

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION
SPECIAL PERMIT - Class 1 to 3 Location

Special Permit Information:

Docket Number: PHMSA-2008-0331
Requested By: Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC
Operator ID#: 2616
Original Issuance Date: March 2, 2010
1st Renewal Issuance Date: October 9, 2015
2nd Renewal Issuance Date: March 31, 2022
Effective Dates: March 31, 2022 to March 31, 2032
Code Section(s): 49 CFR 192.611(a)

Grant of Special Permit:

By this order, subject to the terms and conditions set forth below, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS)¹ grants renewal of this special permit to Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC (TCO)² for four (4) *special permit segments* consisting of approximately 2.863 miles of 30-inch diameter gas transmission pipelines and grants an additional four (4) *special permit segments* consisting of approximately 1.119 miles of 30-inch gas transmission pipelines, which are all located in Putnam and Kanawha Counties, West Virginia. This permit includes the renewal of a previous special permit granted to TCO³ that covered many of the same segments with updated conditions, extensions of *special permit segments* that were included in the previous permit, and includes new segments added to the permit. Within this special permit renewal, PHMSA

¹ Throughout this special permit, the usage of “PHMSA” or “PHMSA OPS” means the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety.

² TCO is a wholly-owned subsidiary of TC Energy.

³ The previous special permit for PHMSA-2008-0331 can be found at <https://www.phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline/special-permits-state-waivers/phmsa-2008-0331>.

waives compliance from 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 192.611(a) for eight (8) *special permit segments* that have undergone changes from Class 1 to Class 3. The federal pipeline safety regulations in 49 CFR 192.611(a) require natural gas pipeline operators to confirm or revise the maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of a pipeline segment after a change in class location.

I. Purpose:

TCO sought this special permit for Class 1 to Class 3 location changes occurring on the 30-inch diameter SM80 and SM80 Loop Pipelines. On the condition that TCO complies with the terms and conditions set forth below, the special permit waives compliance from 49 CFR 192.611(a)⁴ for approximately 3.981 miles of natural gas transmission pipeline. This special permit allows TCO to maintain the current MAOP as shown in **Table 1 – Special Permit Segments**.

II. Special Permit Segments and Inspection Areas:

This permit pertains to the specified *special permit segments* and corresponding *special permit inspection areas* defined in this section.

Special Permit Segments:

This proposed special permit applies to the *special permit segments* in **Table 1 – Special Permit Segments** and are identified using the TCO survey station (SS) references.

⁴ PHMSA is granting this special permit for Class 1 to Class 3 location changes where the pipeline has been pressure tested to 1.25 times MAOP or greater for eight (8) hours to meet 49 CFR 192.619(a)(2), 192.611(a), 192.517, and **Condition 1(b)**. Each *special permit segment* must meet the documentation requirements in **Condition 16 - Documentation**.

Table 1 – Special Permit Segments

Special Permit Segment Number ⁵	Segment Type ^{6,7}	Outside Diameter (inches)	Line Name	Length (feet)	Start Survey Station (SS)	End Survey Station (SS)	County, State	Year Installed	Seam Type	MAOP (psig) ⁸	Material - Condition 13(d) Required (Yes)
3	Active	30	SM80	4,577	1957+32	2003+09	Putnam, WV	1955	DSAW	935	
4	Active	30	SM80	722	2530+34	2537+56	Putnam, WV	1955	DSAW	920	
5	Active	30	SM80	4,768	2687+17	2734+85	Putnam, WV	1955	DSAW	920	
6	Active	30	SM80 Loop	5,049	2762+58	2813+07	Putnam, WV	1968	DSAW	920	Yes ⁹
7	New	30	SM80	891	2773+23	2782+14	Putnam, WV	1955	DSAW	920	
8	New	30	SM80	2,295	2813+61	2836+56	Putnam, WV	1955	DSAW	920	
9	New	30	SM80	1,652	3013+82	3030+34	Kanawha, WV	1955	DSAW	920	
10	New	30	SM80 Loop	1,068	2047+14	2057+82	Putnam, WV	1969	DSAW	935	Yes

Note: DSAW is double submerged arc welded longitudinal seam pipe.

Special Permit Inspection Areas:

The *special permit inspection areas* are defined as the areas that extends 220 yards on each side of the centerline as listed in **Table 2 – Special Permit Inspection Areas**.

Table 2 – Special Permit Inspection Areas

Special Permit Inspection Area Number	Special Permit Segment(s) Included	Outside Diameter (inches)	Line Name	Start Survey Station (SS)	End Survey Station (SS)	Length ¹⁰ (miles)
1	3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9	30	SM80	219+69	3030+88	53.4
2	6, 10	30	SM80 Loop	251+59	3094+43	53.8

Extended Special Permit Segments:

The *extended special permit segment* is defined as the *special permit segment* and the five (5) contiguous miles past each endpoint.

⁵ *Special permit segments 1 and 2* in the original PHMSA-2008-0331 Special Permit have been replaced with Class 3 compliant pipe and have been removed from this special permit renewal.

⁶ “Active” *special permit segments* are “currently managed” by a special permit and are to be renewed with the issuance of this special permit.

⁷ “New” *special permit segments* are pipeline segments that are being added to the special permit through the renewal process which includes a Federal Register notice and the issuance of a Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact.

⁸ Pressure tests were conducted after July 1, 1965, see 49 CFR 192.619(a)(3) for applicability.

⁹ TVC material records for 4,980 feet of the original pipe installed in 1968 located at 2762+58 to 2764+86, 2765+11 to 2771+95, 2772+18 to 2800+57, and 2800+78 to 2813+07 were not provided.

¹⁰ If the *special permit inspection area* footage does not extent from launcher to receiver then the *special permit inspection area* would need to be extended.

Attachment B and C-1 through C-4 are maps showing the *special permit segments, special permit inspection areas*, and class locations.

PHMSA grants this special permit based on the findings set forth in the “Special Permit Analysis and Findings” and “Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact” documents, which can be read in their entirety in Docket No. PHMSA-2008-0331 in the Federal Docket Management System located on the internet at www.regulations.gov.

III. Conditions:

PHMSA grants this special permit subject to TCO implementing the following conditions on the *special permit segments* and *special permit inspection areas*. Each condition detailed in this section applies to the *special permit inspection areas* and the corresponding *special permit segments* unless otherwise noted in the condition:

1) Condition 1 - Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure

- a) **Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure**: TCO must continue to operate each *special permit segment* and *special permit inspection area* at or below the existing MAOPs. *Special permit segments 3 and 10* have MAOPs of 935 psig. *Special permit segments 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9* have MAOPs of 920 psig.
- b) **Pressure Test**: TCO must identify previous pressure tests for each *special permit segment*. Pressure test records for each *special permit segment* must meet 49 CFR 192.517(a) and be traceable, verifiable, and complete (TVC)¹¹ as required in 49 CFR 192.624(a)(1).¹²
 - i) TCO must furnish TVC pressure test records to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division, and to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, within 60 days of the grant of the special permit. The pressure test records must be compliant with **Condition 1(b)**.¹³ TCO must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, that the TVC pressure test records are compliant with 49 CFR 192.517(a),

¹¹ TVC procedures and records must follow the following: 1) “Pipeline Safety: Safety of Gas Transmission Pipelines: MAOP Reconfirmation, Expansion of Assessment Requirements and Other Related Amendments”; 84 FR 52218 to 52219; October 1, 2019; and 2) PHMSA Advisory Bulletin: Pipeline Safety: Verification of Records; 77 FR 26822; May 7, 2012; <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-05-07/pdf/2012-10866.pdf>.

¹² TCO has furnished TVC pressure test records to PHMSA for the *special permit segments* that meet **Condition 1(b)**.

¹³ The pressure test records must cover the entire length of the *special permit segment*, regardless of when the pipeline, single or multiple pipe joints, or other pipeline components were installed. Affidavits for a pressure test are not acceptable TVC pressure test records.

192.624(a)(1), and 192.619(a)(1) through (a)(4) for a Class 1 location, or TCO must pressure test the *special permit segment* in accordance with **Condition 1(b)(ii)**.

ii) If TCO does not have a TVC record of a 1.25 times the MAOP hydrotest in accordance with Subpart J, or the *special permit segment* requires an updated pressure test, the *special permit segment* must be hydrostatically tested¹⁴ to a minimum of 1.39 times the MAOP for eight (8) continuous hours in accordance with 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart J, within 18 months of the grant of this special permit.¹⁵

c) **MAOP Restoration or Up-rating of Previously De-rated Pipe**: MAOP restoration or up-rating is not approved for this special permit.

2) **Condition 2 - Procedure Updates**

Within 90 days of the grant of the special permit, TCO must develop and maintain procedures in accordance with 49 CFR 192.603 and 192.605 that incorporate the special permit condition requirements as follows:

a) **Operations and Maintenance Manual**: TCO must amend the applicable sections of its Operations and Maintenance (O&M) manual(s) and procedures to incorporate the special permit conditions.

b) **Integrity Management Program**:

i) TCO must incorporate each *special permit segment* into its written integrity management (IM) program procedures as if the *special permit segment* is a “covered segment” as defined in 49 CFR 192.903, except for the reporting requirements contained in 49 CFR 192.945.¹⁶ A *special permit inspection area* outside of a *special permit segment* is not required to be included as “covered segments” in accordance with 49 CFR 192.903.

ii) The *special permit inspection area* and *special permit segment* must have integrity threats identified, assessed, and remediated in accordance with these special permit conditions, 49 CFR 192.917, and 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart O.

¹⁴ For all in-service and pressure test failures, TCO must perform a root cause analysis, including the metallurgical examination of the failed pipe, to determine if the failure is caused by a systemic or non-systemic issue. TCO must provide the written results of this root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, within 90 days of the failure and must submit a copy of the root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

¹⁵ The grant of this special permit, as used throughout, is the signed issuance date of the special permit.

¹⁶ TCO must follow the reporting requirements in **Condition 15 – Annual Report** as well as those noted throughout the conditions contained herein.

- iii) Any high consequence area (HCA) in either a *special permit segment* or a *special permit inspection area* must be assessed and remediated for threats in accordance with these special permit conditions and 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart O.
 - iv) All permit conditions that are applicable to a *special permit segment* or to a *special permit inspection area* are applicable to HCAs where the HCA overlaps a *special permit segment* or a *special permit inspection area*.
 - v) All special permit conditions that are applicable to a *special permit inspection area* are also applicable to the *special permit segment*. A *special permit segment* must meet the requirements of 49 CFR 192, Subpart O, if Subpart O is more stringent than the special permit conditions.
 - vi) The *special permit inspection area* must be able to be assessed using inline inspection (ILI) tools, including tethered or remotely controlled tools, in accordance with 49 CFR 192.150 and 192.493.
- c) **Damage Prevention Program**: TCO must incorporate within a *special permit inspection area* the applicable best practices of the Common Ground Alliance (CGA)¹⁷ in its damage prevention (DP) program.

3) **Condition 3 – Corrosion Control**

TCO must promptly address any corrosion control deficiencies in a *special permit segment* that are indicated by the inspection and testing programs required under 49 CFR 192.463 and 192.465.

- a) **Cathodic Protection Test Station Spacing**: At least one (1) cathodic protection (CP) pipe-to-soil test station must be located within each *special permit segment*, with a spacing not to exceed ½ mile between CP pipe-to-soil test stations. In cases where obstructions or restricted areas prevent such test station placement, the test station must be placed in the closest practical location, not to exceed a 3,000-foot spacing. CP pipe-to-soil test stations must be installed within 12 months of the grant of this special permit.
- b) **Annual Monitoring of Test Station Potential Measurements**: At least once every calendar year, not to exceed 15 months, TCO must monitor CP pipe-to-soil test stations to meet 49 CFR 192.463 and 192.465 for the *special permit segment* and must include “on and off” potential

¹⁷ Common Ground Alliance. (March 2020). Best Practices Guide. Retrieved from: <https://commongroundalliance.com/BPguide>.

measurements. Test station readings (pipe-to-soil potential measurements) must comply with Appendix D – Section I.A. (1) of 49 CFR Part 192 or remediation detailed in paragraph (c) of this condition is required. For hard spots identified with a Brinell Hardness (HB) of 300 HB or greater, CP voltage levels must be maintained more electro-positive than minus 1.2 volts direct current (DC).

c) **Inadequate Cathodic Protection Level Determination:**

- i) In instances where inadequate potentials are a result of an electrical short to an adjacent foreign structure, a rectifier malfunction, an interruption of power source, or an interruption of CP current due to other non-systemic or location-specific causes, TCO must document and repair these instances. A close interval survey (CIS) will not be required.
- ii) All other instances must be assessed as detailed in **Condition 4 – Close Interval Surveys**.

d) **Remedial Action Plans:**

- i) Within six (6) months of identifying a deficiency, TCO must develop a remedial action plan to restore CP to meet 49 CFR 192.463. Within two (2) months of the finding, TCO must apply for any necessary environmental permits (federal or state).
- ii) TCO must complete the remediation and confirm restoration of adequate CP over the entire area where inadequate CP levels were detected within 12 months of the deficiency finding or as soon as practicable after obtaining the necessary permits.

