compressor stations-inspection and testing of relief devices, Compressor stations-Storage of Combustible Materials, General, Line Markers, Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations-Inspection and Testing, Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations-Relief Devices, Prevention of Accidental Ignition, Procedures, Remedial Measures, Patrolling, Record keeping, Repair Procedures, and Valve Maintenance Transmission Lines; Operations: Change in Class Location (Required Study), Emergency Plans, General, MAOP-Steel or Plastic, Odorization of Gas, Procedures, Transfer Procedures, and Underwater Inspection to Identify Gulf of Mexico Pipeline Hazards; Operator Qualification: Qualification Program; Public Awareness: Activities for advising affected municipalities, Comprehensive Media, Develop and Implement Public Awareness, Justification for not following API RP 1162, Specifics addressing the Public; **Reporting:** Filing SRCR, Annual Reports, Systems Incident Reports, Immediate Reporting Incident, National Registry of Pipeline and LNG Operators, and SRCR; Test Requirements: General; Gas Transportation: Class Locations, Gathering Line Requirements, Underground Natural Gas Storage Facilities; Welding: Inspection and Test of Welds, Procedures, and Qualification of Welders,

• 49 CFR 191.15, 191.17, 191.22, 191.23, 191.25, 191.5, 192.107, 192.12, 192.161,

192.163, 192.167, 192.171, 192.201, 192.225, 192.227, 192.241, 192.303, 192.305, 192.309, 192.319, 192.455, 192.463, 192.465, 192.467, 192.471, 192.473, 192.475, 192.477, 192.479, 192.481, 192.491, 192.503, 192.505, 192.603, 192.605, 192.609, 192.612, 192.615, 192.616, 192.619, 192.620, 192.625, 192.631, 192.703, 192.705, 192.707, 192.709, 192.711, 192.727, 192.731, 192.735, 192.736, 192.739, 192.743, 192.745, 192.751, 192.805, 192.905, 192.907, 192.909, 192.911, 192.929, 192.933, 192.935, and 192.949.

Table 8 below gives a complete summary of the findings and the specific 49 CFR Parts 191 and 192

 violations:

		nforcement Findings for y 1, 2011 through Septen			
		Notice of Amendmen	t		
Construction	1	Control Room Management	14	Corrosion Control	5
Design	1	Integrity Management	5	OME Procedural Manual	10
Operation and/or Maintenance	13	Operator Qualification	5	Public Awareness	6
Transportation of Gas	10	Welding of Steel in Pipelines 2			
Notice of Amendment Total:					
		Notice of Probable Viola	tion		
Construction	2	Corrosion Control	12	Design	3
Drug and Alcohol	2	Integrity Management	6	OME Procedural Manual	6
Operation and/or Maintenance	18	Public Awareness	1	OME Procedural Manual	10
Operation and/or Maintenance	26	Reporting	11	Test Requirements	1
Transportation of Gas	1	Welding of Steel in Pipelines	1		
			Noti	ce of Probable Violation Total:	100
		Warning Letter			
Control Room Management	5	Corrosion Control	5	Design	2
Enforcement and Regulatory Procedures	1	Integrity Management	2	OME Procedural Manual	10
Operation and/or Maintenance	23	Operator Qualification	1	Reporting	9
Transportation of Gas	5	Welding of Steel in Pipelines	1		
Warning Letter Total:					
				Grand Total:	236

Findings:

Based on the information submitted by CGT and PHMSA's analysis of the technical, operational, and safety issues, PHMSA finds that granting this special permit to CGT to operate *special permit segments* on the CGT 30-inch diameter ML 100 and 24-inch diameter EL 200 Pipelines located in Rowan County, Kentucky and Vermilion Parish, Louisiana is consistent with pipeline safety.

PHMSA has designed special permit conditions to effectively assess and remediate threats to the *special permit segments* and *special permit inspection areas*, including assessments to evaluate pipe girth welds that have not been non-destructive tested and for cracking type anomalies. To ensure CGT properly implements the special permit conditions, CGT will be required to give PHMSA an annual review of their compliance with the special permit.

PHMSA finds that no significant negative impact to human safety and the environment will result from the issuance and full implementation by CGT of a special permit that waives the requirements of 49 CFR 192.611, 192.505(c), and 192.619(a)(2) for class location changes from a Class 1 to a Class 3 location. This permit requires CGT to implement the special permit conditions which include safety requirements on the operations, maintenance, and integrity management of the *special permit segments* and the *special permit inspection areas*.

Completed in Washington DC on: May 22, 2023 Prepared by: PHMSA - Engineering and Research Division

Final Page of the Special Permit Analysis and Findings