

## INTERPRETATION

3/21/78

Frank Fulton told Marshall W. Taylor that the intent of §192.707(b)(1) is to not require the installation of markers in the waterways; i.e., markers are required on the banks but are not required to be placed out in the waterway.

Ivan A. Huntoon  
Staff Engineer

Buck Furrow

9/9/76

SUBJ: Amendment 192-27

RE: Revision of 192.707 Line Marking

Told Buck the amdmt. made it sound as if river crossings no longer required markers per 192.707(e), but that 192.707(e) had not been deleted. He stated that "intent" was not to eliminate these signs, but to eliminate need for marking the line in the navigable stream (i.e. - placing pipeline marker in the navigable stream. He feels that markers per 192.707(e) on bank are still req'd. - apparently to mark line on shore.

This part of the amendment is not clear and could very feasibly confuse operators. It "appears" that their best bet would be to take down their river crossing markers - if they don't already comply w/192.707(e) & put in a standard line marker for on shore.

Ivan Huntoon  
St. Engineer