4) **Condition 4 – Close Interval Surveys**

a) **Survey Methodology and Boundaries:**

- i) TCO must perform an “on and off” current CIS at a maximum 5-foot spacing along the entire length of each *special permit segment*.¹⁸
- ii) TCO must evaluate each *special permit segment* in accordance with 49 CFR 192.463.
- iii) For inadequate CP level determination described in **Condition 3(c)(ii)**, TCO must conduct a CIS in both directions from the test station with an inadequate CP reading with the CIS ending at the adjacent test stations.

b) **Survey Intervals:** TCO must perform the CIS within the following timeframes:

¹⁸ Each condition in this special permit that requires TCO to perform an action with respect to the *special permit inspection area* also requires TCO to perform that action on each *special permit segment* within the area.

- i) Initial assessment must be completed for each newly incorporated and extended *special permit segment* within 12 months after the grant of the special permit. For a *special permit segment* renewal, the CIS may be conducted at the next reassessment interval.¹⁹
 - ii) Reassessments must be conducted every five (5) years not to exceed 66 months. CISs within the reassessment interval are not required to be performed in the same year as ILI reassessments.
- c) **Survey Remediation and Remedial Action Plans:**
- i) If a *special permit segment* requires the use of 100 millivolt shift criteria²⁰ or the installation of linear anodes along the *special permit segment* to meet the CP requirements of 49 CFR 192.463, it is not eligible to operate with a Class 1 pipe in a Class 3 location. TCO must either: (1) replace the pipe in the *special permit segment* with Class 3 location standard (design factor) pipe (see 49 CFR 192.111(a)); (2) recoat the pipe with non-shielding external coating within 12 months of the finding; or (3) lower the MAOP to meet 49 CFR 192.611.
 - ii) Within four (4) months of identifying a deficiency, TCO must develop a remedial action plan to restore CP to meet 49 CFR 192.463. Within two (2) months of the remedial action plan being developed, TCO must apply for any necessary environmental permits (federal or state).
 - iii) TCO must complete remediation of each *special permit segment* and confirm restoration of adequate CP over the entire area where inadequate CP levels were detected within 12 months of the survey or as soon as practicable after obtaining the necessary permits.²¹

¹⁹ A CIS survey conducted in 2020 for a *special permit segment* that is permit condition compliant would not need to be resurveyed in 2021 but could wait until the next CIS survey reassessment time.

²⁰ A.W. Peabody, "Peabody's Control of Pipeline Corrosion," second edition, "Criteria for Cathodic Protection." "The 100mV polarization criterion should not be used in areas subject to stray current because 100 mV of polarization may not be sufficient to mitigate corrosion in these areas. This criterion also should not be used in areas where the intergranular form of external SCC, also referred to as high-pH or classical SCC, is suspected. The potential range for cracking lies between the native potential and -850 mV (CSE) such that application of the 100mV polarization criterion may place the potential of the structure in the range for cracking."

²¹ If remediation based upon the findings of the CIS is not practicable within 12 months of the CIS survey, TCO must submit a schedule and justify the delay 60 days prior to the 12-month completion requirement to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region. TCO must receive a "no objection" letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to a pipe coating remediation schedule extension.

5) **Condition 5 – Inline Inspection**

- a) **Threat Identification**: TCO must implement data integration and identify integrity threats in the *special permit inspection area* at least once each calendar year, with intervals not to exceed 15 months, in accordance with 49 CFR 192.917 and **Condition 13(c) – Data Integration**. The stress corrosion cracking (SCC) threat assessment for the *extended special permit segment*,²² must be conducted using the current incorporated by reference (IBR) edition of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Standard B31.8S, "Managing System Integrity of Gas Pipelines" (ASME B31.8S) Appendix A3 and National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE) Standard Practice (SP) 0204-2008, "Stress Corrosion Cracking Direct Assessment Methodology," Sections 1.2.1.1 and 1.2.2.
- b) **Inline Inspection Methodology**: TCO must conduct instrumented ILI integrity assessments in accordance with 49 CFR 192.493, for each *special permit inspection area* for all threats identified in accordance with 49 CFR 192.919 and 192.921.
- i) At a minimum, TCO must conduct ILI assessments for corrosion and denting with high-resolution (HR) magnetic flux leakage (HR-MFL) and HR deformation tools with deformation-extended sensor arms not limited by pig cups.
 - ii) For near-neutral or high-pH SCC (cracking threat), TCO must use an ILI tool²³ that will identify tight cracks.²⁴
 - iii) A *special permit segment* with electric flash-welded (EFW) pipe must have an ILI tool assessment run for hard spots and cracking from hard spots.
 - iv) In a *special permit inspection area* that has experienced pipe or girth weld leaks or ruptures due to soil movement or the threat has been identified, TCO must run inertial measurement unit (IMU) and HR-deformation ILI tools for detection and remediation of strains and denting of the pipe body and girth welds from soil or pipe movements that

²² The *extended special permit segment* is defined as the *special permit segment* and the five (5) contiguous miles past each endpoint.

²³ The crack ILI tool must be comparable to an electro-magnetic acoustic transducer (EMAT) ILI tool.

²⁴ TCO may propose an alternative assessment method for SCC (such as spike hydrostatic testing in accordance with 49 CFR 192.506) to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, with a copy of the proposal to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division. TCO must receive a "no objection" letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to implementing any alternative assessment methods for SCC.

impair pipeline integrity. Remediation must be conducted as determined by **Condition 13(j) – Pipe and Soil Movement**.

- c) **Inline Inspection Assessment Intervals**: TCO must conduct initial assessments and reassessments for the *special permit inspection area* in accordance with the following:
- i) Initial ILI assessments must be conducted as follows:
 - (1) If the *special permit segment* has EFW pipe, it must be assessed for hard spots within 18 months of the special permit grant date.
 - (2) TCO must assess for the cracking threat in each *extended special permit segment* within 18 months of the special permit grant date.
 - (3) All other identified threats must be assessed within two (2) years of the special permit grant date.
 - (4) For newly identified threats, assessments must be completed within two (2) years of identification.
 - (5) Previous ILI assessments may be applied if **Condition 8 – Anomaly Evaluation and Remediation** is completed and the **Condition 5(c)(ii)** reassessment interval is maintained.
 - ii) Reassessments must be completed in accordance with the shortest interval of the following:
 - (1) TCO must perform reassessments for all threats at intervals of five (5) calendar years not to exceed 66 months; or
 - (2) Engineering critical assessment (ECA)-determined interval, if applicable.
 - iii) After conducting two (2) assessments of a threat, one (1) of which must be after the grant of this special permit, TCO may request reassessment intervals up to seven (7) years for that threat assessment. TCO must submit for and receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to implementing this change.
 - iv) If factors beyond TCO’s control prevent the completion of an assessment within the required timeframe or reassessment interval, TCO must perform the assessment as soon as practicable, and TCO must submit a letter justifying the delay and provide the anticipated date of completion to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, no later than two (2) months prior to the end the timeframe or interval. TCO must receive a “no objection” letter from

the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, for the delay or must lower the MAOP of the *special permit segment* in accordance with 49 CFR 192.611.

- d) **Remediation:** Anomaly assessments must be evaluated and remediated in accordance with **Condition 8 – Anomaly Evaluation and Remediation.**

6) **Condition 6 - Girth Welds**

- a) **Construction Girth Weld Non-Destructive Test Records:** TCO must provide records to PHMSA that demonstrate the girth welds in the *special permit inspection area* were either:
- i) Non-destructively tested (NDT) at the time of construction in accordance with the federal pipeline safety regulations at the time the pipelines were constructed, or
 - ii) At least 1% of the girth welds and a minimum of two (2) girth welds in each *special permit segment* were NDT after initial construction and prior to the special permit application. TCO must demonstrate these welds were excavated, NDT, and repaired, if the welds do not meet federal pipeline safety regulations at the time the pipelines were constructed.
- b) **Missing Records:** If TCO cannot provide girth weld records to PHMSA to demonstrate compliance with **Condition 6(a)**, TCO must complete either **Condition 6(b)(i)** or both **Conditions 6(b)(ii)** and **(iii)** within 12 months of the grant of this special permit as follows:²⁵
- i) Certify to PHMSA, in writing, that there have been no in-service leaks or breaks in the girth welds in the *special permit inspection area* for the life of the pipeline; or
 - ii) Evaluate the terrain along each *special permit segment* for threats to girth weld integrity from soil or settlement stresses, perform NDT, and remediate all such integrity threats;²⁶ and

²⁵ TCO may propose an alternative method for obtaining missing records to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, with a copy of the proposal to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division. TCO must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to implementing the alternative method. An example of an alternative method could be usage of an appropriate ILI tool to identify girth weld(s) for excavation to determine overall girth weld integrity in the *special permit segment*.

²⁶ If a *special permit segment* has not had girth weld NDT to meet **Condition 6 – Girth Welds** and has experienced pipe or girth weld leaks or ruptures due to soil movement or the threat has been identified, then **Condition 5(b)(iv)** must be conducted within 12 months of the finding.

iii) Excavate,²⁷ visually inspect, and perform NDT on at least two (2) girth welds on each *special permit segment* in accordance with the applicable American Petroleum Institute Standard 1104, “*Welding of Pipelines and Related Facilities*” (API 1104) as follows:

- (1) Using the edition of API 1104 current at the time the pipeline was constructed;
- (2) Using the edition of API 1104 IBR in the federal pipeline safety regulations at the time the pipeline was constructed; or
- (3) Using the edition of API 1104 currently IBR in 49 CFR 192.7.

c) **Defective Girth Welds**: If any girth weld in a *special permit segment* is found unacceptable in accordance with the API 1104 IBR Edition at the time of pipeline construction, TCO must repair the girth weld immediately and then prepare an inspection and remediation plan for all remaining girth welds in the *special permit segment* based upon the repair findings and the threat to the *special permit segment*. TCO must submit the inspection and remediation plan for girth welds to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, and must receive a “no objection” letter for the girth weld remediation plan prior to its implementation.²⁸ TCO must remediate girth welds in the *special permit segment* in accordance with the inspection and remediation plan within 90 days of the “no-objection” letter receipt.²⁹

7) **Condition 7 - Stress Corrosion Cracking Threat**

TCO must evaluate the entire length of each *special permit inspection area* for SCC as follows:

- a) **Threat Assessments**: TCO must complete the SCC threat assessment as detailed in **Condition 5(a) – Threat Assessment**.
- b) **SCC Integrity Assessment**: If the threat assessment required under **Condition 7(a)** indicates the *extended special permit segment*³⁰ is susceptible to either near-neutral or high-pH SCC, TCO must perform an SCC assessment on the *extended special permit*

²⁷ TCO must evaluate the pipe for SCC any time the *special permit inspection area* is uncovered or excavated in accordance with **Condition 8(b) or (c)** of this special permit. Pipe with fusion bonded epoxy coating does not require SCC evaluation when excavated unless SCC has been identified as a threat in the *special permit inspection area*.

²⁸ The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, must respond to TCO's submittal letter within 90 days of receipt with a decision letter, or either give TCO a request for additional information or a need of additional time for PHMSA to review the request.

²⁹ TCO must include any plan requirements or comments received from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, into the remediation plan.

³⁰ The *extended special permit segment* is defined as the *special permit segment* and the five (5) contiguous miles past each endpoint.

segment in accordance with **Condition 5 – Inline Inspection**. SCC integrity assessment using spike pressure testing is not approved for this special permit.³¹

- c) **Examination of Pipe:** If the threat of SCC exists in the *extended special permit segment* as determined in **Condition 7(a)**, TCO must directly examine the pipe for SCC when the coating has been identified as poor during the pipeline examination. The examination must be conducted using an accepted crack detection practice in accordance with 49 CFR 192.710(c)(4), (d), and **Condition 7(d)** when the *extended special permit segment* is uncovered for any reason to comply with the special permit and IM activities, not including One Call activities (49 CFR 192.614).
- d) **Inspection of Pipe at Excavations:** Except for pipe coated with non-shielding coatings (fusion-bonded or liquid-applied epoxy coatings) and excavations performed in accordance with 49 CFR 192.614(c), TCO must directly examine the pipe for SCC using non-destructive examination methods appropriate for the type of pipe and integrity threat conditions in the ditch. TCO must use appropriate methods for crack detection, such as phased array ultrasonic testing (PAUT), inverse wavefield extrapolation (IWEX), or magnetic particle inspection (MPI),³² when an *extended special permit segment* is uncovered, and the coating has been identified as poor during the pipeline examination. Visual inspection is not sufficient to determine “poor coating.” TCO must “jeep” the excavated segment to determine the coating condition. Examples of “poor coating” include, but are not limited to, a coating that has become damaged and is losing adhesion to the pipe which is shown by falling off the pipe and/or shields the CP. TCO must keep coating records³³ at all excavation locations in the *special permit inspection area* to demonstrate the coating condition.
- e) **Discovery of SCC:** If TCO discovers SCC³⁴ activity by any means within the *extended special permit segment* in similar pipe vintage (manufacturer, manufacturing time or age, diameter,

³¹ TCO may propose an alternative assessment method for SCC (such as spike hydrostatic testing in accordance with 49 CFR 192.506) to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, with a copy of the proposal to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division. TCO must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to implementing any alternative assessment methods for SCC.

³² When MPI finds cracking, another method must be used to size the crack unless the crack can be completely ground out and still meet the pipeline MAOP.

³³ The records must include, at a minimum, a description of TCO’s detection procedures, records of finding, and mitigation procedures implemented for the excavation.

³⁴ “SCC” activity shall be defined as greater than 20 percent wall thickness depth and 2-inches in length.

wall thickness, grade, and seam type) and pipe coating vintage (in accordance with 49 CFR 192.917(e)), or the *extended special permit segment* has had an in-service or hydrostatic test SCC failure or leak,³⁵ the *special permit segment* must be further assessed and mitigated, within 18 months of finding SCC and reassessed every five (5) calendar years or less³⁶ based upon the evaluated growth of the SCC, using one (1) of the following methods:

i) **Spike Hydrostatic Test Program**:³⁷

(1) TCO must perform its SCC spike hydrostatic test program in an *extended special permit segment* in accordance with 49 CFR 192.506 and include an ECA of the results that includes a determination of the reassessment interval, and

(2) If a joint of pipe in an *extended special permit segment* leaks or ruptures during a hydrostatic test due to SCC, TCO must replace the pipe joint that does not meet 49 CFR 192.611 in the *extended special permit segment* with new pipe. TCO must complete a successful SCC hydrostatic test prior to returning the *extended special permit segment* to operational service;

ii) **Crack Detection Tool Assessment**: TCO must run an electro-magnetic acoustic transducer (EMAT) ILI tool or other equivalent crack detection ILI tool in the *extended special permit segment*;

iii) **MAOP Lowered**: TCO must lower the MAOP of the *special permit segment* to 60% specified minimum yield strength (SMYS);

iv) **Pipe Replacement**: TCO must replace all pipe and comply with 49 CFR 192.611 and 192.619 in the *special permit segment*; or

³⁵ For all in-service and pressure test failures, TCO must perform a root cause analysis, including the metallurgical examination of the failed pipe, to determine if the failure is caused by a systemic or non-systemic issue. TCO must provide the written results of this root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, within 90 days of the failure and must submit a copy of the root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

³⁶ TCO has the option to submit a written request to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, with a copy to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division, for extension of the crack assessment interval to seven (7) years, as defined in 49 CFR 192.939(a), if the ECA shows that five (5) calendar year assessments are not required. TCO must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to extending the assessment interval to seven (7) calendar years.

³⁷ TCO may propose an alternative assessment method for SCC (such as spike hydrostatic testing in accordance with 49 CFR 192.506) to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, with a copy of the proposal to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division. TCO must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to implementing any alternative assessment methods for SCC.

- v) **Operating Pressure Lowered**: TCO must lower the operating pressure of the *special permit segment* to 20% below the maximum pressure during the preceding 90-day operating interval until TCO conducts an ECA and remediates the *special permit segment*.
 - f) **SCC Remediation Plan**: If TCO discovers any SCC activity in the *extended special permit segment*, TCO must submit an SCC remediation plan to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, and send a copy to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division, no later than 90 days after the finding of SCC.³⁸ The plan must:
 - i) Meet **Condition 7(e)** and include an SCC remediation/repair plan with SCC characterization and timing; or
 - ii) Include a technical justification that shows that TCO is addressing the threat for SCC in the *special permit segment*.
- 8) **Condition 8 - Anomaly Evaluation and Remediation**
- a) **General**: TCO must use the procedures specified in the special permit conditions, 49 CFR 192.712, and **Attachment A** when evaluating anomalies. TCO must account for ILI tool tolerance and corrosion growth rates in determining scheduled response times and repairs and must document and justify the values used.
 - i) **ILI Tool Accuracy**: TCO must demonstrate ILI tool tolerance accuracy for each ILI tool run by using calibration excavations and unity plots that demonstrate ILI tool accuracy to meet the tool accuracy specification provided by the vendor (typical for depth within +10% accuracy for 80% of the time). TCO must incorporate ILI tool accuracy by ensuring that each ILI tool service provider determines the tolerance of each tool and includes that tolerance in determining the size of each anomaly feature reported to TCO. TCO must compare previous indications to current indications that are significantly different. If a trend is identified where the tool has been consistently overcalling or under-calling, the remaining ILI features must be re-graded accordingly. ILI tools used must be calibrated as follows:
 - (1) **General ILI Tool Calibration**: ILI tool calibrations must use ILI tool run results and anomaly calibrations from either the *special permit inspection area* or from the complete ILI tool run segment if the continuous ILI segment is longer than the *special*

³⁸ For TCO to go forward with the technical justification for addressing the SCC threat, TCO must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region.

permit inspection area. ILI calibration excavations may include previously excavated anomalies or recent anomaly excavations with known dimensions that were field measured for length, depth, and width, externally re-coated, CP maintained, and documented for ILI calibrations prior to the ILI tool run. A minimum of four (4) calibration excavations must be used for unity plots.³⁹

(2) **EMAT ILI Tool Calibration:**

- (a) ILI calibration for EMAT ILI Tools must be based upon excavation results of a minimum of the two (2) most severe anomalies from a combined review of crack depth and length. If the EMAT tool identifies only one (1) anomaly, the anomaly must be excavated and assessed. TCO can propose alternative EMAT ILI Tool evaluation procedures to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, but must receive a “no objection” letter prior to usage of these procedures.
- (b) If the EMAT ILI tool does not identify any cracking anomalies above the minimum length and depth criteria for 90% probability of detection, TCO must provide the following to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region:
 - (1) EMAT ILI service provider report with any TCO provided reporting thresholds for cracking;
 - (2) Calibration data showing the ILI tool meets API Standard 1163 IBR - *Sections 6 - Qualification of Performance Specifications, Section 7 - System Operational Verification, and Section 8 - System Results Validation*, as applicable; and
 - (3) Previous in-ditch non-destructive examination records showing no SCC findings.

³⁹ Other known and documented pipeline features that are appropriate for the type of ILI tool used may be used as calibration excavations for ILI tool calibration with technical documentation of their validity. To use other known and documented pipeline features as calibration excavations for ILI tool calibration, TCO must complete the following: (1) submit a plan for using known and documented pipeline features such as calibration excavation data, to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, with a copy to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division. The plan must include at least the following information: a) reason that known and documented pipeline features will be used in place of anomalies on the pipelines; b) the pipeline features that will be used for the ILI tool calibration; and c) the technical justification for using the pipeline features for ILI tool calibration; (2) receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to performing the ILI tool calibration using pipeline features; (3) submit a report to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, with a copy to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division, and with the results of the use of pipeline features for the ILI tool calibration that includes technical documentation establishing the validity of using the pipeline features for the ILI tool calibration.

- (4) TCO must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, that no excavation is required for the EMAT ILI tool calibration.
- ii) **Unity Plots:** The unity plots must show actual anomaly depth versus predicted depth.
- iii) **ILI Tool Evaluations:** ILI tool evaluations for metal loss must use “6t x 6t”⁴⁰ interaction criteria for determining anomaly failure pressures and response timing.
- iv) **Discovery Date:** The discovery date⁴¹ must be within 180 days of any ILI tool run for each type of ILI tool (e.g., HR-geometry, HR-deformation, HR-MFL, EMAT, IMU, or other equivalent ILI tools).
- b) **Remediation schedule for “special permit inspection area”:** TCO must remediate the *special permit inspection area*⁴² as follows:
- i) **Immediate repair conditions for a “special permit inspection area”:** TCO must repair the following conditions immediately upon discovery in a *special permit inspection area*:
- (1) Metal loss anomaly where the calculation of the remaining strength of the pipe shows a predicted failure pressure determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(b) less than or equal to 1.1 times the MAOP at the location of the anomaly.
 - (2) Metal loss greater than 80% of nominal wall, regardless of dimensions.
 - (3) Metal loss preferentially affecting a detected pipe weld seam, and the predicted failure pressure determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d) is less than 1.25 times the MAOP or the metal loss is greater than 50% of pipe wall thickness.⁴³
 - (4) A dent located between the 8 o'clock and 4 o'clock positions (upper 2/3 of the pipe) that has metal loss, cracking, or a stress riser, unless an engineering analysis conducted in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712 and **Attachment A** demonstrates the condition is unlikely to pose a threat to the integrity of the pipeline until the next reassessment.

⁴⁰ “6t” means pipe wall thickness times six (6).

⁴¹ Discovery date is the day, month, and year that TCO receives the ILI tool run results from the ILI tool service provider.

⁴² Throughout this special permit, the *special permit inspection area* includes the *special permit segment*, so any anomalies found in a *special permit segment* must be remediated to meet the requirements for a *special permit inspection area* in addition to the requirements of this condition for a *special permit segment*. The *special permit segment* has additional remediation criteria in later sections of this special permit condition.

⁴³ ASME/ANSI B31G and R-STRENG are not acceptable evaluation methodologies for corrosion in pipe weld seams. Pipe weld seams must be evaluated using ECA methodology for cracking anomalies in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d).

- (5) A crack or crack-like anomaly meeting any of the following criteria:
- (a) Crack depth plus any metal loss is greater than 50% of pipe wall thickness;
 - (b) Crack depth plus any metal loss is greater than the inspection tool's maximum measurable depth; or
 - (c) The crack or crack-like anomaly has a predicted failure pressure, determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d), that is less than 1.25 times the MAOP.
- (6) An indication or anomaly that, in the judgment of TCO, requires immediate action.
- ii) **One-year conditions – Hard Spots for a “special permit inspection area”**: TCO must repair by installation of a Type B sleeve or cut-out and recoat within 12 months of discovery, any hard spots found in the pipe body of EFW pipe discovered after the grant of the special permit with a hardness on the Brinell Hardness scale (HB) of either (1) 300 HB or greater and 2-inches in length or width; (2) 300 HB or greater with any cracking or metal loss over 10% of wall thickness; or (3) a single reading of 320 HB or greater at any location.
- iii) **One-year conditions – dents, metal loss, and cracks for a “special permit inspection area”**: TCO must repair the following conditions within 12 months of discovery in a *special permit inspection area*:
- (1) A smooth dent located between the 8 o'clock and 4 o'clock positions (upper 2/3 of the pipe) with a depth greater than 6% of the pipeline diameter (greater than 0.50 inches in depth for a pipeline diameter less than Nominal Pipe Size (NPS) 12), unless an engineering analysis conducted in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712 and **Attachment A** demonstrates the condition is unlikely to pose a threat to the integrity of the pipeline until the next reassessment.
 - (2) A dent with a depth greater than 2% of the pipeline diameter (0.250 inches in depth for a pipeline diameter less than NPS 12) that affects pipe curvature at a girth weld or at a longitudinal or helical (spiral) seam weld, unless an engineering analysis conducted in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712 and **Attachment A** demonstrates the condition is unlikely to pose a threat to the integrity of the pipeline until the next reassessment.
 - (3) A dent located between the 4 o'clock and 8 o'clock positions (lower 1/3 of the pipe) that has metal loss, cracking, or a stress riser, unless an engineering analysis conducted in

accordance with 49 CFR 192.712 and **Attachment A** demonstrates the condition is unlikely to pose a threat to the integrity of the pipeline until the next reassessment.

- (4) Metal loss anomalies where a calculation of the remaining strength of the pipe shows a predicted failure pressure, determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(b), at the location of the anomaly less than or equal to 1.39 times the MAOP for Class 2 locations, and 1.50 times the MAOP for Class 3 and 4 locations. For metal loss anomalies in Class 1 locations outside of the *special permit segment* with a predicted failure pressure greater than 1.1 times the MAOP, TCO must follow the remediation schedule specified in ASME/ANSI B31.8S, Section 7, Figure 4.
 - (5) Metal loss that is located at a crossing of another pipeline, is in an area with widespread circumferential corrosion, or could affect a girth weld, with a predicted failure pressure determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712 less than 1.39 times the MAOP for Class 1 locations or where Class 2 locations contain Class 1 pipe, or 1.50 times the MAOP for all other Class 2 locations and Class 3 and Class 4 locations.
 - (6) Metal loss preferentially affecting a detected pipe weld seam, if that seam was formed by direct current, low-frequency or high-frequency electric resistance welding, electric flash welding, or that has a longitudinal joint factor less than 1.0 (49 CFR 192.113), and where the predicted failure pressure determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d) is less than 1.39 times the MAOP for Class 1 locations or where Class 2 locations contain Class 1 pipe, or 1.50 times the MAOP for all other Class 2 locations and Class 3 and Class 4 locations.⁴⁴
 - (7) A crack or crack-like anomaly that has a predicted failure pressure determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d) that is less than or equal to 1.39 times the MAOP for Class 1 locations or where Class 2 locations contain Class 1 pipe, and 1.50 times the MAOP for all other Class 2 locations and Class 3 and Class 4 locations.
- iv) **Two-year condition for crack repairs for a “special permit inspection area”**: TCO must remediate any crack or crack-like anomaly that has a crack depth greater than 40% of

⁴⁴ ASME/ANSI B31G and R-STRENG are not acceptable evaluation methodologies for corrosion in pipe weld seams. Pipe weld seams must be evaluated using ECA methodology for cracking anomalies in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d).

the pipe wall thickness within two (2) years of discovery that are in the *special permit inspection area* and area outside of the *special permit segment*.

- (v) **Monitored conditions for a “special permit inspection area”**: TCO does not have to schedule the following conditions for remediation but must record and monitor the conditions during subsequent risk assessments and integrity assessments for any change that may require remediation. Monitored conditions are the least severe and will not require examination and evaluation until the next scheduled integrity assessment.
- (1) A dent with a depth greater than 6% of the pipeline diameter (greater than 0.50 inches in depth for a pipeline diameter less than NPS 12) located between the 4 o'clock position and the 8 o'clock position (bottom 1/3 of the pipe), and engineering analyses of the dent conducted in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712 and **Attachment A** demonstrates the condition is unlikely to pose a threat to the integrity of the pipeline until the next reassessment.
 - (2) A dent located between the 8 o'clock and 4 o'clock positions (upper 2/3 of the pipe) with a depth greater than 6% of the pipeline diameter (greater than 0.50 inches in depth for a pipeline diameter less than NPS 12), and engineering analyses of the dent conducted in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712 and **Attachment A** demonstrates the condition is unlikely to pose a threat to the integrity of the pipeline until the next reassessment.
 - (3) A dent with a depth greater than 2% of the pipeline diameter (0.250 inches in depth for a pipeline diameter less than NPS 12) that affects pipe curvature at a girth weld or longitudinal or helical (spiral) seam weld, and engineering analyses conducted in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712 and **Attachment A** to demonstrate the condition is unlikely to pose a threat to the integrity of the pipeline until the next reassessment.
 - (4) A dent that has metal loss, cracking, or a stress riser, and an engineering analysis conducted in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712 and **Attachment A** to demonstrate the condition is unlikely to pose a threat to the integrity of the pipeline until the next reassessment.
 - (5) Metal loss preferentially affecting a detected pipe weld seam and where the predicted failure pressure determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d) is greater than or equal to 1.39 times the MAOP for Class 1 locations or where Class 2 locations contain

Class 1 pipe, or 1.50 times the MAOP for all other Class 2 locations and Class 3 and Class 4 locations.⁴⁵

(6) A crack or crack-like anomaly for which the predicted failure pressure, determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d), is greater than or equal to 1.39 times the MAOP for Class 1 locations or where Class 2 locations contain Class 1 pipe, or 1.50 times the MAOP for all other Class 2 locations and Class 3 and Class 4 locations.⁴⁶ The crack depth is less than 40% of the pipe wall thickness.

c) **Remediation schedule for a “special permit segment”**: In addition to the requirements in paragraphs (a) and (b) of **Condition 8** for a *special permit inspection area*, TCO must remediate conditions in a *special permit segment* as follows:⁴⁷

i) **One-year conditions for a “special permit segment”**: TCO must repair the following conditions within one (1) year of discovery in a *special permit segment*:

(1) **Pipe Wall**: Pipe wall thickness metal loss greater than 40%.

(2) **Weld Metal**: Girth weld metal loss greater than 30% of pipe wall thickness or pipe weld seam metal loss greater than 15% of pipe wall thickness.⁴⁸

(3) **Class 1 pipe**: Any anomaly with a predicted failure pressure less than 1.39 times the MAOP.

(4) **Class 2 pipe**: Any anomaly with a predicted failure pressure less than 1.67 times the MAOP.

⁴⁵ ASME/ANSI B31G and R-STRENG are not acceptable evaluation methodologies for corrosion in pipe weld seams. Pipe weld seams must be evaluated using ECA methodology for cracking anomalies in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d).

⁴⁶ Failure stress pressure and crack growth analysis of cracks and crack-like defects must be determined using a technically proven fracture mechanics model appropriate to the failure mode (ductile, brittle or both) and boundary condition used (pressure test, ILI, or other). Examples of technically proven models include but are not limited to: for the brittle failure mode, the Raju/Newman Model; for the ductile failure mode, Modified LnSec, API RP 579-1/ASME FFS-1, June 15, 2007, (API 579-1, Second Edition) – Level II or Level III, CorLas™, PAFFC, and PipeAccess™. All crack fracture mechanic evaluation models must be used within the assessment limits of the model.

⁴⁷ The *special permit inspection area* includes the *special permit segment*, so any anomalies found in a *special permit segment* must be remediated to meet the requirements for a *special permit inspection area* in addition to the requirements in this condition. The *special permit segment* must also be remediated to meet all additional remediation requirements specifically for the *special permit segment* as required in the special permit conditions.

⁴⁸ ASME/ANSI B31G and R-STRENG are not acceptable evaluation methodologies for corrosion in pipe weld seams. Pipe weld seams must be evaluated using ECA methodology for cracking anomalies in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d).

(5) **Class 3 pipe**: Any anomaly with a predicted failure pressure less than 2.0 times the MAOP.

ii) **One-year crack repair conditions for a “special permit segment”**: TCO must repair all anomalies with a predicted failure pressure determined in accordance with 49 CFR 192.712(d) that is less than 1.39 times the MAOP, or a crack depth that is greater than 40% of the pipe wall thickness.

iii) **Un-cleared shorted casing for a “special permit segment”**: TCO must repair within 12 months of discovery any identified corrosion, cracking or other anomaly that is shorted to a casing that is greater than 30% of the pipe wall thickness.

iv) **Monitored conditions for a “special permit segment”**: TCO does not have to schedule the following conditions for remediation but must record and monitor the conditions during subsequent risk assessments and integrity assessments for any change that may require remediation in a *special permit segment*. Monitored conditions are the least severe and will not require examination and evaluation until the next scheduled integrity assessment.

(1) **Class 1 pipe**: Any anomaly with a predicted failure pressure greater than or equal to 1.39 times the MAOP and an anomaly depth less than or equal to 40% wall thickness loss.

(2) **Class 2 pipe**: Any anomaly with a predicted failure pressure greater than or equal to 1.67 times the MAOP and an anomaly depth less than or equal to 40% wall thickness loss.

(3) **Class 3 pipe**: Any anomaly with a predicted failure pressure greater than or equal to 2.0 times the MAOP and an anomaly depth less than or equal to 40% of pipe wall thickness.

9) **Condition 9 - Pipe Casings**

TCO must identify all shorted casings within a *special permit segment* no later than six (6) months after the grant of this special permit and classify any shorted casings as either having a “metallic short” (the carrier pipe and the casing are in metallic contact) or an “electrolytic short” (the casing is filled with an electrolyte) using a commonly accepted method such as the Panhandle Eastern,

Pearson, Direct Current Voltage Gradient (DCVG), Alternating Current Voltage Gradient (ACVG), or AC Attenuation.⁴⁹

- a) **Clear Shorted Casings**: Where practical, TCO must clear shorted casings identified within a *special permit segment* no later than 12 months after the grant of this special permit as follows:
 - i) **Metallic Shorts**: TCO must clear any metallic short on a casing in a *special permit segment* no later than 12 months after the short is identified.
 - ii) **Electrolytic Shorts**: TCO must remove the electrolyte from the casing/pipe annular space on any casing in a *special permit segment* that has an electrolytic short within 12 months of identifying the short. If TCO identifies any shorts after uprating, they must be cleared no later than 12 months after identification.
 - iii) **All Shorted Casings**: TCO must install external corrosion control test leads on both the carrier pipe and the casing in accordance with 49 CFR 192.471 to facilitate the future monitoring for shorted conditions. TCO may then choose to fill the casing/pipe annular space with a high dielectric casing filler or other material that provides a corrosion-inhibiting environment provided TCO completed an assessment and all necessary repairs.
- b) **Remediation of Un-cleared Casing Shorts**: If it is impractical for TCO to clear a shorted casing within a *special permit segment*, TCO must document the actions taken to remediate the shorted casing and must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, to use ILI assessments instead of clearing the short.^{50, 51} In addition to the notification, TCO must conduct the following:
 - i) A *special permit segment* with shorted casings must be assessed with the appropriate ILI tools (a minimum of HR-MFL and HR-Deformation ILI and with EMAT ILI when a *special permit segment* is susceptible to SCC) on a five (5) calendar year assessment schedule, not to exceed 66 months.

⁴⁹ As of the date of the grant (issuance date) of this special permit, TCO reported they identified five (5) shorted casings within the *special permit segments*.

⁵⁰ The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, must respond to TCO’s submittal letter within 90 days. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, may provide a decision, request for additional information, or notify TCO of PHMSA’s need for additional time to provide a decision.

⁵¹ TCO must send a copy of the actions taken to clear the shorted casing to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

- ii) TCO must remediate any identified corrosion, cracking, or other anomalies in accordance with **Condition 8 – Anomaly Evaluation and Remediation**.

10) **Condition 10 - Pipe - Seam Evaluations**

TCO must conduct engineering integrity assessments to identify any pipe in the *extended special permit segment* that may be susceptible to pipe seam leak, rupture, or other failure issues because of the vintage of the pipe, the manufacturer of the pipe, other physical or operational characteristics, or unknown pipe characteristics as follows:

a) **Identify and Test Pipe Seam Issues:**

- i) Within 12 months of the special permit grant, TCO must perform an engineering integrity analysis to determine if the pipe seam is susceptible to seam threats located in the *extended special permit segment*.⁵² This engineering integrity analysis must follow and document the processes listed herein along with other relevant materials:
 - (1) “M Charts” in “Evaluating the Stability of Manufacturing and Construction Defects in Natural Gas Pipelines,” by Kiefner and Associates (updated April 26, 2007), under PHMSA Contract DTFAA-COSP02120; and
 - (2) Figure 4.2, “Framework for Evaluation with Path for the Segment Analyzed Highlighted” from TTO-5, “Low Frequency ERW and Lap Welded Longitudinal Seam Evaluation,” by Michael Baker Jr. and Kiefner and Associates, et. al. under PHMSA Contract DTRS56-02-D-70036.
- ii) If the engineering integrity analysis identifies pipe seam issues in the *extended special permit segment* that are a threat to the integrity of the pipeline, TCO must confirm there are no systemic issues with the weld seam or pipe. Within 12 months of analysis completion, TCO must complete a hydrostatic test to a minimum of 1.39 times the MAOP for any identified *special permit segment*.

b) **Seam Leak or Failure:**

- i) If the pipeline experienced a seam leak or failure in the last five (5) years and TCO did not perform a hydrostatic test meeting **Condition 1(b)** after the seam leak or failure in the *special permit segment* of the same weld seam and manufacturer, then TCO must complete

⁵² The *extended special permit segment* is defined as the *special permit segment* and the five (5) contiguous miles past each endpoint.

a hydrostatic test to a minimum of 1.39 times the MAOP within 18 months after the grant of this special permit in the *special permit segment*.

- ii) TCO must determine from the hydrostatic test whether there are systemic issues with the weld seam or pipe. TCO must perform a root cause analysis, including the metallurgical examination of the failed pipe, to determine if the failure is caused by a systemic or non-systemic issue. TCO must provide the written results of this root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, within 90 days of the failure.⁵³
- c) **Pipe Replacement**: The *special permit segment* must be replaced if any of the following conditions exist or are discovered after the grant of this special permit:
 - i) The *special permit segment* has any direct current-electric resistance welded (DC-ERW) seam or pipe with a longitudinal joint factor below 1.0 as defined in 49 CFR 192.113;
 - ii) The *special permit segment* pipe has any LF-ERW or EFW seam pipe joints that had pipe seam leaks or ruptures and the pipe has not been replaced with new pipe;⁵⁴
 - iii) Pipe in the *extended special permit segment* was constructed or manufactured prior to 1954 and had pipe seam leaks or ruptures;⁵⁵
 - iv) The *special permit segment* pipe has unknown manufacturing processes (i.e., unknown seam type, yield strength, or wall thickness); or
 - v) The *special permit segment* pipe has known manufacturing or construction issues that are unresolved, such as concentrated hard spots, hard heat-affected weld zones, selective seam corrosion, pipe movement that has led to buckling, past leak and rupture issues, or any other systemic issues.
- d) **Girth Weld or Seam Weld Repairs**: Within a *special permit segment*, TCO must remove and replace, in accordance with 49 CFR Part 192 requirements, all weld seam or girth weld repairs that have been made by the usage of fittings such as weldolets, threadolets, repair clamps, and pipe sleeves (steel or composite). This remediation must be completed within six (6) months of the grant of this special permit or within six (6) months of the identification.

⁵³ TCO must send a copy of the root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

⁵⁴ As of the date of the grant of this special permit, TCO reported no LF-ERW or EFW seam pipe in a *special permit segment*.

⁵⁵ As of the date of the grant of this special permit, TCO reported no pipe manufactured prior to 1954 with seam integrity issues in a *special permit segment*.

- e) **Remediation Plan**: TCO must remediate all weld seam leaks, failures, or ruptures⁵⁶ discovered in the *special permit segment*. TCO must submit a seam remediation plan for the *special permit segment* to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, no later than 30 days after finding a seam leak, seam failure, or seam rupture in the *special permit segment* containing one (1) of the following:
- i) A longitudinal weld seam remediation/repair plan that meets **Condition 10** and includes replacement, hydrostatic testing, or ILI, with completion of the remediation/repair plan within six (6) months of discovery, or
 - ii) A technical justification that shows that the *special permit segment* is not at risk for future longitudinal seam leaks or failures.

11) **Condition 11 - Control of Interference Currents**

TCO must address induced alternating current (AC) from parallel electric transmission lines and other interference issues, such as direct current (DC), that may affect the pipeline in a *special permit segment*. TCO must have an induced AC or DC program and remediation plan to protect the pipeline from corrosion caused by stray currents within 12 months of the grant of this special permit.

- a) **Surveys**: TCO must perform periodic interference surveys to detect the presence and level of any electrical stray current, including when there are current flow increases over the *special permit segment* grounding design from any co-located pipelines, structures, or high voltage alternating current (HVAC) powerlines, including from additional generation, a voltage up rating, additional lines, new or enlarged power substations, new pipelines or other structures.
- b) **Analysis of Results**: TCO must analyze the results of the survey to determine the cause of the interference and whether the level could cause significant corrosion (defined as 100 amps per meter squared for AC-induced corrosion), or if the interference impedes the safe operation of the pipeline, or that may cause a condition that would adversely impact the environment or the public.

⁵⁶ For all in-service and pressure test failures, TCO must perform a root cause analysis, including the metallurgical examination of the failed pipe, to determine if the failure is caused by a systemic or non-systemic issue. TCO must provide the written results of this root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, within 90 days of the failure and must submit a copy of the root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

- c) **Remediation**: Remedial action is required when the interference in the *special permit segment* is at a level that could cause significant corrosion (defined as 100 amps per meter squared for AC-induced corrosion), or if it impedes the safe operation of a pipeline, or may cause a condition that would adversely impact the environment or the public. Within six (6) months after completing the interference survey, TCO must develop a remediation procedure and apply for any necessary permits to conduct remediation. TCO must complete all remediation within six (6) months, or as soon as practicable, after obtaining the necessary permits for the remediation.
- d) **Completion Schedules**: If environmental permitting or right-of-way factors beyond TCO's control prevent the completion of any remediation within six (6) months of completing the interference engineering analysis of the survey results, TCO must complete remediation as soon as practicable and submit a letter justifying the delay and providing the anticipated date of completion to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, no later than one (1) month prior to the end of the six (6) month completion date. Any extended evaluation and remediation schedules submitted to PHMSA from TCO must receive a "no objection" letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region.

12) **Condition 12 - Mainline Valve – Monitoring and Remote Control for Ruptures**

TCO must automate mainline valves⁵⁷ for closure or demonstrate capability to manually close mainline valves in accordance with the requirements of this **Condition 12**. A *special permit segment* must have upstream and downstream automated shutdown valves (ASVs) or remote-controlled valves (RCVs) so that the distance between the valves is no greater than 20 miles.⁵⁸ TCO must automate mainline valves to close in accordance with the requirements in **Condition 12** within 12 months of the grant of this special permit. The *special permit segment* must have procedures for rupture isolation as follows:

- a) **Valve Locations**: ASVs or RCVs must be installed as shown in **Table 3 - ASV Closure Settings for Isolation of Special Permit Segment** and **Figure 1 - Location of Special Permit Segments Between ASVs**. All *special permit segments* must have telemetry connections to

⁵⁷ A mainline valve is a sectionalizing valve used to isolate or stop gas flow upstream or downstream along the pipeline.

⁵⁸ If the distance between mainline isolation valves exceed 20 miles, additional mainline valve(s) must be added.

the TCO supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system installed at locations shown in red in **Figure 1 - Location of Special Permit Segments Between ASVs**.⁵⁹

b) **Automatic Shutoff Valve Requirements:**

- i) If an ASV is used, TCO must confirm the 30-minute ASV shut-in pressure for a *special permit segment* after “notification of potential rupture” by flow modeling of the *special permit inspection area* and any looped pipelines or gas receipt tie-ins between the ASVs or RCVs. Flow modeling must include anticipated maximum, normal, or any other flow volumes, pressures, or any other operating conditions that may be encountered during the calendar year. The flow model detection for a rupture must be based upon 0.500 times the pipe diameter area or smaller pipe area (partial pipe opening) for rupture sizing to account for pressure drop. If operating conditions change that could affect the ASV set pressures and the 30-minute isolation time after “notification of potential rupture,” a new flow model must be conducted and ASV set pressures must be reset prior to the next review for ASV set pressures. If the *special permit segment* cannot be isolated within 30 minutes of a “notification of potential rupture” by usage of ASVs, then RCVs must be installed. **Table 3 - ASV Closure Settings for Isolation of Special Permit Segment** has the ASV shutoff pressures and shutoff times for isolation of the *special permit segment* after “notification of potential rupture.”
- ii) ASVs must be equipped with rupture sensing equipment to detect the *special permit segment* “rate of pressure drop” with a set-point of 40 psig/minute or less unless TCO submits a request for a “rate of pressure drop” set-point change and receives a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, for any revised shut-in pressures prior to their implementation.
- iii) ASV shut-in pressures must be confirmed and reset on a calendar year basis not to exceed 15 months. TCO must submit initial and annual ASV shut-in pressures to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, as detailed in **Condition 15 – Annual Report**, and receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, for any revised shut-in pressures prior to their implementation. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, must

⁵⁹ *Special permit segment 5* originates upstream of mainline valve SM80-250 and terminates downstream of mainline valve SM80-250.

respond to TCO's submittal letter within 90 days with a decision letter, or either give TCO a request for additional information or additional time for PHMSA to review the request.

- iv) If the pipeline is impacted by extreme weather or other emergency conditions that reduce pipeline operating pressures in the *special permit segment* to operating pressures where the ASV shut-in pressures require emergency resetting, TCO may reset ASV shut-in pressures below the operating pressure requirements for a maximum period of seven (7) days, but must notify the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, within two (2) days of the pressure reset.
- c) **Remote Monitoring and Control**: Each *special permit segment* must be controlled by a SCADA system and must be equipped for remote monitoring and control, or remote monitoring and automatic control, in accordance with 49 CFR 192.620(d)(3)(iii) and the below requirements in this **Condition 12**.
- d) **Crossover or Lateral Pipe Connection Isolation**: If any crossover or lateral pipe⁶⁰ connects to the isolated segment between the upstream and downstream mainline valves, the nearest valve on the crossover connection(s) or lateral(s) must be isolated such that, when all valves are closed, there is no flow path for gas to flow to the leak or rupture site (except for residual gas already in the shut-off segment). If the nearest valve for a gas receipt or delivery line to the *special permit inspection area* is not isolated, isolation valves must be installed within 12 months of the grant of this special permit.⁶¹ Crossover valves that are in the TCO O&M procedures as locked closed and that are only opened when manned by TCO operating personnel do not require RCVs or ASVs for closure.
- e) **Remote-Control and Automatic-Shutoff Valve Status**:
- i) RCVs must be constantly monitored for valve status (open, closed, or partial closed/open), upstream pressure, and downstream pressure.
- ii) A *special permit segment* with ASVs must have a minimum of one (1) pressure monitoring point within the segment when the mainline valve locations do not have

⁶⁰ **Table 4 – Laterals Connecting between Isolation Valves** has a listing of all lateral valves.

⁶¹ Gas delivery or receipt pipelines must have a shutoff valve (gate or ball valve) either at the connection between the isolation valves for a *special permit segment* or at the delivery or receipt meter station. Any gas delivery or receipt station over 5-miles in length that is connected between the isolation valves for a *special permit segment* must have a RCV or ASV within 5-miles of the pipeline tie-in. For gas delivery or receipt pipelines manual shutoff valves can be used for isolation but must be closed within 30-minutes of the pipeline leak or rupture confirmation. Check valves cannot be used for pipelines over 8-inch diameter.

pressure monitoring. If an ASV is used, TCO must determine the set pressure used in **Condition 12(b)** on a calendar year basis not to exceed 15 months and must report the set pressure to PHMSA each year in the **Condition 15 - Annual Report**. ASV pressure settings must be determined by flow modeling of the *special permit segment, special permit inspection area*, and all looped, delivery, or receipt pipelines tied into the *special permit inspection area* that could affect pressures in the *special permit segment*. If the ASV pressure settings cannot be accurately determined, RCVs must be installed for the *special permit segment*. The shutdown time for ASVs must be within 30 minutes of the “notification of potential rupture.”

- f) **Mainline Valve Closure**: Closure of the appropriate valves following a pipeline leak or rupture must occur “as soon as practicable” and must not exceed 30 minutes from the “notification of potential rupture” as defined below:⁶²
- i) “Notification of Potential Rupture” means any of the following events that involve an unintentional or uncontrolled release of a large volume of gas from a transmission pipeline:
- (1) A release of gas observed by or reported to TCO (e.g., by its controller(s) in a control room, field operations personnel, nearby pipeline or utility personnel, the public, local responders, or public authorities) that may be representative of an unintentional or uncontrolled release event meeting **paragraphs (2) or (3)** of this definition;
 - (2) TCO observes an unanticipated or unplanned pressure loss outside of the pipeline’s normal operating pressures, as defined in TCO’s written procedures. If TCO establishes an unanticipated or unplanned pressure loss threshold that is greater than a 10% pressure loss, occurring within a time interval of 15 minutes or less, TCO must document in its written procedures the need for a greater pressure-change threshold due to pipeline flow dynamics (including the pipeline operating pressure, gas flow rate or volume), that are caused by fluctuations in gas demand, gas receipts, or gas deliveries;
- or

⁶² The pipeline valve section location to be closed and isolated (if there should be a rupture) must be confirmed by TCO through Gas Control or other field operations personnel monitoring of the appropriate pipeline pressures, pressure changes, or flow rate changes through a compressor discharge section or by location confirmation from responsible persons.

(3) TCO observes an unexplained flow rate change, pressure change, equipment function, or other pipeline instrumentation indication that may be representative of an event meeting **paragraph (2)** of this definition.

Note: Notification of potential rupture occurs when an event, as defined in this **section/paragraphs (2) or (3)** above, is first observed by or reported to TCO.

- ii) TCO must evaluate and identify a rupture,⁶³ as defined above, as being either an actual leak event, rupture event, or non-rupture event in accordance with operating procedures and 49 CFR 192.615.
- g) **Gas Control Center Monitoring:** The TCO Gas Control Center must monitor the *special permit inspection area* 24 hours a day, seven (7) days a week, and must confirm the existence of a leak or rupture as soon as practicable in accordance with TCO pipeline operating procedures.
- h) **Remote Monitoring:** TCO must maintain remote monitoring and automatic control equipment, mainline valves, mainline valve operators, and pressure sensors in accordance with 49 CFR 192.631 and 192.745. All remote monitoring and automatic control equipment, including pressure sensors, must have backup power to maintain communications and control to the TCO Gas Control Center during power outages.
- i) **Point-to-Point Verification:** TCO must conduct a point-to-point verification between SCADA displays and the mainline valve, sensors, and communications equipment in accordance with 49 CFR 192.631(c) and (e).
- j) **Valve Maintenance:** TCO must maintain all valves used to isolate a leak or rupture in accordance with this special permit and 49 CFR 192.745.
- k) **Inoperable Valves:** TCO must take remedial measures to correct any valve used to isolate a leak or rupture that is found to be inoperable or unable to maintain shutoff, as follows:
 - i) Repair or replace the valve as soon as practicable but no later than six (6) months after the finding;

⁶³ For all in-service and pressure test failures, TCO must perform a root cause analysis, including the metallurgical examination of the failed pipe, to determine if the failure is caused by a systemic or non-systemic issue. TCO must provide the written results of this root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, within 90 days of the failure and must submit a copy of the root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

- ii) Designate an alternative valve within 14 calendar days of the finding while repairs are being made. Repairs must be completed within six (6) months; and
- iii) If valve repair or replacement cannot be met due to circumstances beyond TCO's control, TCO must notify, in writing, the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, of the reasons the schedule cannot be met and obtain a letter of "no objection" from PHMSA prior to implementing the schedule change.

l) **Emergency Communications:**

- i) TCO must establish and maintain adequate means of communication with the appropriate public safety access point (9-1-1 emergency call center) or emergency management coordinating agency and must notify them, as well other emergency responders, if there is a leak or rupture, as required in 49 CFR 192.615;
- ii) TCO must immediately and directly notify the appropriate public safety access point (9-1-1 emergency call center) or other emergency management coordinating agency for the communities and jurisdictions in which the pipeline is located when a release is indicated;⁶⁴ and
- iii) In accordance with these special permit conditions and as required in 49 CFR 192.615 and 192.631, TCO must establish actions required to be taken by a pipeline controller or the appropriate emergency response coordinator when an emergency occurs in the *special permit inspection area*.

13) **Condition 13 - Special Permit Specific Conditions**

TCO must comply with the following requirements:

- a) **Line-of-Sight Markers:** TCO must install and maintain line-of-sight markings on the pipeline in each *special permit segment*, except in agricultural areas or large water crossings, such as lakes, where line-of-sight signage is not practical. Line-of-sight markers must be installed within six (6) months of the grant of this special permit and replaced as necessary by TCO within 30 days after identification of line-of-sight marker removal.

⁶⁴ TCO must designate the pipeline controller or the appropriate operator emergency response coordinator in its operating procedures and train the designated individual for coordinating with emergency responders.

b) **Depth of Cover Survey:**

- i) TCO must complete, within six (6) months of the grant of this special permit, a depth of cover survey for each *special permit segment*.
 - ii) TCO must implement additional safety measures for any pipe in a *special permit segment* that does not meet 49 CFR 192.327(a) for a Class 1 location where there is a reduced depth of cover. A *special permit segment* with depth of cover less than 24-inches must be either lowered, have additional soil cover added, or have a concrete pad installed unless it is in consolidated rock.
 - iii) For TCO to use other remedial measures for depth of cover requirements that are based upon the threat, such as increased pipeline patrols or additional line markers, TCO must submit these procedures to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, for a “no objection” letter prior to usage. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, must respond to TCO’s submittal letter within 90 days. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, may provide a decision, request for additional information, or notify TCO of PHMSA’s need for additional time to provide a decision.
- c) **Data Integration:** TCO must develop and maintain data integration⁶⁵ in accordance with 49 CFR 192.917, of all special permit condition findings and remediation in a *special permit segment* and *special permit inspection area*. Data integration must be completed at least once each calendar year, with intervals not to exceed 15 months.
- i) Data integration must include the following information: (1) Pipe diameter, wall thickness, grade, and seam type; (2) pipe coating; (3) MAOP; (4) class location, including boundaries on aerial photography; (5) HCAs, including boundaries on aerial photography; (6) hydrostatic test pressure, including any known test failures; (7) casings; (8) any in-service ruptures or leaks; (9) ILI survey results, including HR-MFL, HR-geometry/caliper, or deformation tools; (10) the most recent CIS results; (11) depth-of-cover surveys; (12) rectifier readings for the past five (5) years; (13) CP test point survey readings for the past five (5) years; (14) AC/DC interference surveys; (15) pipe coating surveys; (16) pipe coating and anomaly evaluations from pipe excavations; (17) SCC excavations and

⁶⁵ Data integration is defined as the gathering of relevant pipeline attributes, operational, maintenance, environmental, and integrity information and integrating this information together to assess threats to the pipeline and to use this information to conduct assessments and remediation for those threats.

findings; and (18) pipe exposures from encroachments.⁶⁶ Structures must be validated each calendar year by obtaining new aerial imagery or by ground patrol in accordance with **Condition 13(h)**.

- ii) If requested by PHMSA, TCO must complete and submit data integration documentation and drawings, with four (4) years of prior data, beginning with the 2nd annual report of this modified special permit.
 - iii) TCO must maintain data integration as a composite of all applicable data elements in a comparable data viewer.
- d) **Pipe Properties Testing**: If the pipe does not meet **Condition 16(b)**, TCO must test the pipe in a *special permit segment* as follows:⁶⁷
- i) Develop and implement procedures for conducting non-destructive or destructive tests, examinations, and assessments for any *special permit segment*, without TVC^{68, 69} pipe material properties records, in accordance with this condition and either 49 CFR 192.607 or 192.105 for determining MAOP. Non-destructive or destructive tests, examinations, and assessments must be completed within 18 months of the grant of this special permit.
 - ii) TCO must test pipe in each *special permit segment* without TVC material properties and of different vintages as defined in **Condition 13(d)(iv)**. Material tests must be conducted at two (2) excavation sites per mile with excavations spaced between 1,320 to 3,960 feet in each mile segment. If the *special permit segment* is less than ½ mile, only one (1) excavation site is required.
 - iii) TCO must perform a minimum of two (2) destructive or NDT methods at an excavation site. TCO must conduct NDT assessments using test procedures, calibration pipe of

⁶⁶ Hydrostatic test failures, in-service ruptures, rectifier readings, CP test point survey readings, AC/DC interference surveys, pipe coating surveys, pipe coating and anomaly evaluations from pipe excavations, SCC excavations and findings, and pipe exposures from encroachments must be maintained for data integration into a comparable data viewer. These data elements may not be on a drawing.

⁶⁷ TCO must complete **Condition 13(d)** for the *special permit segments* flagged as required (“Yes”) in *Table 1 – Special Permit Segments*.

⁶⁸ TVC procedures and records must follow the following: 1) “Pipeline Safety: Safety of Gas Transmission Pipelines: MAOP Reconfirmation, Expansion of Assessment Requirements and Other Related Amendments”; 84 FR 52218 to 52219; October 1, 2019; and 2) PHMSA Advisory Bulletin: Pipeline Safety: Verification of Records; 77 FR 26822; May 7, 2012; <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-05-07/pdf/2012-10866.pdf>.

⁶⁹ Material records must cover the entire length of the *special permit segment*, regardless of when the pipeline, single or multiple pipe joints, or other pipeline components were installed. Affidavits for a material record are not acceptable TVC material records.

similar confirmed properties for equipment testing, and ball indentation methodology, or an equivalent method.⁷⁰ If NDT of pipe material properties show that the pipe wall thickness is not within API 5L specification tolerances, and the pipe grade is under the strength requirements of API 5L by 1,000 pounds per square inch (psi) or more, then TCO will confirm the yield strength of that individual pipe using destructive test methods or remove the *special permit segment* pipe. If ILI tools are used to verify the pipeline materials, TCO must submit an assessment procedure to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, for a “no objection” letter prior to its usage.⁷¹ The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, must respond to TCO’s submittal letter within 90 days. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, may provide a decision, request for additional information, or notify TCO of PHMSA’s need for additional time to provide a decision.

- iv) TCO must assess pipe in a *special permit segment* with missing mill test reports (MTRs) or missing mill inspection reports (i.e. Moody Engineering Reports) for each unique combination of the following attributes: wall thicknesses (within 10 percent of the smallest wall thickness in the population), grade, manufacturing process, pipe manufacturing dates (within a 2-year interval), and construction dates (within a 2-year interval).
- v) TCO cannot use the material properties determined from either destructive or NDT required by this condition to raise the original grade or specification of the pipeline material. TCO must use the applicable standard referenced in 49 CFR 192.7.
- vi) For a future *special permit segment* with missing mill inspection reports for mechanical and chemical properties, TCO must use the above methodology, or TCO may elect to remove pipe joints for destructive testing.⁷²

⁷⁰ TCO must submit the non-destructive assessment method and procedures to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, and the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, must respond to TCO’s submittal letter within 90 days. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, may provide a decision, request for additional information, or notify TCO of PHMSA’s need for additional time to provide a decision.

⁷¹ TCO must send a copy of the assessment procedure to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

⁷² TCO must prepare a procedure in accordance with **Condition 13(d) – Pipe Properties Testing**, for material documentation and submit to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, and receive a “no objection” letter prior to usage of the procedure. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, must respond to TCO’s submittal letter within 90 days. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, may provide a decision, request for additional information, or notify TCO of PHMSA’s need for additional time to provide a decision. A copy of the procedure must be sent to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

- e) **Pipeline System Flow Reversals**: For pipeline system flow reversals lasting longer than 90 days and where the MAOP for class location changes are exceeded under either 49 CFR 192.619(a)(1) or 192.611⁷³ in a *special permit segment*, TCO must prepare a written plan that corresponds to the applicable criteria identified in the PHMSA Advisory Bulletin, ADB-2014-04, “Guidance for Pipeline Flow Reversals, Product Changes and Conversion of Service” (79 FR 56121; Sept. 18, 2014). TCO must submit the written flow reversal procedure to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, and submit a copy of the plan to the Federal Docket for this special permit at www.regulations.gov.⁷⁴ TCO must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to implementing the pipeline system flow reversal through a *special permit segment*.
- f) **Environmental Assessments and Permits**: TCO must evaluate the potential environmental consequences and affected resources of any land disturbances and water body crossings, and pipeline natural gas emissions from implementation of the special permit conditions for a *special permit segment* or *special permit inspection area* prior to the disturbance or activity. If a land disturbance, water body crossing, or pipeline natural gas emission is required, TCO must obtain and adhere to all applicable federal, state, and local environmental permit requirements when conducting the special permit conditions activity.
- g) **Gas Quality**: TCO must transport gas through the *special permit segment* whose composition quality is suitable for sale to gas distribution customers, including no free-flow water or hydrocarbons, no water vapor content that exceeds acceptable limits for gas distribution customer delivery, hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) not to exceed one (1) grain per 100 cubic feet, or carbon dioxide (CO₂) not to exceed three (3) percent by volume.
- h) **Annual Class Location Study**: TCO must conduct a class location study on the *special permit inspection area* at least once each calendar year, with intervals not to exceed 15 months, in accordance with 49 CFR 192.609.
- i) **Notifications**: For any special permit condition that requires TCO to provide a notice for a “no objection” response from PHMSA, other notice, annual report, or documentation to the

⁷³ An example of exceedance of 49 CFR 192.619(a)(1) is a Grandfathered MAOP which has a design factor above 0.72. An example of exceedance of 49 CFR 192.611 is a Class 1 to 3 location change.

⁷⁴ TCO must send a copy of the flow reversal procedure to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, TCO must also send a copy to the State Agency that has interstate agent agreements with PHMSA and to the Director, PHMSA State Programs.

- j) **Pipe and Soil Movement**: Girth weld strain from soil movement exerted onto the pipeline in the *special permit segment* must not exceed 0.5 percent and must account for girth weld misalignment. TCO must develop procedures on how to evaluate and remediate soil stresses and strains on the pipeline including IMU intervals. TCO must submit soil stress and strain evaluation and remediation procedures to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, within three (3) months of identification and must receive a “no objection” letter prior to implementation.
- k) **Gas Leakage Surveys and Remediation**:
- i) TCO must conduct gas leakage surveys using instrumented gas leakage detection equipment along each *special permit segment* and at all valves, flanges, pipeline tie-ins, ILI launcher and ILI receiver facilities in each *special permit inspection area* at least twice each calendar year, not to exceed 7½ months. TCO must document the type of equipment used, survey findings, and remediation of all instrumented gas leakage surveys.
 - ii) A gas transmission pipeline leak is a gas leak that can be seen, heard, felt, or detected by instrumented gas leakage detection equipment, or is an existing, probable, or future hazard to the public, operating personnel, property, or the environment. TCO must grade and remediate all gas transmission pipeline leaks in the *special permit segment* and at all valves, flanges, pipeline tie-ins, ILI launcher, and ILI receiver facilities in each *special permit inspection area*, as follows:
 - (1) A Grade 1 leak requires immediate and/or continuous remediation efforts to stop the leak. A Grade 1 leak is defined as any of the following:
 - (a) Any leak which, in the judgment of the operating personnel at the scene, is regarded as an immediate hazard;
 - (b) Escaping gas that has ignited;
 - (c) Any indication of gas which has migrated into or under a building, or into a tunnel.
 - (d) Any reading at the outside wall of a building, or any reading where gas would likely migrate to an outside wall of a building;
 - (e) Any reading of 80% lower explosive limit (LEL), or greater, in a confined space;

- (f) Any reading of 80% LEL, or greater in small substructures (other than gas associated substructures) from which gas would likely migrate to the outside wall of a building; or
 - (g) Any leak that can be seen, heard, or felt, and which is in a location that may endanger the public, property, or environment.
- (2) A Grade 2 leak requires remediation activity to be completed within 30 days or must have continuous remediation efforts to stop the leak. A Grade 2 leak is defined as any of the following:
- (a) Any leak which, under frozen or other adverse soil conditions, would likely migrate to the outside wall of a building;
 - (b) Any reading of 40% LEL, or greater, under a sidewalk in a wall-to-wall paved area that does not qualify as a Grade 1 leak;
 - (c) Any reading of 100% LEL, or greater, under a street in a wall-to-wall paved area that has significant gas migration and does not qualify as a Grade 1 leak;
 - (d) Any reading less than 80% LEL in small substructures (other than gas associated substructures) from which gas would likely migrate creating a probable future hazard;
 - (e) Any reading between 20% LEL and 80% LEL in a confined space;
 - (f) Any reading on a pipeline operating at 30% SMYS or greater, in a Class 3 or 4 location, which does not qualify as a Grade 1 leak;
 - (g) Any reading of 80% LEL, or greater, in gas associated substructures; or
 - (h) Any leak which, in the judgement of operating personnel at the scene, is of sufficient magnitude to justify schedule repair.
- (3) A Grade 3 leak must be reevaluated at the next scheduled survey, or within 7½ months of the date discovered, whichever occurs first, until the leak is cleared, re-graded, or remediated. Remediation of Grade 3 leaks must be completed within 24 months of discovery of the leak. A Grade 3 leak is defined as any of the following:
- (a) Any reading of less than 80% LEL in small gas associated structures;
 - (b) Any reading in areas without wall-to-wall paving where it is unlikely the gas could migrate to the outside wall of a building; or

- (c) Any reading of less than 20% LEL in a confined space.
- iii) When a pressure limiting device or relief valve allows a gas release to the atmosphere that is located along the *special permit inspection area*, TCO must conduct an O&M procedure assessment of the pilot, springs, pressure gauges, and other pressure limiting equipment to ensure these items are properly functioning, sensing, and retaining set pressures. If a pressure limiting device or relief valve deficiency cannot be remediated, the pressure limiting device or relief valve must be replaced or continuously monitored until remediated. TCO cannot extend or change any remediation timing or continuous monitoring requirements in this paragraph without a "no objection" letter received by TCO from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region.
- iv) TCO may request an extension of the remediation time interval requirements by sending a request to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, but must receive a "no objection" letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to extending the leak remediation timing or continuous monitoring requirements in **Condition 13(k)**.⁷⁵
- l) **Right-of-Way Patrols:** In addition to the requirements of 49 CFR 192.705, TCO must perform right-of-way patrols as follows:
 - i) Aerial flyover patrols or ground patrols by walking or driving of a *special permit segment* right-of-way once each month, not to exceed 45 days, contingent on weather conditions. Should mechanical availability of the patrol aircraft or weather conditions become an extended issue, the *special permit segment pipeline* aerial flyover patrol must be completed within 60 days of the last patrol by other methods such as walking or driving the pipeline route, as feasible.
 - ii) If the schedule for either ground patrols or aerial flyover patrols cannot be met due to circumstances beyond TCO's control, TCO must notify the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, in writing of the reasons the schedule cannot be met and obtain a letter of "No Objection" within three (3) business days of the exceedance.
- m) **Minimization of Gas Released to the Environment:**
 - i) TCO must reduce the release of gas to the environment when replacing any pipe between the mainline isolating valves for a *special permit segment*. TCO must use one (1) or more

⁷⁵ Any TCO request for a time interval extension for a 24-month remediation interval must be 90 days prior to the end of the 24-month remediation interval.

of following methods that will reduce the environmental effects of methane (gas) being released. TCO must calculate the volume of natural gas that will be released by each method or combination of methods and select an option(s) that minimizes the release of gas to the environment and is consistent with pipeline safety.⁷⁶

- 1) Isolate a smaller pipeline segment length by use of valves and/or the installation of control fittings near the pipe being replaced;
 - 2) Flaring the gas released from the pipeline from the nearest isolation valves or control fittings from the pipe being replaced;
 - 3) Pressure reduction in the pipeline segment by use of inline compression;
 - 4) Pressure reduction by use of mobile compression from the nearest isolation valves from the pipe being replaced;
 - 5) Transfer the gas to a lower pressure pipeline system or segment from the nearest isolation valves nearest to the pipe being replaced such as through a lateral delivering gas to another pipeline facility; or
 - 6) An alternative method demonstrated to minimize the release of gas to the environment similar to the other methods listed in the methods (1) through (5) above.
- ii) TCO must document the determination and justification for the reduction method(s) implemented and how the method(s) used minimized the release of natural gas to the environment and was consistent with pipeline safety. TCO must also document and justify, any substantial difference (over 10 percent additional release) between the actual amount of natural gas released and the estimated volume calculated before the replacement.
- iii) TCO must report all mainline blowdowns between the mainline isolating valves for a *special permit segment* due to pipe replacement as detailed in the **Condition 15(i) - Annual Report**.

14) **Condition 14 - Field Activity Notices to PHMSA**

TCO must give a minimum 14-day notice to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, to enable PHMSA to observe the excavations relating to **Condition 8 – Anomaly Evaluation and**

⁷⁶ **Condition 13(m)** would not be required for a blowdown due to an immediate repair, as detailed in **Condition 8 - Anomaly Evaluation and Remediation**, or where immediate action is required to ensure public safety.

Remediation and Condition 13(d) – Pipe Properties Testing of field activities in the *special permit inspection area*. Immediate response conditions do not require 14-day notice, but TCO should notify the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, no later than two (2) business days after the immediate condition is discovered. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, may elect not to require a notification for some activities.

15) **Condition 15 - Annual Report**

Annually⁷⁷ after the grant of this special permit, TCO must report the following to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, with copies to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division:⁷⁸

- a) The number of new residences, other structures intended for human occupancy, and public gathering areas built within each *special permit segment* during the previous year. TCO must include a summary of the results of the study conducted to meet **Condition 13(h) - Annual Class Location Study** in the annual report.
- b) Any new integrity threats identified during the previous year and the results of any ILI or direct assessments performed (including any un-remediated anomalies over 30% wall loss; cracking found in the pipe body, weld seam, or girth welds; and dents with metal loss, cracking, or stress riser) and any soil movement (lateral or subsidence) that affects pipeline integrity⁷⁹ during the previous year in the *special permit inspection area*, including their survey station, predicted failure pressure, anomaly depth and length, class location, and whether these threats are in an HCA.
- c) In the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd annual reports TCO must report all *special permit segments* that do not have the following complaint TVC records:
 - i) A pressure test that meets **Condition 1(b)**. TCO must report the planned or actual completion dates for the *special permit segment* pressure test including test pressure.

⁷⁷ PHMSA must receive the annual report by the last day of the month in which the special permit is dated. For example, the annual report for a special permit dated January 21, 2020, must be received by PHMSA no later than January 31, each year beginning in 2021.

⁷⁸ TCO must post the annual report to the special permit docket PHMSA-2008-0331 at www.regulations.gov.

⁷⁹ TCO must develop and implement an O&M procedure to review soil movements that could damage the *special permit segment* on a periodic interval so the lateral stresses will not exceed 100% of SMYS (0.5% strain) on girth welds.

- ii) Material pipe properties tests that meet **Condition 13(d) – Pipe Properties Testing**. TCO must report the planned or actual completion dates for the *special permit segment* material pipe property tests.
- d) Any reportable incident, any leak normally indicated on the DOT Annual Report, and all repairs on the pipeline that occurred during the previous year in a *special permit inspection area*. TCO must include the location by mile post, County/Parish and State, the date of discovery, date of repair, and estimated gas loss (cubic feet) per day and in total for any Grade 1, 2, or 3 gas leak as described in **Condition 13(k) - Gas Leakage Surveys and Remediation**.
- e) Any ongoing DP initiatives affecting a *special permit inspection area* and a discussion of the success of the initiatives, including findings and remediation actions.
- f) TCO must submit annual data integration information, as required in **Condition 13(c) - Data Integration**, beginning with the 2nd annual report, which must include an annual overview of any new threats. If requested by PHMSA, TCO must submit a full information package of the requested pipeline attribute and integrity items outlined in the condition.
- g) If TCO uses ASVs for **Condition 12 – Mainline Valve**, TCO must report the set pressure and how it was determined for each year to meet “as soon as practicable but 30 minutes or less.”
- h) TCO must report the diameter and location of the lateral, if any lateral or crossover piping is not included in **Table 4 –Laterals Connecting between Isolation Valves** or installed between isolation valves for a *special permit segment*.
- i) TCO must report all mainline blowdowns between the mainline isolating valves for a *special permit segment* due to pipe replacement which includes the date of blowdown, location (milepost/stationing), and the amount of gas released to comply with **Condition 13(m) – Minimization of Gas Released to the Environment**.
- j) Any mergers, acquisitions, transfer of assets, or other events affecting the regulatory responsibility of the company operating the pipeline.
- k) A senior executive officer, vice president, or higher executive of TCO must review for correctness, date, and sign the annual report prior to posting it to the Federal Docket (PHMSA-2008-0331) at www.regulations.gov and submitting a copy to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, and the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

- l) TCO must schedule a review meeting regarding **Condition 15 - Annual Report** with the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to or within one (1) month of the filing of each year.⁸⁰ During the annual review meeting, TCO must review the status of implementing the special permit conditions with the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region.

16) **Condition 16 – Documentation**

TCO must maintain the following records for a *special permit segment* as follows:

- a) TCO must keep documentation of compliance with all conditions of this special permit for the life of the pipe.
- b) Documentation of the mechanical and chemical properties (e.g., mill test reports) that show the pipe in a *special permit segment* meets the wall thickness, yield strength, tensile strength, and chemical composition requirements of API Standard 5L, 5LX or 5LS, “Specification for Line Pipe” (API 5L) incorporated by reference into the 49 CFR Part 192 code at the time of manufacturing, or, if the pipe was manufactured and placed in-service prior to the inception of 49 CFR Part 192, the API 5L standard in use at that time. Any pipe in a *special permit segment* that does not have TVC mill test reports or does not meet **Condition 13(d) – Pipe Properties Testing** and 49 CFR 192.607 cannot be authorized per this special permit.

17) **Condition 17 - Extension of the Special Permit Segment**

PHMSA may extend a *special permit segment* to include contiguous segments up to the limits of the *special permit inspection area* pursuant to TCO implementing the following conditions:

- a) Within six (6) months after the Class 1 to Class 3 location change, TCO must provide notice to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, and Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division, of the request for a *special permit segment extension*.
 - i) The notice must include the *special permit segment extension* survey stations, mile posts, additional pipeline footage, pipe attributes (wall thickness, grade, seam type, external coating, and latest pressure test), predicted failure pressure of any anomalies over 30% wall loss, schedule of inspections, and of any anticipated remedial actions.
 - ii) TCO must update the Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) to reflect the *special permit segment* extension and Section IX of the FEA, "Affected Resources and Environmental

⁸⁰ The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, has the authority to waive this meeting.

Consequences" as necessary. TCO must submit the updated FEA with its request for an extension to PHMSA for review and consideration.

- iii) Any request for a *special permit segment* extension does not become effective until TCO receives a "no objection" response from the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.
- b) Any proposed *special permit segment extension* must meet the following requirements prior to the class location change or within 12 months of the class location change:
 - i) TCO must remediate all anomalies in accordance with **Condition 8 – Anomaly Evaluation and Remediation**;
 - ii) TCO must have hydrostatically tested⁸¹ a *special permit segment* and *extension* in accordance with **Condition 1 – Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure**, as applicable; and
 - iii) TCO must complete all required special permit conditions, except **Condition 17(b)** above, for each *special permit segment extension* within two (2) years of the Class 1 to Class 3 location change, unless specified otherwise.
- c) TCO must apply all the special permit conditions and limitations included herein to all future *special permit segment extensions*.

18) **Condition 18 – Certification**

TCO must meet the following conditions for certification:

- a) A senior executive officer, vice president, or higher executive of TCO must certify in writing the following:
 - i) Each *special permit inspection area* and *special permit segment* meet the conditions described in this special permit;
 - ii) TCO has updated its O&M, IM program, and DP procedures required by **Condition 2 – Procedure Updates** to require the implementation of the special permit conditions for each *special permit segment* and *special permit inspection area*;
 - iii) TCO has prepared an uprating plan in accordance with **Condition 1(c)**, if applicable; and

⁸¹ For all in-service and pressure test failures, TCO must perform a root cause analysis, including the metallurgical examination of the failed pipe, to determine if the failure is caused by a systemic or non-systemic issue. TCO must provide the written results of this root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, within 90 days of the failure and must submit a copy of the root cause analysis to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.

- iv) TCO has implemented all conditions as required by this special permit.
- b) TCO must send the certifications required in **Condition 18(a)**, with special permit condition status, completion date, compliance documentation summary, and the required senior executive signature and date of signature to the PHMSA Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety with copies to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region; the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division; and the Federal Register Docket (PHMSA-2008-0331) at www.regulations.gov within one (1) year of the issuance date of this special permit.

IV. Limitations

This special permit is subject to the limitations set forth in 49 CFR 190.341, as well as the following limitations:

- 1) PHMSA has the sole authority to make all determinations on whether TCO has complied with the specified conditions of this special permit. Failure to comply with any condition of this special permit may result in revocation of the permit.
- 2) Any work plans and associated schedules for a *special permit segment* and *special permit inspection area* are automatically incorporated into this special permit and are enforceable in the same manner.
- 3) Failure by TCO to submit the certifications required by **Condition 18 - Certification** within the time frames specified may result in revocation of this special permit.
- 4) As provided in 49 CFR 190.341, PHMSA may issue an enforcement action for failure to comply with this special permit. The terms and conditions of any corrective action order, compliance order, or other order applicable to a pipeline facility covered by this special permit will take precedence over the terms of this special permit.
- 5) If TCO sells, merges, transfers, or otherwise disposes of all or part of the assets known as a *special permit segment* or *special permit inspection area*, TCO must provide PHMSA with written notice of the change within 30 days of the consummation date. In the event of such transfer, PHMSA reserves the right to revoke, suspend, or modify the special permit if the transfer constitutes a material change in conditions or circumstances underlying the permit.
- 6) PHMSA grants this special permit limited to a term of no more than 10 years from the date of issuance. If TCO elects to seek renewal of this special permit, TCO must submit its renewal request at least 180 days prior to expiration of the 10-year period to the PHMSA Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety with copies to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, and to

the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division. All requests for a renewal must include a summary report in accordance with the requirements in **Condition 15 - Annual Report** above and must demonstrate that the special permit is still consistent with pipeline safety. PHMSA may seek additional information from TCO prior to granting any request for special permit renewal.

AUTHORITY: 49 U.S.C. 60118 (c)(1) and 49 CFR 1.97.

Issued in Washington, DC on March 31, 2022.

Alan K. Mayberry,

Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety

Attachment A - Dent Anomalies – Engineering Critical Assessment

To evaluate dents and other mechanical damage anomalies that conform to the conditions described in **Table 5 – Dent Criteria** below, TCO must perform an engineering critical assessment (ECA) as follows:

- 1) Identify and assess all threats for the pipe segment such as ground movement, other external loading, cracking and corrosion that may be impacting the dent and mechanical damage.
- 2) Review all available high-resolution magnetic flux leakage (HR-MFL), high-resolution deformation, inertial mapping tool, and crack detection ILI data for damage in the dent area and any associated weld region.
- 3) If multiple ILI runs over time are available, the dent profile between the most recent and previous inline inspections should be compared to identify changes or significant changes in dent depth and shape and its possible impact to the integrity of the pipeline.
- 4) Perform pipeline curvature-based strain analysis using recent HR-Deformation inspection data.
- 5) Identify and quantify all significant loads acting on the dent.
- 6) TCO must use finite element analysis to quantify the dent strain, and then estimate the damage using either Strain Limit Damage (SLD) or Ductile Failure Damage Indicator (DFDI) at the dent. Finite element analysis modeling of the dent must include all associated anomalies, defects, and welds. Other methodologies and approaches that are supported by peer reviewed publications will also be considered as part of the ECA but will require a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region.
- 7) The analyses performed must account for material property uncertainties and model inaccuracies and ILI tool sizing tolerances.
- 8) Using operational pressure data, appropriate fatigue models, and assuming the appropriate safety factor, TCO must estimate the fatigue life of the dent in accordance with API 1156 (1997 Edition), API RP 1183 (1st Edition, 2020, or IBR Edition) or other published literature that is technically appropriate for dent assessment. Multiple dent or other fatigue models must be evaluated as part of the ECA.
- 9) If the dent is suspected to have cracks, then a crack growth rate assessment is required (or the dent needs to be remediated) to ensure adequate life for the dent with crack(s) and the crack(s) in the dent must be evaluated and remediated in accordance with the criteria in **Condition 8 – Anomaly Evaluation and Remediation**.



- 10) If TCO uses other technologies or techniques to comply with failure pressure determinations, TCO must submit advance notification to Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, and must receive a “no objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, prior to usage.
- 11) The ECA process must be repeated following each assessment to ensure conformance to the original ECA conclusions.
- 12) To use ECA for dents with a depth greater than 6% up to 10% of the outside diameter (OD) requires a “no-objection” letter from the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region.
- 13) TCO must remediate dents and mechanical damage that do not pass the criteria defined in **Table 5 – Dent Criteria**, or TCO must conduct an acceptable ECA as described in this **Attachment A, Items 1 through 12**.
- 14) TCO must submit the dent ECA procedure to the Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, for a “no objection” letter prior to conducting the anomaly evaluation.⁸² The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, must respond to TCO’s submittal letter within 90 days. The Director, PHMSA Eastern Region, may provide a decision, request for additional information, or notify TCO of PHMSA’s need for additional time to provide a decision.

⁸² A copy of the dent ECA procedure must be sent to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division.



Table 5 – Dent Criteria

Dent type	Critical Dents that Require Action	ECA an Option
Plain Dent	Dent of depth > 6% Outside Diameter (OD) or dent strain level exceeding: i. Dent with strain > 6% limit (ASME B31.8, 2018 Edition) or ii. Strain Limit Damage (SLD) or Ductile Failure Damage Indicator (DFDI) > 0.6 (per API RP 1183, IBR Edition or 1 st Edition, 2020, if not IBR)	YES
Dent Associated with Corrosion**	i. Dent depth of > 6% OD with corrosion of any depth or ii. Dent of depth ≤ 6% OD with corrosion depth that is more than 15% of the pipe wall thickness	YES
Dent Associated with Metal Loss other than Corrosion**	Dent associated with metal loss other than corrosion: Gouge, axial or circumferential groove, SCC, fatigue cracks, and/or other cracks.	YES
Dent Affecting Weld (Girth Weld, Longitudinal Seam Weld or Spiral Seam Weld)	Dent of any depth affecting pipe with: Low Frequency Electric Resistance Welded (LF-ERW), Electric Flash Welded (EFW), Lap Welded, or Longitudinal Joint Factor < 1.0	YES*
	Dent of depth > 2% OD affecting other types of weld seams, see above, or girth welds with strain level exceeding 4% (ASME B31.8, 2018 Edition)	YES
Skewed and/or Multiple Dent Peaks	Any complex dent geometry identified by TCO or ILI vendor such as skewed dent, two or multi-peak deformations	YES
<p>* Lack of ductility must be integrated into the ECA.</p> <p>** Corrosion failure pressure with safety factor must meet the MAOP requirements in Condition 8 - Anomaly Evaluation and Remediation.</p> <p>Note: TCO may use 49 CFR Part 192 compliant dent remediation procedures for the evaluation and remediation of a dent ≤ 6% OD, with a corrosion depth < 15% of the pipe wall, and corrosion failure pressure with safety factor that meets the MAOP requirements in Condition 8 - Anomaly Evaluation and Remediation.</p>		

Attachment B – SM80 and SM80 Loop Pipelines Route Map Special Permit Segments and Inspection Areas





Attachment C-1 – TCO SM80 and SM80 Loop Pipelines Route Map Special Permit Segments





G:\16-Mapping\Special Permit Areas\CGT_TCO New Special Permit Inspection Area Maps\CGT_TCO Renewal Segments\TCO Segments 3,10 Rev.mxd

Attachment C-2 – TCO SM80 and SM80 Loop Pipelines Route Map Special Permit Segments





G:\16-Mapping\Special Permit Areas\CGT_TCO New Special Permit Inspection Area Maps\CGT_TCO Renewal Segmentation\TCO Segments 4.mxd

Attachment C-3 – TCO SM80 and SM80 Loop Pipelines Route Map Special Permit Segments



Q:\110-MapInfo\Special_Permit_Areas\COT_TCO New_Special_Permit_Inspection_Areas_Map\COT_TCO Renew @ Segments\Tab\TCO Segments_5,6,7,8_9.mxd

Attachment C-4 – TCO SM80 and SM80 Loop Pipelines Route Map Special Permit Segments



C:\GIS-Mapping\Special Permit Areas\GIS_TCO New Special Permit Inspection Area Maps\COI_TCO Review of Segmentation\TCO Segments 9 Final.mxd

Table 3 – ASV Closure Settings for Isolation of Special Permit Segment

Special Permit Segment Nos. (West)	Valve (Milepost)	Special Permit Segment Nos. (East)	Valve Automation Methodology	MAOP (psig)	ASV Low Pressure Set-point (psig)	Rate of Pressure Drop Set-point (psig/minute)	Rate of Change Timing (minutes)	Low Pressure Timing (minutes)
	SM80-185 V185 (MP 35.6)	3	ASV	935	500	40	< 2	~ 2
3	SM80-215 V215 (MP 46.8)	4, 5	ASV	920	500	30	< 2	~ 13
4, 5	SM80-250 V250 (MP 51.0)	7, 8, 9	ASV	920	500	40	< 2	~ 4
7, 8, 9	Lanham Compressor Station (C/S) (MP 58.6)		RCV / ASV	920	500	40	< 2	~ 2
	SM80L-185 V185 (MP 35.6)	10	ASV	935	500	40	< 2	~ 3
10	SM80L-215 V215 (MP 46.8)	6	ASV	920	500	30	< 2	~ 12
6	SM80L-250 V250 (MP 51.0)	6	ASV	920	500	40	< 2	~ 2
6	Lanham C/S SM80L (MP 58.6)		RCV/ASV	920	500	40	< 2	~ 2

Note: *Special permit segments 3 and 10* have MAOPs of 935 psig and the remaining *special permit segments* have MAOPs of 920 psig.

Software Package – PIPESAFE - DNV GL manages the actual development.


PIPESAFE includes several mathematic models to model:

- Gas outflow
- Gas dispersion
- Fire model (fire ball and jet file)
- Thermal effects
- Risk summation
- Gas outflow model from rupture

Gas Outflow Model - BRAM model – a transient outflow model developed by Gasunie and can model gas outflow from pipeline networks in case of ruptures, vents or leaks. Validated by full scale testing (British Gas WGGR/93/S8).

E-mail from TC Energy on 05/28/2021.

Figure 1 - Location of Special Permit Segments Between ASVs



Note: All *special permit segments* must have telemetry connections to the TCO SCADA System at locations noted in red above in **Figure 1**.

Table 4 – Laterals Connecting Between Isolation Valves				
Special Permit Inspection Area	Station Name	Diameter Nominal (in)	Mile Post	Isolation Method⁸³
SM80 Kenova to Lanham	MS804833	2	43.4	Check Valve
	MS842994	4	37.6	Check Valve
	SM115	4	44.8	Check Valve or ASV/RCV (TBD)*
	MS804446	2	50.0	Check Valve
SM80 LOOP Kenova to Lanham	MS833064	2	54.7	Check Valve
	MS804833	2	44.2	Check Valve
	MS842994	4	38.5	Check Valve
	MS839138	4	37.6	Check Valve or ASV/RCV (TBD)*
	SM115	8	45.8	Check Valve or ASV/RCV (TBD)*
	MS804446	2	51.4	Check Valve

Note: *TBD – to be determined by TCO.

Final Page of the Special Permit with Conditions

⁸³ To be determined (TBD). TCO must complete determination of type of rupture mitigation isolation method within 30 days of issuance of this special permit and the isolation method must meet **Condition 12 – Mainline Valve** requirements.